

1. General

- 1.1 Name of grant recipient: Earth Innovation Institute
- 1.2 Norad agreement number: QZA-0701 QZA-16/0162 -Forests, Farms and Finance Initiative
- **1.3** Agreement period: **2017-2020**
- 1.4 Reporting year (year being reported on): 2017
- 1.5 Country/countries of implementation and geographic area within the(se) country/countries if relevant: Brazil (Acre, AC, Mato Grosso, MT, and Lower Amazon, LA, Pará), Colombia, Indonesia (Central Kalimantan and West Papua), and Peru

2. Results - Project status

Outcome 1: Public and private sector deliver funds for low-emission rural development (LED-R) strategies to local governments, smallholders and communities in Brazil (BR), Indonesia (IN), Peru (PE) and Colombia (CO).

| | Indicator | Short Description | Baseli | Target | Sourc | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | ne | Y2 | e1 | | | | |
| Funding ple | dged by targeted agricultural companies and businesses (million USD) ² | Description of pledges | 0 | 1 | 1, 2 | | | | |
| Target achieved (USD 1.38 million). In Colombia, FINAGRO pledged to the ITPS (Instrumento para la Transformación | | | | | | | | | |
| | Productiva Sostenible) pilot in Caquetá and Guaviare: USD 1.285M (US\$ 714.000 in funding to support the credits and US\$ | | | | | | | | |
| Progress in | Progress in 571.000 in the Warranty Fund) (A1). In Indonesia, Unilever pledged USD 100,000 (confidential agreement) to support | | | | | | | | |
| 2017 | 7 smallholders participating in the RSPO jurisdictional certification pilot in Central Kalimantan (CKal). INOBU is currently | | | | | | | | |
| | negotiating with Unilever to secure additional financial support (between USD 0.5-1M) to assist smallholders seeking to | | | | | | | | |
| | implement sustainable practices in the district of Seruyan and support trar | nsition to jurisdictional s | sustainat | oility. | | | | | |
| Funding ple | dged by targeted donor countries/states (million USD) | Description of pledges | 0 | 2 | 3 | | | | |
| | Target surpassed. In 2017 the German government pledged ~USD20 milli | on to support MT LED-F | R strategi | es (A2). | UK | | | | |
| Progress in | committed 30 million BSP. EII and local partners (e.g. IPAM, ICV, Amaggi, | Agroícone) continued to | o suppor | t the | | | | | |
| 2017 | implementation of the <u>PCI Strategy</u> and MT's REDD System (SISREDD) thr | oughout 2017 (A3). Ell t | eam was | s contrac | ted by | | | | |
| 2017 | KfW to determine viability of REM strategy and to provide design recomm | nendations on how they | y could o | ptimize | the USD | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Funding red | eived in target jurisdictions from capped California (CA) companies | Description of funding | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | | |
| (million US | | received. | | | | | | | |

| ¹ Data source: | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1. Meeting notes | 17. Meeting notes | 29. Documentation of benefit sharing for funds |
| 2. Design documents | 18. Ell reports | delivered. Documentation of FPIC. |
| 3. Official government communications | 19. Article of Establishment | 30. Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues to establish |
| 4. Company and / or California | 20. Meeting minutes | reference levels and performance targets |
| government communications | 21. Other documents | 31. Relevant draft regulations and regulations |
| 5. INPE Prodes, Hansen et al. 2013 | 22. Published report/analysis | 32. Indigenous People Councils |
| 6. Hansen et al | 23. Document or PPT slide deck | 33. Ministry of Forestry and Environment; Provincial |
| 7. Official government docs and reports | 24. Workshop minutes establishing | Government of West Papua |
| 8. Reports from donors and/or | regional performance targets | 34. EII/INOBU Reports |
| from civil society | 25. Meeting minutes of TPS | 35. PPT slide decks |
| 9. Meetings and exchange visit minutes | governance structures | 36. Analysis Document |
| 10. Field survey documents in reports | 26. Interviews with key | 37. Departmental Plans |
| 11. Other reports | stakeholders and project partners | 38. Websites |
| 12. Documents with instruments | regarding perception of | 39. Media coverage |
| designed | transparency | 40. Annual reports |
| 13. Communications | 27. Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues | 41 Company & roundtables docs |
| 14. Concept Notes | 28. Participant lists of dialogues to | 42. Draft plan(s), plan(s) vetted with local actors, |
| Ell and CA internal notes and | track stakeholder representation | reports from monit. implementation of the plan(s) |
| documents | and participation | (official documents) |
| 16. Online Platform | | 43. Reports from UFOPA monitoring center. |

² All the values that were in foreign currency different than US dollars were converted to US dollars using Oanda's exchange of 12.31.2017.

| | | | | | 2 |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Progress | No funding to report. CA govt has not yet implemented the international | | 2. Ell sup | ported 1 | this process |
| in 2017 | by advising ARB and other government officials (virtually and in-person m | neetings) (A4). | | | |
| Funding | received by target sub-national jurisdictions (million USD) | Description of funding received | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| - | Target surpassed. The government of AC received USD 5 million from KfW | V in 2017 to support the | implem | entatior | n of AC's |
| Progress in 2017 | SISA program. This was the 1st payment, part of the 2 nd phase agreement | | - | | |
| | total of ~USD 12 million to AC in 2016 (source: direct communication with | | | | 1 |
| | ation declines in target jurisdictions (% reduction below historical | Mato Grosso | 65 | 68 | 5 |
| average) | | Acre | 72 | 72 | 5 |
| - | in 2017: Numbers revised using official national monitoring data. Real | Lower Amazon | 79 | 80 | 5 |
| | tion declines in target regions for <u>Y2: MT: 82%; AC 59%, LA: 63%, CKal:</u> -158%; Colombia: 7%; PE-AM: -29%. Since data availability and quality | Central Kalimantan | 55 | 56 | 6 |
| | roved in recent years, we are in the process of revising the original | West Papua | 47 | 47 | 6 |
| - | and estimate numbers for all years. We will follow-up separately with | Colombia | 43 | 44 | 6 |
| | thin the next month with possible suggested revisions. | Peruvian Amazon | -31 | -29 | 6 |
| | Project-specific Outcome Indicato | Dr | I | | |
| Local gov | vernments in target jurisdictions receiving incentives for slowing | Green Counties | 1 | | 7, 8 |
| deforest | ation (number of municipalities or districts) | Program / PA | | | |
| | Target surpassed. In AC, 10 municipalities are receiving support through t | the SISA program (via im | plement | ation of | f the REM |
| Progress | program) to contain deforestation influenced in the margins of the Feder | | | | |
| in 2017 | http://imc.ac.gov.br/programa-para-pioneiros-em-redd-rem/ and A5). El | | | | |
| | program through direct advice and has developed a tool for the governm | ent of AC to monitor the | | ertorma | |
| | ale farmers and communities (f&c) supported to improve their production | 3 | 6 | | 3, 7, 8, |
| systems | through Territorial Performance System (1000's of f&c receiving support) | amontod with funda roa | aired fra | m tha D | 10 |
| | Target surpassed. In AC, EII is supporting the SISA Program, which is imple program and is benefiting approximately 15,000 smallholders (see: http: | | | | |
| Progress | redd-rem/ $\underline{A5}$. In the LA, Sapopema has been working with 7 PAEs, 61 of | | • | • | |
| in 2017 | people. In CKal, IN, INOBU continued piloting a wall-to-wall sustainable p | | | | |
| - | Kotawaringin Barat District, part of District-wide certification under RSPO | | - | - | - |
| | oil palm growers towards RSPO certification (A7), including training on en | | | | |
| | increase productivity | | | | |
| Interme | diary Outcome 1.1.i: Regionally-tailored mechanisms to attract climate fi | nance for local governm | nents, sn | nallhold | ers and |
| | nities in Brazil, Indonesia, Peru and Colombia are formally endorsed by go | vernments of target jur | risdictior | IS | 1 |
| Regional | lly tailored mechanisms developed | 0 | 1 | | 1, 2 |
| _ | Target achieved. Ell designed in partnership with Global Green Growth In | • • | | | |
| Progress | | | | | |
| in 2017 | extensive cattle ranching in Colombia to sylvopastoral systems and releas The instrument was approved by FINAGRO's technical committee and its | | - | | |
| | Program is awaiting KFW non-objection for 2018 (Ell response to KfW que | | | i the All | |
| | Output Level | | | | |
| | Indicators | Baseline | Target Y | 2 | Source1 |
| Output 1 | 1.1.1: TP Fund and monitoring system designed and implemented in Mate | o Grosso (MT), Acre (AC |) and Lo | wer Am | azon |
| | rá (PA) (Brazil) to attract matching and performance-based finance and de | | | | |
| TP Funds | s designed (# of jurisdictions) | Draft /MT | 0 | | , 13, 14 |
| D | No target to report. The TP Fund was designed, and a proposal to pilot th | | | | |
| Progress in 2017 | (AF) in 2016, with very good receptivity, as the project is considered inno of Rural Technical Assistance, which is not well covered by other AF proje | | | | |
| III 2017 | proposal under Ell-Brazil. Ell worked throughout 2017 to establish Ell Brazi | | | | |
| | | | | | 1111 2010. |
| Territori | al monitoring platforms launched to support TP Fund (# of jurisdictions) Target surpassed. Two customized platforms for the jurisdictions of <u>MT</u> (A | 12 PCI Platform) and A | $\frac{1}{(12)}$ | <u>16</u> | nchod in |
| Progress | 2017, as well as the <u>GCF Impact Platform</u> , covering all 35 GCF state memb | | | | |
| in 2017 | and Protect platform has been updated to include more details on the jur | - | | | |
| Output 1 | 1.1.2: Green Forest Bond (GFB) designed and beginning implementation in | | | , | |
| = | cture for BR progressed with World Bank (WB)/MIGA and Brazilian public k | | 0 | 2 | |
| 0.000 | No target to report. FT continued to work in collaboration with the WB to | | | | te to the |
| Progress | | - | | | |
| in 2017 | (A10). FT also prepared a broader analysis of different financial mechanis | | | | |
| | forests in the report: Toward a Financial Architecture to Protect Tropical | | | | |
| - | 1.1.3: Financial Mechanism (e.g. an agricultural facility) designed for deliv | ering climate finance to | o high-pe | erformin | ng |
| | in Central Kalimantan and West Papua, Indonesia) | | | | |

| | | | | | 3 |
|------------|--|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| On-grant | | d institutional arrangement determined | 0 | | 2, 7, 13, 14 |
| | | on the on-granting mechanism for commodit | | | - |
| Progress | | vernment preferred using a different mechani | | | |
| in 2017 | | of Finance suggested INOBU to focus on unloc | - | | |
| | | of policy issues. INOBU then, in partnership | | | |
| | | erve as a mechanism to receive and distribute | | | |
| | | jurisdictional level (A16). The district of Seruya | an was chosen | as a pilot dist | rict, and reports |
| Outrout 1 | were developed in 2017 to provide | | | | |
| - | | port of the implementation of a package of in It in the Colombian Amazon (relates to Inter | | | |
| | | | | - | 2.47 |
| | | leted with participation of Min of Ag. | 0 | 1 | 2, 17 |
| in 2017 | - | nent was designed for FINAGRO, in partnershi ne ITPS will promote the transformation of ex | - | | |
| 111 2017 | | eas for natural ecosystem regeneration. The in | | - | |
| | | chin the Amazon Vision Program, pending only | | | |
| | | | | | |
| - | | n Rural Development for Peruvian Amazon re | egions to attra | ict private inv | vestment |
| Peruvian | low-emissions rural development de | | 0 | 1 | 2, 7, 8 17, 18 |
| Progress | Target delayed. Our partner FT w | as supposed to lead this work in Peru, but du | e to internal di | ifficulties with | n change of |
| in 2017 | | ;, they were unable to prepare the business p | - | | s work is |
| | achieved more effectively, Ell is p | artnering with a local Peruvian organization, | MDA, in 2018 (| (A17). | |
| Output 1 | .1.6: GCF performance system (GCF | PS) designed and implemented, attracting fi | nance from ne | ew sources (G | iCF member |
| | provinces of BR, IN, PE) | | | | |
| | | de performance platforms for monitoring | Draft GCF PS | 2 | 16 |
| | | GHG emissions reductions reported. | | | |
| | | in collaboration with the GCF Secretariat the | | | |
| | | located in the tropics. In addition, Ell has wor | | | |
| | | (13); <u>San Martin</u> (A18) and <u>Ucayali</u> (A19). Fin | | | |
| | | ts, Ell continued to update and improve the " | | | |
| | | convening to support California government | completion ar | nd implement | tation of |
| | set system tings and workshops with CA govt | Brazil, Indonesia | 2 | 2 | 15 |
| # Of filee | | | _ | | |
| Drograce | | support to gov. officials designing and impler rovided direct advice to ARB and the Governo | | | |
| _ | | or offsets provision, addressing critical obstac | | | |
| 111 2017 | | idigenous peoples (IP) leaders from COICA, AI | | | |
| | | s Professors Association, FEPOIMT (Federatio | | | |
| | | I (AC, MT, RO) Peru, Mexico, and Indonesia to | - | - | |
| | | aw first-hand how the Yurok people are benef | | | |
| | | usion of REDD offsets under CA cap-and-trade | - | - | |
| | | and worked on a shared letter with principles | - | | |
| | - | g LED-R strategies and indigenous peoples (A | - | | |
| | members. | | | | |
| | includers. | | | | |

| Outcome 2.1: State-wide LED-R strategies designed and implemented in MT, AC, and PA, BR | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2.1 Level of implementation of REDD+ related policy/measure (standardized reporting indicator 2.1) | | | | | | | | | |
| Status of Implementation uses Scale from | Status of Implementation uses Scale from Standard Reporting indicators | | | | | | | | |
| Progress Name and Type of policy/measure | Baseline | Target Y2 | Source 1 | Impact on Gender; Transparency; Sust; livelihoods; Red. Deforestation | | | | | |
| Amazon Fund, Forest Code, Public Credit Programs (ABC & Pronaf) and state-level REDD+-related policies (AC SISA, MT REDD, PA Green | MT | 4 | 5 | 7, 8 | Impacts on all the elements above | | | | |
| Counties Program) integrated within state low-emission development | LA, PA | 1 | 2 | 7, 8 | Id | | | | |
| strategy | AC | 4 | 4 | 7, 8 | Id | | | | |

| 4 | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| ProgressIn MT, the implementation target (5) was achieved. In 2017, the PCI plan was implemented with direct leadership from MT government and support from EII, IPAM and local partners (A3). In addition, EII supported SEMA to implement its REDD+ State System (SisREDD) (A27-A99-100,101,121) and PMS (Sustainable Municipality Program) (A24) by providing advice, financial support and coordination to strengthen connection with the PCI plan (A94). In PA, the implementation target was surpassed (2). The Program Pará Sustentável began its implementation and EII & Sapopema are working with SEDAP (Secretary of Agriculture and Fisheries Development, A25) and SEDEME (Sec. of Economy, Mining and Energy, A26) to support the state to develop its fisheries strategies with focus on the Lower Amazon region. In AC, the implementation target (4) was surpassed. EII continued to provide support to the implementation of the SISA, including by developing an online platform to monitor AC's performance towards LED-R (A6).Intermediary Outcome 2.1.i: Existing state-level policies in MT, AC and LA, PA, respectively, are aligned with one another and | | | | | | | | |
| | | | spectively | , are aligi | hed with | one anoth | ier a | and |
| with nat | ional government policies and laws (e.g., National REDD Strate | egy) | | | | | | |
| | | MT | 0 | Х | 7,8 | Id | | |
| | Key State level policies aligned with national policies | | | | | | | |
| | Rey State level policies aligned with national policies | LA, PA | 0 | 0 | 7, 8 | Id | | |
| | | AC | 0 | 0 | 7,8 | Id | | |
| | Target achieved. In MT, the state policies are aligned around the | ne PCI stra | itegy, and i | the state | SisREDD i | is being de | esig | ned in |
| Progress | accordance with the Brazilian National REDD Strategy. In AC as | well, the | state polic | ies, incluq | ding the s | tate carbo | n p | rogram, |
| in 2017 | are aligned with the federal directives. | , | | , | U | | | 0 , |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | liary Outcome 2.1.ii: Strategy documents finalized for each tar | | ction with | endorsei | ment of k | ey | | |
| sectors (| e.g. governments, private sector, NGOs) in MT, AC, and LA, Pa | rá | | | | | | |
| | | MT | 0 | 1 | 7,8 | Id | | |
| | Integrated landholder incentives created | | 0 | - | 7.0 | | | |
| | 5 | LA, PA | 0 | 0 | 7, 8 | Id | | |
| | | AC | 0 | 0 | 7, 8 | Id | | |
| Progress | Target delayed. The TPS fund delayed due to the bureaucratic | delays in l | aunch of E | II Brazil. A | Alternativ | ely, Ell is c | oor | dinating |
| in 2017 | a working group (together with FEFAC and Abiove) to develop | a paymen | t mechanis | sm for car | bon neut | ral soy (A2 | 28). | |
| Intermo | diary Outcome 2.1.iii: Multi-sector dialogues are launched and | i multi co | ctor govor | nanco str | ucturos a | - | - | |
| | • | i muiti-se | ctor gover | lance su | uctures a | ie | | |
| develop | ed in support to public policy alignment in MT, AC, and PA | | | | | | | |
| | Multi-stakeholder governance established | MT | x | | 19, 20, 21 | Gender; T Sust. Li (G | | ihoods |
| | 5 | | 0 | 0 | Id | | | , |
| | | LA, PA | | 0 | | Id | | |
| _ | | AC | 0 | X | Id | Id | 1 | |
| Progress in 2017 | Target achieved. In Acre, EII: a) continued to be part of Acre's s stakeholder meetings to refine and launch AC's TPS platform (Committee on Socio-biodiversity, which will be officialized 201 engaged in the PCI Executive Committee. In the LA, Sapopema and aquaculture through a series of multi-stakeholder meeting for a governance structure was prepared and will be refined a | A30&A115 .8. In MT, and EII ca gs in all 13 | 5); and sup EII, IPAM a arried out r LA munici | ported th and other nulti-stak palities a | ne creatio local part eholder c nd 2 regio | n of the St tners were lialogues o | tate e ac on f | 's SISA tively isheries |
| Interme | diary Outcome 2.1.iv: Fish based low carbon protein production | on strateg | v integrati | ng aquac | ulture an | d | | |
| | d fisheries established on a low emission rural development (I | - | | | | | | |
| | · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| | ntation of a reg. fish-based LED strategy for the LA. Level of | | | | 18, | | | |
| | ntation range is 0-2.0=developing plan, 1=plan, & monitoring | LA, PA | 0 | 1 | 18, 21, 42 | G, | ,T, S | 5L |
| system ir | n place, 2=co- management policies & incentives implemented | | | | , | | | |
| in 2017 | | luaculture | | | | | | |
| | f várzea communities where families are shifting to sustainable re & managed fisheries. | LA, PA | 0 | 0 | 18, 21, 43 | G, | ,T, S | SL |
| | No target to report. Communities were actively engaged in 201 | 7 in mapp | ing the sta | tus of the | ir fisherie | es and to c | leve | elop |
| Progress | community management plans (A32). The implementation of th | | - | | | | | - |
| 1n / (11) / (11) | members of 5 communities to perform fishery accounting and r | - | - | | | , эчроре | | anicu |
| | | | shery perio | ormance. | 1 | | | |
| | f várzea (floodplain) communities where families are reducing | LA, PA | 0 | 0 | 18, | ~ | ,T, S | |
| cattle here | ds as shift to sustainable aquaculture & managed | LA, PA | 0 | 0 | 21, 43 | G, | , , , : | oL |
| Progress 2017 | | J | | <u>. </u> | 1 | ı | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Output Leve | | | | Baselin | | | Course |
| | Indicators | | | | Baseline | <u> </u> | ť | Source1 |
| | | | | | | Y2 | | |
| Output 2 national | 2.1.1: Multi-sector dialogues supported for defining state-wide REDD | goals and | strategie: | s in each | target sta | ite, compa | atib | le with |
| # of state | s with published proposals for reconciling state-level reference | e levels (RF | ELs) and na | tl. RFI S | 1 | 1 | | 7, 16 |
| | of states with published proposals for reconciling state-level reference levels (RELs) and natl. RELS 1 1 7, 16 | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | 5 | | |
|------------|--|--|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Progress | Target a | chieved. EII advised REM and MT SisREDD Progr | am to use the | national reference lev | el as basis fo | or accour | nting | | |
| in 2017 | paymen | ts for performance to be received by MT (in acco | ordance with t | the national directive) | (A98-99). Th | ie | | | |
| | recomm | endation was taken into account in the agreeme | ent of paymer | nt for performance sign | ed betweer | n MT and | REM. | | |
| Territoria | al multi-st | takeholder governance structures supported (# d | of jurisdiction: | 5) | 1 | 1 | 7, 19, 20 | | |
| | Target a | achieved. Ell supported the SISA governance stru | cture in AC th | rough the developmer | it of the TPS | S Platforn | n working | | |
| Progress | group, t | he Scientific Committee and participation in the | construction | of SISA's Socio-biodive | rsity Progra | m. In add | lition, Ell | | |
| in 2017 | continue | ed to support 3 multi-stakeholder structures in N | ٨T (the PCI m | ulti-stakeholder goverr | nance struct | ure unde | r the | | |
| | CEEPCI, | the State Climate Change Forum – FMMC and th | ne Sustainable | Municipalities Program | m (PMS). In | the LA, S | арорета | | |
| | support | ed multi-stakeholder dialogues on fisheries and | aquaculture t | hrough meetings in all | 13 LA munio | cipalities | and 2 | | |
| | regional | l meetings. | | | | | | | |
| Output 2 | 2.1.2: Ana | lysis indicates minimum-cost pathway to full co | ompliance wit | th Forest Code | | | | | |
| Analysis | documen | t for each target jurisdiction | | | Stickler et. | 1 | 22 | | |
| | | | | | al 2013 | | | | |
| Progress | s in 2017 | Target delayed. The initial analysis was carried | in 2017 (A35- | 38), but will be finalize | d in 2018. T | he reaso | n for the | | |
| _ | | delay is that the customized platforms request | ed by regiona | l governments (output | 1.1.7) cons | umed a lo | ot more | | |
| | | time/energy than originally expected, given all | the capacity- | building needs and dat | a standardiz | zation. | | | |
| Output 2 | Output 2.1.3: Analysis indicates ways of aligning state strategies with national REDD regime, credit programs (e.g. ABC, PRONAF) | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis | documen | t or powerpoint deck | | | 0 | 1 | 23 | | |
| | Target a | achieved. Ell produced 1 analysis of the Brazilian | ENREDD as pa | art of its recommendat | ions to MT- | SEMA an | d the | | |
| Progress | REM to | support the implementation of MT SisREDD (A98 | 8-99). The ana | alysis made specific rec | ommendati | ons, such | as on | | |
| in 2017 | how to i | improve the cooperation between national and s | state govts to | enhance the enforcem | ent to halt | illegal | | | |
| | deforest | tation; and reduce the risk of non-permanence. | EII also recom | mended the state to u | se the instru | uments | | | |
| | establis | hed by the national government to implement the | ne ENREDD (F | REL, registry system, sa | afeguards) | | | | |
| Output 2.1 | 1.4: Tech | nical support system for smallholders and comr | munities desig | gned and ready for imp | olementatio | on throug | h the TP | | |
| Fund | | | | | | | | | |
| Published | d analysis | of technical support and rural extension needs | and options fo | or addressing needs | 0 | 1 | 22 | | |
| | Target a | achieved. In MT, IPAM published a proposal for A | TER tailored | to the needs in Querên | cia (A39). It | also con | ducted a | | |
| Progress | first rou | nd of sustainable demonstration units of cassava | a as a supply o | hain (A41-43), providir: | ng ATER to p | oroducers | 5 | | |
| in 2017 | participa | ating in the demonstration units. IPAM expects t | hat other pro | ducers will start adopti | ng sustainal | ble produ | iction | | |
| | systems | in 2018 based on the demonstration units expen | rience. In the | LA, Sapopema has sup | ported local | commur | nities to | | |
| | develop | the pirarucu supply chain (training, monitoring | | | | | | | |
| | | NICFI Standard Indicators | Your orga | nization or partner(s)' | contributio | n, see fo | otnote ³ | | |
| | | Safeguard category | | Country case/process | ; | | Source1 | | |
| 2.2.1. Co | nsistency | between national forests programmes and inte | rnational | UNFCCC REDD, GCF R | | | | | |
| conventi | ons and a | agreements | | | tion on Forests (NYDF), Rio Branco | | | | |
| | r | | | Declaration (RBD), TP | • | - | | | |
| Progres | | nd AC, 3FI partners, together with local actors, s | | • · | | - | | | |
| s in | | ince with national and international conventions | - | ents. See examples und | er outputs 2 | 2.1.3 and | 2.1.1 (i.e. | | |
| 2017 | | t to the implementation of REM program in MT a | | Net leve | | | 26.27 | | |
| 2.2.2. Ira | ansparent | t and effective national forest governance struct | ures | Natl. laws and regiona | ai i po po | | 26, 27 | | |

³ 2 EII and partners' contributions to safeguards:

^{2.2.1:} Create alignment and integration of national and jurisdictional reference levels (RLs) through bottom-up regional performance targets and RLs that are informed by external rules and market forces (CGF, NYDF, RBD) 2.2.2: Multi-stakeholder TPS governance structures builds broad support for effective governance policy that supports implementation of national policies. Monitoring platforms promote transparency of policy implementation at regional scales.

^{2.2.3:} Multi-sector dialogues ensure participation of indigenous peoples and local communities in regional planning (including implementation of FLIC) and value their contribution to forest protection through benefit sharing mechanisms

^{2.2.4:} Multi-sector dialogues ensure participation of indigenous peoples and local communities in regional planning and design of benefit sharing frameworks for TPS incentive systems and supports implementation of FPIC.

^{2.2.5:} Multi-sector dialogues builds broader support for existing or expanded networks of protected areas and indigenous territories. 2.2.6: TPS process builds political, business, and social case for low-deforestation & emissions rural development and improves enabling conditions for policy implementation, lowering risk reversals through flagging political or corporate will be reduced

^{2.27:} Establishment of performance targets related to production at the scale of an entire jurisdiction through TPS lowers risk of leakage.

| | | | 6 | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Progres | Our project is mainly focused in supporting subnational governments | s. The TPS approach fostered by the project i | n the | | | | | | |
| s in | target regions is based on transparent, multi-stakeholder governance | e structures and monitoring platforms (see m | nore | | | | | | |
| 2017 | under outcome 2.1.iii and outputs 1.1.6 and 2.1.1). | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.3. R | espect the knowledge and rights of IPs and local communities | REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS Ps | 7, 28, 29 | | | | | | |
| | Ell and the GCF organized the 1st meeting of the GCF Indigenous Peo | pples (IP) and Local Communities (LC) Workin | g Group | | | | | | |
| Progres | August 21-24, 2017, bringing together subnational government repre- | esentatives, indigenous and community leade | ers (A20- | | | | | | |
| s in | 21). A key outcome of the meeting was a joint strategy and vision to | integrate respect and recognition of indigend | ous | | | | | | |
| 2017 | rights and knowledge into regional LED-R strategies across GCF mem | ber jurisdictions, summarized in the Guiding | | | | | | | |
| | Principles of Collaboration (A103). The Principles were then presented at the 2017 Annual GCF meeting (A107) and | | | | | | | | |
| | discussed during a workshop at the COP23 (A105-106). In the LA, Sag | oopema worked with "Pastoral da Pesca" and | d the | | | | | | |
| | "Colônia de Pescadores of Santarém" on the production of a Protoco | I for Fishers and Fishery Communities", deta | iling | | | | | | |
| | principles and procedures for consultation with the artisanal fisherie | s sector (A34), which was distributed to fishe | ers in | | | | | | |
| | meeting and through local fishers' unions. | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | he full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, in | REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS Ps | 27, 28, | | | | | | |
| particu | ar indigenous peoples and local communities | | 29 | | | | | | |
| | In MT, EII recommended the establishment of a participatory consult | - | | | | | | | |
| | benefit sharing as part of the State Forum on Climate Change and the | | | | | | | | |
| Progres | AC, we sponsored IP leaders' participation in CA Cap and Trade Progr | | forest, a | | | | | | |
| s in | learning exchange with CA Yurok tribe (see 1.1.7), and their participa | tion in the GCF annual meeting and COP 23. | | | | | | | |
| | onservation of natural forests and biological diversity and enhancement | TPS Ps | 27 | | | | | | |
| of othe | social and environmental benefits | | | | | | | | |
| | In MT, EII, IPAM and local partners supported the implementation of | | | | | | | | |
| Progress | protect forests and reduce deforestation, as well as forest restoratio | • | | | | | | | |
| in 2017 | financial architecture to protect tropical forests with focus in the Bra | | - | | | | | | |
| | strengthening fisheries government and management of floodplain f | isheries to reduce pressure on aquatic biodiv | versity | | | | | | |
| | and floodplain vegetation. | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.6. A | ctions to address the risks of reversals | TPS Ps | 7, 27 | | | | | | |
| | In BR, the "ruralista" farm lobby and mining interests grew more power | | onomic | | | | | | |
| Progres | crisis, and the promises of economic growth provided by these sectors. | | | | | | | | |
| in 2017 | environmental protection and halt demarcation of IP lands. In MT and A | ••• | | | | | | | |
| | for performance to ensure sustainability overtime (1.1.1, 3.1.1) and to a | - | - | | | | | | |
| | analysis and technical advice. Ell is one of a very small number of NGOs | in Brazil that maintains formal partnership v | vith farm | | | | | | |
| | sector organizations. | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.7. A | ctions to reduce the displacement of emissions | TPS Ps | 30 | | | | | | |
| | The project's core strategy emphasizes greater food/fuel/feed produ | | | | | | | | |
| Progres | less dependent on deforestation (fish vs. beef, for example) and com | | | | | | | | |
| s in | production is rising, the risk of deforestation leakage declines. In AC, | we conducted an analysis of their Green Indu | ustries | | | | | | |
| 2017 | (A46). | | | | | | | | |

| Outcon | Outcome 2.2: Integrated province-wide LED-R strategies are designed and implemented in Central Kalimantan (CKal) and West Papua (WP), IN | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------|------------------|---------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2.1 Level of implementation of REDD+ related policy/measure (standardized reporting indicator 2.1) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Status of Implementation uses Sc | ale from Standard Rep | orting in | dicators | | | | | | |
| | Progress Name and Type of policy/measure | Location and Policy Level | Baselin e | Targe t Y2 | Source1 | Impact on Gender; Transparency; Sustainable livelihoods; Red. Deforestation | | | | |
| | National policies on REDD, customary land cknowledgment and agriculture master plan are ed/harmonized at the provincial and district level to achieve LED-R | Subnational (lesson- learned can be used to advocate for changes at the natl level) | 3 | 4 | 7, 8 | Gender; Transparency; Sustainable livelihoods; Red. Def. | | | | |
| Progress Target achieved. INOBU supported the realization of the GCF annual meeting in Balikpapan and helped to amplify the subnational government voices at the national level, especially regarding: 1) the importance of comprehensive mapping of indigenous land; 2) protection mechanisms of indigenous land through laws at any level (federal/state/province/district); 3) funding mechanisms or incentives for indigenous people who manage their forests or conserve their land. In addition, INOBU carried out an assessment for FREL for 7 GCF provinces (A96). | | | | | | | | | | |
| | t policies proposed and /or enacted zing customary land rights | Ckal and WP | 2 | 3 | 31, 32 | ld. | | | | |

| Progress Ta | irget delayed. The focus has | shifted to mapping the | land us | se or rig | ht to us | e the | land. The | maps are | expect | ed to b | 7 e used |
|--|---|---|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------|---|-----------|--|-------------|
| | the basis to relinquish the a | | | | | | | | , expect | | cuscu |
| Hectares of | land (std. reporting indicato | or 2.3.a) to which IPs and | d forest | t depen | dent cor | nmu | nities gair | n rights ov | er and h | ave ac | cess |
| Countr y and Locatio | Name of indigenous groups/ forest dependent community | Type of rights and acc land | cess to | Baseli ne | Hectar gained Target | I (Y) | Source1 | Gender | | | |
| Indonesi a, (CKal) | Primarily Dayak and independent smallholders | Mapping of indigenou community lands as th first step to relinquish lands from the state for area. The maps will be submitted to initiate t process of formal rem of indigenous lands fro state forests | ne the orest e he loval om | 0 | 0 | | 32 | Given the collective natu Dayak customary tenure, women will likely gain in access to land (rather tha individual titles) when territories are formally recognized by the state. case may be different for smallholders. Methods for evaluating women's acce land will be defined in fir of project. | | e, nformal han e. The or for cess to | |
| _ | OBU mapped indigeneous c | - | | - | | | | - | | | ional |
| | embuang Hulu 1, Pembuang ark (A95&A97), approximate | | | | - | | | d the Tanj | ung Put | ing Nat | lonal |
| Indonesi a, (WP) | Diversity of ethnicities | Formal removal of community territory f state forest areas con by the Ministry of For | trolled estry | 0 | 0 | | 33 | | | | |
| Intermediar | y Outcome 2.2.i: In CKal and | d WP, provincial REDD | | | | | | | | | |
| Provincial R | EDD programs aligned with r | elevant | | <mark>Locatio</mark> Ckal | n | Ва | oseline | Target for 2017 | | Source1 7, 8 | |
| national poli | | | | WP | | | 0 | 0 | | | 7,8 7,8 |
| | OBU supported the assessm | nent of FREL for REDD+ i | in 7 GC | F provin | ices, inc | ludin | g West Pa | apua and (| Central K | Caliman | tan |
| s in (A | 96) | Output | | | | | Frequ | iency of R | enortin | σ· Δnnı | ıal |
| | | Indicators | | | | | | | | | Source1 |
| Output 2.2.1 | L: Evidence-based value pro | position for policy align | | | anation | dav | alanad fa | n torgot in | ne | Y2 | |
| - | - | | | | - | aev | eloped lo | r target ju | 1 | T | 1 |
| Document d | escribing results of analysis Target surpassed: INOB | | | | | liou | alianmont | and integ | 0 | 1 | 34 |
| Progress in 2017 | target jurisdictions in Ce analysis of oil palm prod environmental risks of ti | ntral Kalimantan. These luction, c) supporting sn | e includ nallholo | le: a) ma | arket an | d su | oply chain | analysis c | of palm o | oil, b) le | |
| Output 2.2.2 | 2: Proposal for implementat | | | egulatio | on in Wl | P | | | | | |
| | d/or ppt slide decks summa | <u> </u> | | • | | | • | | 1 | 0 | 34 |
| - | get partially achieved. INOB v government to re-schedul | | - | | | | • | | | | l by the |
| | 3: Analysis and convening to | | | | | | | | - | v | |
| District-level | meetings and presentations | to discuss inclusion of C | C Kal Ro | admap | targets | into | Developm | nent Plans | 1 | 1 | 17, 35 |
| Progress in Target surpassed. In 2017 INOBU facilitated 8 district level meetings (A59-63) producing the following achievements: a) development of Seruyan's District Plan to Manage and protect the Environment based on HCV and high C stock assessment b) development of a proposed system to manage and monitor social conflicts related to land use rights c) facilitation of participatory mapping for community managed (smallholder) oil palm plantations, leading to ISPO and RSPO certification for smallholder in the village cooperative unit of Tani Subur. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Output 2.2.4 | 1: Strategy developed and c | onvening to support vil | llages a | is they a | adopt LE | D-R | performa | nce targe | ts | | |
| Village-level | meetings and presentations | to discuss inclusion of | C Kal Ro | oadmap | targets | into | Developr | nent | 0 | 3 | 17, 35 |
| Progress in 2017 | Progress in Target achieved: INOBU has been testing methods to strategically support villages as they adopt LED-R performance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Output 2.2.5 | 5: Proposal for WP Provincia | | - | | | | | Status law | 1 | | |

| | | | | | 8 | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Documents and powerpoint slide decks providing input to LED-R | design for W F | Papua. | 0 | 1 | 17, 21,35 | | | | |
| Progress in 2017 Target partially achieved. The proposal was d | eveloped, but | Provincial elections in West Pa | pua have | delay | ed the | | | | |
| finalization of the customary land rights regu | lation. Meetin | gs with the new government ar | e planned | for 2 | 2018 | | | | |
| (A65-66). At the district level, mapping of ind | igenous lands | has proceeded by mapping indi | genous la | nd us | ses and | | | | |
| land use rights rather than ownership, which | is very sensiti | ve for local communities. | | | | | | | |
| NICFI Standard Indicators Your organization or partner(s)' contribution, see footnote3 | | | | | | | | | |
| Safeguard | | Country case/process | | | Source1 | | | | |
| 2.2.1. Consistency between national forests programmes and inte | UNFCCC REDD, GCF REDD+, SE | ES, NYDF, | | 25 | | | | | |
| conventions and agreements | | RBD), TPS Ps | | | | | | | |
| Progress in INOBU supported the assessment of FREL for F | REDD+ in 7 GC | F provinces, including West Pap | ua and Ck | al (A | 96). | | | | |
| 2.2.2. Transparent and effective national forest governance struc | Natl. laws and regional TPS Ps | | | 26, 27 | | | | | |
| Progress in 2017 Nothing to report at this time. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.3. Respect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples | REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS Ps | | | 27, 28, | | | | | |
| members of local communities | | | | | 29 | | | | |
| Progress in 2017 INOBU's work, particularly in West Papua, has been been been been been been been bee | en focusing on | promoting the acknowledgeme | ent of IP la | ands | (A64). | | | | |
| 2.2.4. The full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders | , in | REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS Ps | | 27, 28, | | | | | |
| particular indigenous peoples and local communities | | | | | 29 | | | | |
| Progress The LED-R process in WP is targeted at IP nutmeg farmers | | - | | | | | | | |
| in 2017 legal recognition and RSPO certification in Seruyan Distri | | | | | | | | | |
| governor to participate in the GCF IP working group, whi | ch aims to cre | ate principles for collaborations | between | sub. | govts | | | | |
| and IPs (see more under 1.1.7). | | | | | ~- | | | | |
| 2.2.5. Conservation of natural forests and biological diversity and | | TPS Ps | | | 27 | | | | |
| enhancement of other social and environmental benefits Progress RSPO certification for smallholders is producing enviror | montal han of | its through the use of best men | acomont | | icos for | | | | |
| ProgressRSPO certification for smallholders is producing envirorin 2017sustainable palm oil production, and economic benefits | | - | - | JIACL | ices for | | | | |
| | | - | us. | | | | | | |
| 2.2.6. Actions to address the risks of reversals | | TPS Ps | | | 7, 27 | | | | |
| Progress in 2017 Nothing to report at this time. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.7. Actions to reduce the displacement of emissions | | TPS Ps | | 1 | 30 | | | | |
| Progres To address this risk, INOBU and EII work at the national | , province, an | d district levels through jurisdict | tional-wid | e | | | | | |
| interventions across entire regions. | | | | | | | | | |

| Outcome 2.3: REDD+ related initiatives implemented in Colombia (CO) as part of integrated LED-R strategy through linkages with national zero-deforestation sectoral programs and Departmental Development Plans | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|
| | 2.1 Level of implementation of REDD+ related policy/measure (standardized reporting indicator 2.1) | | | | | | | | | |
| | Status of Implementation uses Scale from Standard Reporting indicators. | | | | | | | | | |
| Progress Name and Type of policy/measure and Loc | | | | Baseline | Target Y2 | Source 1 | Impact or Transpare livelihoo Defores | ncy; Sust. ds; Red. | | |
| | National and local instruments (e.g. Amazon Vision (AV) 2020 Program, Colombia 7, | | | | | | Gender; | | | |
| agricultural credit programs and public- private partnerships) are linked N | | | | 3 | 3 | 22 | Transparen | | | |
| | | nission rural development in the Amazon | | | | | livelihoods; | | | |
| Progress a in 2017 | and net with co regener | surpassed (5). The new financial instrument (ITPS) was zero deforestation in the Amazon Region, aligned with onservation agreements by credit beneficiaries and a ration in line with a landscape level planning, done with | the Amazo Iso will mo the suppor | n Vision Protivate the tof the terminate the terminate the terminate the terminate the terminate terminate terminate terminates the terminate | ogram. I liberati chnical a | t will pro on of ar ssistance | mote the con eas for fore | nmitment st natural | | |
| 2.3.i: Existi target juris | - | ruments related to low-emission rural development an | nd deforest | ation redu | ction are | e aligned | with one an | other in | | |
| | | gress to report. This activity is included in the 2018 work | olan and w | /ill be impl | ementer | d in Caqu | etá Denartm | ent | | |
| _ | (Dept). | | | in be imp | ementer | a in eaqu | | | | |
| Policies affe | ecting de | eforestation aligned in one target jurisdiction | Dept | 0 | 0 | 7, 21, 22 | Id | | | |
| Progress in | 2017 | No progress to report. This activity is included in the 20 | 018 work pl | an and wil | l be impl | emented | in Caquetá l | Dept. | | |
| Output Level | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Indicators | | | | Baseline | Target Y2 | Source1 | | |
| Output 2.3 | 3.1: Evid | lence-based value proposition for policy alignment and | d integratio | n of existi | ng polici | es to pro | mote LED-R | in CO | | |

| | | | | | | 9 | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| | nt describing results of analysis of value proposition target | ed to relevar | nt government sectors | 0 | 1 | 36 | | |
| (peace pr | rocess, agriculture sector) or jurisdictions. | | | | | | | |
| | Target surpassed. Two policy papers on incentives and fin | | | | | - | | |
| rogress | | | | | | | | |
| n 2017 | financial mechanisms to support national and jurisdictior | | ategies (A68). In additio | n to one pa | per with | | | |
| | recommendations for sustainable production in Colombi | | | - | | | | |
| - | .3.2: National/jurisdictional agendas (at least one jurisdic .ED-R and sustainable development policies | ction) for sus | stainable agriculture ali | gned to nat | tional IND | Cs and | | |
| # of jurisc | lictions committed to implement sustainability agendas | | | 0 | 0 | 7, 13 | | |
| # of secto | ors committed to the implementation of sustainability ager | ndas | | 0 | 1 | 7, 13 | | |
| | Target surpassed. One jurisdiction and 8 sectors com | mitted. The | government of Caque | tá is comn | nitted to | implement | | |
| Progress | sustainability agendas and to develop a LED-R strategy, a | lso supporte | ed by the GCF. Also, 8 pr | oducers' fe | ederations | (Fedecafé, | | |
| n 2017 | Fedecacao, Asocolflores, Augura, Fedegán, Fedearroz, F | edepalma a | nd SAC) are committed | to implem | nenting su | stainability | | |
| | agendas in selected jurisdictions according to the Sustain | able Territo | ries Roundtable agreem | ents (A69-7 | '1). | | | |
| Output 2 | .3.3: Proposals of jurisdictional programs for Department | ts to adopt t | argets for reducing defo | prestation | | | | |
| Progress | Target achieved. The ITPS instrument was completed in | collaboratio | n with FINAGRO, and it | 0 | 1 | 14, 37 | | |
| in 2017 | will be piloted in Caquetá in 2018, supported by the Ama | azon Vision p | program (A1&9). | | | | | |
| | NICFI Standard Indicators | Your org | anization or partner(s)' | contributio | on, see fo | otnote3 | | |
| | Safeguard category | | Country case/process | | | Source1 | | |
| | nsistency between national forests programmes and interr | national | UNFCCC REDD, GCF RE | DD+, SES, I | NYDF, | 25 | | |
| conventions and agreements RBD), TPS Ps | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Progress | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective | - | tation of the AV Strateg | y (with the | ITPS, see | 1.1.4). The | | |
| - | | - | tation of the AV Strateg | y (with the | ITPS, see | 1.1.4). The | | |
| in 2017 | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective | ration (Germ | tation of the AV Strateg | | ITPS, see | 1.1.4). The | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooperational coop | ration (Germ res | ntation of the AV Strateg nany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona | I TPS Ps | | 26, 27 | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper insparent and effective national forest governance structu | ration (Germ res R through the | ntation of the AV Strateg nany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro | I TPS Ps | | 26, 27 | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper- insparent and effective national forest governance structure 2017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F | ration (Germ res R through the | ntation of the AV Strateg nany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro | I TPS Ps | | 26, 27 ns (1.1.6) | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp of local con | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper insparent and effective national forest governance structure 2017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F pect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples ar immunities | ration (Germ res R through the | ntation of the AV Strateg nany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro | I TPS Ps | | 26, 27 ns (1.1.6) 27, 28, | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp of local con Progress i | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper- insparent and effective national forest governance structure 2017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F beect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples are mmunities 2017 Nothing to report at this time | ration (Germ res R through the nd members | natation of the AV Strateg nany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I | I TPS Ps oduceProte Ps | | 26, 27 ns (1.1.6) 27, 28, 29 | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp of local col Progress i 2.2.4. The | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper insparent and effective national forest governance structure 12017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F beect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples ar mmunities 12017 Nothing to report at this time e full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, i | ration (Germ res R through the nd members | ntation of the AV Strateg nany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro | I TPS Ps oduceProte Ps | | 26, 27 ns (1.1.6) 27, 28, 29 27, 28, | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp of local con Progress i 2.2.4. The particular | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper- insparent and effective national forest governance structure 2017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F poect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples ar immunities n 2017 Nothing to report at this time e full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, in r IPs and local communities | ration (Germ res R through the nd members n | hatation of the AV Strateg hany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I | I TPS Ps oduceProte Ps | ct platforr | 26, 27 ns (1.1.6) 27, 28, 29 27, 28, 29 | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp of local con Progress i 2.2.4. The particular | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper insparent and effective national forest governance structure 12017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F beect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples ar mmunities 12017 Nothing to report at this time e full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, i | ration (Germ res R through the nd members n n ith other GC | htation of the AV Strateg hany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I | I TPS Ps oduceProte Ps | ct platforr | 26, 27 ns (1.1.6) 27, 28, 29 27, 28, 29 | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp of local col Progress i 2.2.4. The particular Progress in 2017 | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper ensparent and effective national forest governance structure 2017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F beect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples are mmunities 1 2017 Nothing to report at this time e full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, in r IPs and local communitiesEll supported OPIAC and the gov. of Caquetá to work w | ration (Germ res R through the nd members n n ith other GC D-R strategie | Antation of the AV Strateg hany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I CF members to design p s (see more at 1.1.7). | I TPS Ps oduceProte Ps | ct platforr | 26, 27 ns (1.1.6) 27, 28, 29 27, 28, 29 | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp of local con Progress i 2.2.4. The particular Progress in 2017 2.2.5. Cor | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper insparent and effective national forest governance structure 2017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F beect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples are mmunities 2017 Nothing to report at this time e full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, i r IPs and local communitiesEll supported OPIAC and the gov. of Caquetá to work w between IP and subnational governments developing LED | ration (Germ res R through the nd members n n ith other GC D-R strategie | Antation of the AV Strateg hany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I CF members to design p s (see more at 1.1.7). | I TPS Ps oduceProte Ps | ct platforr | 26, 27 ms (1.1.6) 27, 28, 29 27, 28, 29 27, 28, 29 Ilaboration | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp of local con Progress i 2.2.4. The particular Progress in 2017 2.2.5. Cor | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper- insparent and effective national forest governance structure 2017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F beet for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples ar immunities 2017 Nothing to report at this time e full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, in r IPs and local communities Ell supported OPIAC and the gov. of Caquetá to work w between IP and subnational governments developing LEE inservation of natural forests and biological diversity and er locial and environmental benefits | ration (Germ res R through the nd members n ith other GC D-R strategie nhancement | Antation of the AV Strateg hany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I CF members to design p s (see more at 1.1.7). | I TPS Ps oduceProtec Ps Ps rinciples to | ct platforn | 26, 27 ms (1.1.6) 27, 28, 29 27, 28, 29 27, 28, 29 Ilaboration | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp of local col Progress i 2.2.4. The particular Progress in 2017 2.2.5. Cor of other s Progress | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper- insparent and effective national forest governance structure 2017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F beect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples ar immunities 2017 Nothing to report at this time e full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, in r IPs and local communities Ell supported OPIAC and the gov. of Caquetá to work w between IP and subnational governments developing LEE inservation of natural forests and biological diversity and er pocial and environmental benefits | ration (Germ res R through the nd members n ith other GC D-R strategie nhancement | Antation of the AV Strateg hany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I CF members to design p s (see more at 1.1.7). | I TPS Ps oduceProtec Ps Ps rinciples to | ct platforn | 26, 27 ms (1.1.6) 27, 28, 29 27, 28, 29 27, 28, 29 Ilaboration | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp of local col Progress i 2.2.4. The particular Progress in 2017 2.2.5. Cor of other s Progress | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper insparent and effective national forest governance structure 2017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F beect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples are mmunities n 2017 Nothing to report at this time e full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, in r IPs and local communitiesEll supported OPIAC and the gov. of Caquetá to work w between IP and subnational governments developing LEE nservation of natural forests and biological diversity and er tocial and environmental benefitssin 2017Reduced pressure on forests is expected throw cions to address the risks of reversals | ration (Germ res R through the nd members n ith other GC D-R strategie nhancement | Antation of the AV Strateg nany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I CF members to design p s (see more at 1.1.7). TPS Ps ementation of the ITPS (| I TPS Ps oduceProtec Ps Ps rinciples to | ct platforn | 26, 27 ns (1.1.6) 27, 28, 29 27, 28, 29 Ilaboration 27 | | |
| in 2017 2.2.2. Tra Progress in 2.2.3. Resp of local con Progress i 2.2.4. The particular Progress in 2017 2.2.5. Cor of other s Progress 2.2.6. Act Progress | Ell is working at the national level to support the effective AV implementation is supported by international cooper insparent and effective national forest governance structure 2017 Ell is monitoring Caquetá's progress towards LED-F beect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples are mmunities 2017 Nothing to report at this time e full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, in r IPs and local communitiesEll supported OPIAC and the gov. of Caquetá to work w between IP and subnational governments developing LED nservation of natural forests and biological diversity and er tocial and environmental benefitsSin 2017Reduced pressure on forests is expected throust cions to address the risks of reversals | ration (Germ res R through the nd members n ith other GC D-R strategie nhancement | Antation of the AV Strateg nany, Norway, and UK) Natl. laws and regiona e GCFImpact and the Pro REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I REDD+ SES, RBD, TPS I CF members to design p s (see more at 1.1.7). TPS Ps ementation of the ITPS (| I TPS Ps oduceProtec Ps Ps rinciples to | ct platforn | 26, 27 ns (1.1.6) 27, 28, 29 27, 28, 29 Ilaboration 27 | | |

| | 2.1 Level of implementation of REDD+ related po Status of Implementation uses Scal | | | | | or 2.1) | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|--------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Progress Name and Type of policy/measure | Location and Policy Level | | Target Y2 | Source1 | Impact on Gender; Transparency; Sust. livelihoods; Red. Deforestation | | | |
| - | al Amazon LED-R strategy connects national REDD and cultural finance to support zero-net deforestation | Peru, national and subnational | 3 | 3 | 7, 8, 13 | Gender; Transparency; Sustainable livelihoods; Red. Def. | | | |
| Progress in 2017 | Target achieved (4). Jurisdictional strategies for LED-R were advanced in San Martin and Ucayali regions in 2017, aligned wit the national REDD strategy and agricultural incentives to support zero net-deforestation. To initiate the process, executive | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | 10 |
|--|--|--|----------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|---------|------------|------------------|
| Intermed | diary Outco | me 2.4.i: PE Am. LED-R stra | ategy designed in a | lignment with the N | lationa | l Strate | egy f | or For | ests | and Clim | ate (ENBCC) |
| | | an daalaa ad | | Domo och voti ovol | 0 | | | 0.40 | | | |
| PE. Am. I Progress | | gy designed o report. Regional executiv | o recolutions ann | Peru, subnational | 0 | | | 8, 13 | Id | | stratogias |
| in 2017 | - | | | | | - | | | - | | • |
| | 17 that prioritize necessary actions at regional scale to implement the ENBCC. This includes identifying regional actions to support NDCs associated LULUC, and align national, regional and local priorities on climate mitigation. The mandate for | | | | | | | | | | |
| regional strategies can form the basis for Amazon-wide LED-R policies. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Output Level Frequency of Reporting: Annual | | | | | | | | | ual | | |
| | | I | ndicators | | | | | Baseli | ine | Target | Source1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | Y2 | |
| - | | nce-based value propositic t jurisdictions in Peru | on for policy alignm | ent (including regio | nal-na | tional a | lignı | ment) | and | integrati | on is |
| | | results of analysis and con nt options for the Peruviar | | sts and benefits of 2 | or 3 lo | W- | | 0 | | | 8, 11, 18, 35 |
| Progress | | layed. Ell has analyzed the | | nent plans of San Ma | artín ai | nd Ucay | vali a | and th | eir al | ignment | with the |
| in 2017 | | 74). In addition, the goals | | | | | | | | • | |
| | | e if there was complement | | | | | | | | | |
| | | es at the Amazon scale is st | | | | | | an ind | icate | d in prod | luct 1.1.5 |
| Output 2 | | y MDA (FT was supposed t | | | | | | . :. da | wala | | |
| - | - | sal to include targets for re nal government for which | - | | ional G | overnn | ient | <u>s is de</u> 0 | | 1 | 8, 18, 35 |
| Progress | - | passed (2). An analysis of p | | | lonme | nt plan | s rea | 0 | | | |
| in 2017 | - | prest and agriculture mana | - | | - | - | | - | | - | |
| - | - | ned with emerging LED-R s | | - | - | | | | | | - |
| | teams to d | determine if existing plans | and policies align w | vith international cor | nmitm | ents an | d LE | D-R go | oals. I | n both re | egions, |
| | existing go | bals were modified to adop | ot LED-R concepts (| A75&A118). | | | | | | | |
| Output 2 | 2.4.3: Propo | sals for Regional Strategie | s for Forest and Cli | mate to support the | imple | mentat | ion | of the | Natio | onal Stra | tegy for |
| | | ENBCC) and to allow the c | - | - | 2MoU a | and the | RBE |) | | | 1 |
| # of regio | onal governi | ment for which reports (pro | oposals) are compl | eted | | | | 0 | | 1 | 8, 18, 35 |
| Progress | - | passed (2). Proposals defir | | • | | - | | | | | - |
| in 2017 | | NBCC. Two executive reso | - | | | | | | - | - | |
| | | ents to prioritize the develo | | | pective | region | s. II | hese II | nclud | ed the d | esign and |
| | | tation of policies and incer | | Your organization | | artnorl | cV c | ontrib | ution | , cao fa | atmoto? |
| | ľ | | | - | - | | - | | ution | 1, SEE 100 | |
| | | Safeguard cate | <u> </u> | | | ry case/ | | | | | Source1 |
| | • | etween national forests pro | ogrammes and inte | | | | | | D+, S | SES, | 25 |
| | ons and agr | | ana 2.4 (Decienal I | | | RBD), T | | | | | it. of the |
| Progress in 2017 | | osals described under outco lans with the international | · - | | - | - | | | omp | lementar | ity of the |
| | | nd effective national forest | - | | | aws and | | | ΓΡς Ρ | s | 26, 27 |
| | · · | ecutive resolutions, particip | 5 | | | | - | | | | |
| Progress | | ED-R development must in | | - | | - | | | - | | |
| in 2017 | | ind fishery producers, to re | - | | | | | - | | | |
| | | g regional LED-R strategies | | | | | | | | | |
| - | - | knowledge and rights of in | digenous peoples a | and members of | REDD | ⊦ SES, R | BD, ⁻ | TPS Ps | | | 27, 28, |
| local comr | | | | | | | | | | | 29 |
| | | goals and strategy propos | | | | | | | | | |
| in 2017 | | adequately incorporate the providing capacity building capacity building | • | - | | - | - | ue wi | li beg | in at the | end of |
| 224 Th | | fective participation of rele | | | | + SES, R | | | | | 27, 28, |
| | | and local communities | evant stakenoluers, | | NLDD | - 3L3, N | 50, | 11313 | | | 27, 28, 29 |
| | s in 2017 | The two approved execut | ive resolutions ma | ndate the inclusion o | of key a | ctors, ir | ncluo | ding in | dige | nous gro | - |
| Ū | | communities and small p | | | - | | | - | 0 | Ū | |
| 2.2.5. Co | nservation c | of natural forests and biolog | | | TPS Ps | - | | | | | 27 |
| | | ronmental benefits | - | | | | | | | | |
| Progress | Proposed | LED-R goals for each region | n consider biodiver | sity and the conserva | ation a | nd man | ager | nent d | of pri | mary for | ests. |
| in 2017 | Goals rela | ted to businesses based or | n biological resourc | es and forest produc | ts and | service | s are | e inclu | ded. | | |
| 2.2.6. Ac | tions to add | ress the risks of reversals | | | TPS Ps | ; | | | | | 7, 27 |
| Progress | - | o report at this time. The ri | | | ed. Ana | alysis w | ill be | comp | oleteo | d when a | ctivities are |
| in 2017 | proposed | to reach regional LED-R go | als in 2018 and 201 | .9. | | | | | | | |

| 2.2.7. Acti | ions to reduce the displacement of emissions | TPS Ps | 30 | | | | |
|-------------|---|--------|----|--|--|--|--|
| Progress | Analysis to assess potential for leakage will be completed when activities to achieve regional LED-R goals are developed. | | | | | | |
| in 2017 | However, working at regional (jurisdictional) scales reduces leakage risks. Alignment of LED-R strategies of 5 Amazonian | | | | | | |
| | regions will further ensure displacement of emissions are avoided. | | | | | | |

| | | preferentially source agricultural commodities from high-per | forming state | sand p | rovinces. | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | Indicator | | | Baseline | Target Y2 | Data Source1 | | |
| # of priva | te-public | partnerships created to support jurisdictional sourcing (std. repo | orting indicato | r 2.3.1) | 1 | 2 | 38, 39, 40 | | |
| | | surpassed (3). EII supported the launching of the Balikpapan Challe | | | olic-private | e partner | ships to | | |
| Progress | | jurisdictional sourcing (A108-109). EII collaborated with the GCF | | | | | | | |
| ו 2017 | FEFAC, | RTRS, AMaggi, GTPS, SEMA-MT, Accountability Framework, Unilev | ver, GCF TF m | ember re | representatives (A76). In | | | | |
| | additior | n, EII worked directly with FEFAC to provide inputs for the revisior | n of their buyiı | ng guide | lines to ac | commoda | ate | | |
| | prefere | ntial jurisdictional sourcing of carbon neutral soy from Brazil (A77 | 7). Solidaridad | China fo | stered par | tnerships | s between | | |
| | China a | nd MT for soy and livestock, in collaboration with EII; and EII betw | ween MT and B | Europear | n buyers o | f soy. | | | |
| # of govs | (natl, sta | te) committed to sourcing soy, beef and/or palm oil from sustaina | able jurisdictio | ons | 0 | 0 | 3 | | |
| rogress | No targ | et to report. Within the BC, there are initiatives to support these | commitments | s such as | a partners | ship with | FFEAC to | | |
| in 2017 | the dev | elop the concept of jurisdictional carbon neutral. The first (and la | arge) issue tha | t the BC | is trying to | address | is to | | |
| | develo | o shared metrics between governments and private sector. Curren | ntly, the priva | te secto | r is focused | d on zero | | | |
| | defores | station commitments, while the government is focused on illegal | deforestation. | | | | | | |
| | | y, beef and palm oil procured in compliance with governmental | Soy | | 0 | 0 | 7, 8 | | |
| sustainab | le sourci | ng commitments | Palm | | 0 | 0 | 7, 8 | | |
| Progress | No targ | et to report. Ell and partners' actions to secure jurisdictional sour | rcing of ag. co | mmoditi | es were m | ainly focu | used in the | | |
| Progress in 2017 | private through above f | delayed. EII has invested efforts in sourcing agreements with proc actors, such as Aprosoja, Famato, Abiove, FEFAC, and CSIA, when MOU between Aprosoja/ABIOVE and CSIA and between Abiove/ | re we were ab | le to adv | ance more | effective | - | | |
| industries Draft agre Task Force | China N Feed In iary Out b) design eement c e membro oapan Ch Target discuss | Ell is supporting the advancement of the BC, which includes multi- hating development of sustainable soy sourcing guidelines with sta- lational Vegetable Oils Association, Heilongjiang Soy Association, dustry Association (also, Ell, TNC, WWF). come 3.1.i: Draft agreements between supply chain actors (inclu- ed to support the sourcing of agricultural commodities from hig lesigned. Note: current plan is to achieve global consensus among ers, farm sectors and nations on how to define "success" in addre hallenge process) delayed. In 2017 the presidency of Aprosoja changed, with new D ions that Ell and Solidaridad China have advanced regarding the d | iple private and takeholders ind Ministry of Er uding Norweg gh-performing g companies, of essing deforest Director, and th | d public cluding C ivironme ian and (states a GCF tation | actors. Sol OFCO, JIU Intal Prote Chinese so nd provin 0 et back sor | idaridad SAN, Sino ction, an y-depen ces 1 ne of the | entioned China is ograin, d China dent 7, 41 | | |
| industries Draft agre Task Forco (via Balikp Progress n 2017 | China N Feed In iary Out beenent c e membe bapan Ch Target discuss in MT. | Ell is supporting the advancement of the BC, which includes multi- hating development of sustainable soy sourcing guidelines with sta- lational Vegetable Oils Association, Heilongjiang Soy Association, dustry Association (also, EII, TNC, WWF). come 3.1.i: Draft agreements between supply chain actors (inclu- ed to support the sourcing of agricultural commodities from hig lesigned. Note: current plan is to achieve global consensus among ers, farm sectors and nations on how to define "success" in addre allenge process) delayed. In 2017 the presidency of Aprosoja changed, with new D ions that EII and Solidaridad China have advanced regarding the d | iple private and takeholders ind Ministry of Er uding Norwegi gh-performing g companies, of essing deforest Director, and the draft agreement | d public cluding C avironme ian and (states a GCF tation his has so nt for the | actors. Sol OFCO, JIU ental Prote Chinese so nd provin 0 et back sor e sourcing | idaridad SAN, Sino ction, an y-depen ces 1 ne of the | entioned China is ograin, d China dent 7, 41 | | |
| industries Draft agre Task Force (via Balikp rogress n 2017 Status of | China N Feed In iary Out b) design eement c e membro oapan Ch Target discuss in MT. Impleme | Ell is supporting the advancement of the BC, which includes multi- hating development of sustainable soy sourcing guidelines with sta- lational Vegetable Oils Association, Heilongjiang Soy Association, dustry Association (also, EII, TNC, WWF). come 3.1.i: Draft agreements between supply chain actors (inclu- ed to support the sourcing of agricultural commodities from hig lesigned. Note: current plan is to achieve global consensus among ers, farm sectors and nations on how to define "success" in addre allenge process) delayed. In 2017 the presidency of Aprosoja changed, with new D | iple private and takeholders ind Ministry of Er uding Norwegi gh-performing g companies, of essing deforest Director, and the draft agreement | d public cluding C avironme ian and (states a GCF tation his has so nt for the | actors. Sol OFCO, JIU ental Prote Chinese so nd provin 0 et back sor e sourcing | idaridad SAN, Sino ction, an y-depen ces 1 ne of the | entioned China is ograin, d China dent 7, 41 | | |
| industries Draft agre Task Force (via Balikp rogress n 2017 Status of Type of p | China N Feed In iary Out b) design eement c e membro oapan Ch Target discuss in MT. Impleme policy/ | Ell is supporting the advancement of the BC, which includes multi- hating development of sustainable soy sourcing guidelines with sta- lational Vegetable Oils Association, Heilongjiang Soy Association, dustry Association (also, EII, TNC, WWF). come 3.1.i: Draft agreements between supply chain actors (inclu- ed to support the sourcing of agricultural commodities from hig lesigned. Note: current plan is to achieve global consensus among ers, farm sectors and nations on how to define "success" in addre allenge process) delayed. In 2017 the presidency of Aprosoja changed, with new D ions that EII and Solidaridad China have advanced regarding the d | iple private and takeholders ind Ministry of Er uding Norweg gh-performing g companies, of essing deforest Director, and the draft agreement reporting indi | d public cluding C avironme ian and (states a GCF tation nis has so nt for the | actors. Sol OFCO, JIU ental Prote Chinese so nd provine 0 et back son e sourcing 1.1) | idaridad SAN, Sinc ction, an y-depen ces 1 me of the of sustain | entioned China is ograin, d China dent 7, 41 | | |
| industries Draft agre Task Force (via Balikp Progress n 2017 Status of Type of p practice c | China N Feed In iary Oute s) design eement c e membe bapan Ch Target discuss in MT. Impleme policy/ hange/ | Ell is supporting the advancement of the BC, which includes multi- hating development of sustainable soy sourcing guidelines with sta- lational Vegetable Oils Association, Heilongjiang Soy Association, dustry Association (also, EII, TNC, WWF). come 3.1.i: Draft agreements between supply chain actors (inclu- ed to support the sourcing of agricultural commodities from hig lesigned. Note: current plan is to achieve global consensus among ers, farm sectors and nations on how to define "success" in addre allenge process) delayed. In 2017 the presidency of Aprosoja changed, with new D ions that EII and Solidaridad China have advanced regarding the d | iple private and takeholders ind Ministry of Er uding Norwegi gh-performing g companies, of essing deforest Director, and the draft agreement reporting indu- seline Targ | d public cluding C avironme ian and (states a GCF tation nis has so nt for the | actors. Sol OFCO, JIU ental Prote Chinese so nd provin 0 et back sor e sourcing 1.1) ata Stak | idaridad SAN, Sinc ction, an y-depen ces 1 me of the of sustain | entioned China is ograin, d China dent 7, 41 | | |
| industries Draft agre Task Force (via Balikp rogress n 2017 Status of Type of p | China N Feed In iary Out s) design eement c e membe bapan Ch Target discuss in MT. Impleme bolicy/ hange/ rency | Ell is supporting the advancement of the BC, which includes multi- hating development of sustainable soy sourcing guidelines with sta- lational Vegetable Oils Association, Heilongjiang Soy Association, dustry Association (also, EII, TNC, WWF). come 3.1.i: Draft agreements between supply chain actors (inclu- ed to support the sourcing of agricultural commodities from hig lesigned. Note: current plan is to achieve global consensus among ers, farm sectors and nations on how to define "success" in addre allenge process) delayed. In 2017 the presidency of Aprosoja changed, with new D ions that EII and Solidaridad China have advanced regarding the d | iple private and takeholders ind Ministry of Er uding Norweg gh-performing g companies, of essing deforest Director, and the draft agreement reporting indi | d public cluding C avironme ian and (states a GCF tation his has so ht for the icator 3 et Da Sou | actors. Sol OFCO, JIU ental Prote Chinese so nd provin 0 et back sor e sourcing 1.1) ata Stak | idaridad SAN, Sinc ction, an y-depen ces 1 me of the of sustain | entioned China is ograin, d China dent 7, 41 | | |
| industries Draft agre Task Forco (via Balikp rogress 2017 Status of Type of p practice c transpa | China N Feed In iary Out s) design eement c e membe bapan Ch Target discuss in MT. Impleme bolicy/ hange/ rency | Ell is supporting the advancement of the BC, which includes multi- hating development of sustainable soy sourcing guidelines with sta- lational Vegetable Oils Association, Heilongjiang Soy Association, dustry Association (also, EII, TNC, WWF). come 3.1.i: Draft agreements between supply chain actors (inclu- ed to support the sourcing of agricultural commodities from hig lesigned. Note: current plan is to achieve global consensus among ers, farm sectors and nations on how to define "success" in addre allenge process) delayed. In 2017 the presidency of Aprosoja changed, with new D ions that EII and Solidaridad China have advanced regarding the d entation uses scale from standard reporting indicator (Standard Company; Commodity; Location | iple private and takeholders ind Ministry of Er uding Norwegi gh-performing g companies, of essing deforest Director, and the draft agreement reporting indu- seline Targ | d public cluding C avironme ian and (states a GCF tation his has so ht for the iccator 3 | actors. Sol OFCO, JIU Intal Prote Chinese so nd provine 0 et back sor e sourcing 1.1) Ata Irce Stak | idaridad SAN, Sino ction, an y-depen ces 1 me of the of sustain | entioned China is ograin, d China dent 7, 41 | | |
| industries Draft agre Task Force (via Balikp rogress n 2017 Status of Type of p practice c transpa | China N Feed In iary Out of design eement of emembro oapan Ch Target discuss in MT. Impleme policy/ hange/ rency ure | Ell is supporting the advancement of the BC, which includes multi- hating development of sustainable soy sourcing guidelines with sta- lational Vegetable Oils Association, Heilongjiang Soy Association, dustry Association (also, EII, TNC, WWF). come 3.1.i: Draft agreements between supply chain actors (inclu- ed to support the sourcing of agricultural commodities from hig lesigned. Note: current plan is to achieve global consensus among ers, farm sectors and nations on how to define "success" in addre allenge process) delayed. In 2017 the presidency of Aprosoja changed, with new D ions that EII and Solidaridad China have advanced regarding the d entation uses scale from standard reporting indicator (Standard Company; Commodity; Location | iple private and takeholders ind Ministry of Er uding Norweg gh-performing g companies, of essing deforest Director, and the draft agreement reporting indust seline Targ Y2 | d public cluding C ivironme ian and (states a GCF tation his has so it for the icator 3 et Da Sou 1 | actors. Sol OFCO, JIU Intal Prote Chinese so nd provine 0 et back son e sourcing 1.1) Inta Inte Stak | idaridad SAN, Sino ction, an y-depen ces 1 me of the of sustain | entioned China is ograin, d China dent 7, 41 nable soy involved r, Sub-ntl. | | |
| industries Draft agre Task Force (via Balikp rogress n 2017 Status of Type of p practice c transpa measu | China N Feed In iary Out of design eement of emembro oapan Ch Target discuss in MT. Impleme policy/ hange/ rency ure | Ell is supporting the advancement of the BC, which includes multi- hating development of sustainable soy sourcing guidelines with sta- lational Vegetable Oils Association, Heilongjiang Soy Association, dustry Association (also, EII, TNC, WWF). come 3.1.i: Draft agreements between supply chain actors (inclu- ed to support the sourcing of agricultural commodities from hig- lesigned. Note: current plan is to achieve global consensus among ers, farm sectors and nations on how to define "success" in addre allenge process) delayed. In 2017 the presidency of Aprosoja changed, with new D ions that EII and Solidaridad China have advanced regarding the d entation uses scale from standard reporting indicator (Standard Company; Commodity; Location Denofa, Grupo Amaggi Unilever, Cargill, etc.; Soy; MT, BR | iple private and takeholders ind Ministry of Er uding Norweg gh-performing g companies, of essing deforest Director, and the draft agreement reporting indust seline Targ Y2 | d public cluding C ivironme ian and (states a GCF tation his has so it for the icator 3 et Da Sou 1 | actors. Sol OFCO, JIU ental Prote Chinese so nd provine 0 et back son e sourcing 1.1) ata sourcing Priva Gove | idaridad SAN, Sino ction, an y-depen- ces 1 me of the of sustain ceholders | entioned China is ograin, d China dent 7, 41 nable soy involved r, Sub-ntl. | | |
| industries Draft agre Task Force (via Balikp rogress n 2017 Status of Type of p practice c transpan mease | China N Feed In iary Out s) design eement c e membro bapan Ch Target discuss in MT. Impleme policy/ hange/ rency ure | Ell is supporting the advancement of the BC, which includes multi- hating development of sustainable soy sourcing guidelines with sta- lational Vegetable Oils Association, Heilongjiang Soy Association, dustry Association (also, EII, TNC, WWF). come 3.1.i: Draft agreements between supply chain actors (inclu- ed to support the sourcing of agricultural commodities from hig- lesigned . Note: current plan is to achieve global consensus among ers, farm sectors and nations on how to define "success" in addre allenge process) delayed. In 2017 the presidency of Aprosoja changed, with new D ions that EII and Solidaridad China have advanced regarding the d entation uses scale from standard reporting indicator (Standard A Denofa , Grupo Amaggi Unilever, Cargill, etc.; Soy; MT, BR JBS, Mafrig, McDonalds; Beef; MT, BR | iple private and takeholders ind Ministry of Er uding Norwegi gh-performing g companies, of essing deforest Director, and the draft agreement reporting inditional seline Targ Y2 2 3 | d public cluding C avironme ian and C states a GCF tation nis has se nt for the icator 3 et Da Sou 1 41 | actors. Sol OFCO, JIU ental Prote Chinese so nd provine 0 et back son e sourcing 1.1) ata stak irce Priva Gov | idaridad SAN, Sino ction, an y-depen- ces 1 me of the of sustain ceholders | entioned China is ograin, d China dent 7, 41 nable soy involved r, Sub-ntl. | | |
| industries Draft agre Task Force (via Balikp rogress n 2017 Status of Type of p practice c transpan measu Jurisdictic Sourcing | China N Feed In iary Out s) design eement c e membro bapan Ch Target discuss in MT. Impleme policy/ hange/ rency ure | Ell is supporting the advancement of the BC, which includes multi- hating development of sustainable soy sourcing guidelines with sta- lational Vegetable Oils Association, Heilongjiang Soy Association, dustry Association (also, EII, TNC, WWF). come 3.1.i: Draft agreements between supply chain actors (inclu- ed to support the sourcing of agricultural commodities from hig lesigned. Note: current plan is to achieve global consensus among ers, farm sectors and nations on how to define "success" in addre allenge process) delayed. In 2017 the presidency of Aprosoja changed, with new D ions that EII and Solidaridad China have advanced regarding the d entation uses scale from standard reporting indicator (Standard Company; Commodity; Location Base Denofa, Grupo Amaggi Unilever, Cargill, etc.; Soy; MT, BR JBS, Mafrig, McDonalds; Beef; MT, BR Wilmar, Sinar Mas, Unilever, Nestle Oil and National | iple private and takeholders ind Ministry of Er uding Norwegi gh-performing g companies, of essing deforest Director, and the draft agreement reporting inditional seline Targ Y2 2 3 | d public cluding C avironme ian and C states a GCF tation nis has se nt for the icator 3 et Da Sou 1 41 | actors. Sol OFCO, JIU Ental Prote Chinese so nd provinc 0 et back sor e sourcing 1.1) ata sourcing 1.1) ata Gove Id Id | idaridad SAN, Sino ction, an y-depen- ces 1 me of the of sustain ceholders | entioned China is ograin, d China dent 7, 41 nable soy involved r, Sub-ntl. | | |

| Progress in 2017 | Target not achieved. INOBU completed first sale of RSPO-certified, smallholder palm oil to Un were not able to advance on commitments from individual companies as anticipated. The prob companies are still very concerned with risk management, and specific pledges related to their our focus to larger sourcing agreements with associations (eg. Aprosoja, Abiove, Fefac, CSIA) as of soy, these institutions represent 100s of companies, which is much more significant declarations of companies. However, because of the size of the volume involved in these negatives slowly and requires well planned actions from the 3FI partners (eg. EII and Solidaridad) as | lem, as wo own supp s describe t than sti otiations, | e see it, is Iy chain. d above. mulating this proc | s that many We shifted In the case unilateral ess evolves |
|---------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | Output Level | | | |
| | Indicator | Baseline | Target Y2 | Source1 |
| - | .1.1: Analysis of potential climate change mitigation impacts, cost implications, and procurem commodities from sustainable, low-deforestation jurisdictions | ent optio | ns for so | urcing, by |
| - | and meetings summarized in reports describing options for jurisdictional procurement of soy, palm oil by China (1 report per commodity) | 0 | 1 | 34, 36 |
| Progress in 2017 | Target partially achieved. 2017 was a very dynamic time for the Chinese government and its por government endorsement of sustainable sourcing agreements, with 19 th Party Congress. Ell de supporting China-Brazil sourcing agreement that incorporates changes made in China constitut concept) (A78). Solidaridad China prepared a report on China's role in Sustainable Agriculture, and Climate Change MItigation (A79). In addition, Solidaridad was invited by the Ministry of En events to raise awareness about deforestation (A80). Soy crushing plants are now measuring the CO2 emission is because in previous content of the China China Change Mitigation (A80). | veloped a tion (e.g. E Responsit vironmen | concept cologica ble Comn tal to spe | for I CivIlization nodity Trade eak at public |
| # Meeting | CO2 emissions is becoming more ingrained into China's development agenda. s with Chinese gov and corporate rep. to discuss jurisdictional procurement options | 0 | 2 | 8, 17, 18 |
| Progress in 2017 | Target achieved. First, Solidaridad China supported a delegation of gov. Pedro Taques from M companies in China (A84). Also, Solidaridad China, Ell and others co-organized a Chinese Pork | | - | |
| - | .1.2: Support for workshops, road shows, and exchange visits to develop procurement agreen | nents betv | ween sta | tes that |
| Progress in 2017 | soy (MT, PA), beef (MT, PA, AC) and palm oil (CKal) and Chinese government Target achieved. First, Solidaridad China supported a delegation of gov. Pedro Taques from M companies in China (A84). Also, Solidaridad China, EII and others co-organized a Chinese Pork both reported above. Solidaridad also organized a palm oil roundtable in June of 2017, with pa of Environment (MEP). Solidaridad attempted to convince MEP to launch pilot projects abroad still premature given Xi Jiping's new mandate and changes to constitution (e.g. Ecological Civil | Delegatio articipatio d for soy a | n to Braz n of Chin nd palm, | il (A81-83), na's Ministry |
| - | 1.3: Support for meetings between CA and Chinese governments to discuss possible incl. of su | | - | 1 |
| | of exchange visits & meetings between leading oilseed processing provinces & national ent stakeholders and target producer jurisdictions | 0 | 2 | 8,18, 39, 9 |
| Progress i | | | | |
| | .1.4: Jurisdictional Sustainability Working Group and Forests, Farms and Finance Initiative (3F definitions, concepts, and best practices | I) develop | and dis | seminate |
| | report summarizing findings of JWG and 3FI consultations and discussion | 0 | 1 | 22 |
| Progress in 2017 | Target achieved. The first jurisdictional primer, a guide for practitioners was published early i 90). | in 2017 in | 5 langua | iges (A85- |
| | 1.5: Annual jurisdictional sustainability guidebook published and disseminated | | | |
| - | Target achieved. This was included as part of the jurisdictional primer launched in 2017. In add a partnership to review the progress of all GCF Task Force members towards LED-R. This will ge updated every two years. The studies began in 2017 and a 1st report will be released in 2018 at | nerate a r | eport tha | at will be |
| - | .1.6: Report with case studies on procurement strategies and the costs and benefits of conver | ntional (fa | rm-by-fa | arm) |
| | versus jurisdictional/territorial sourcing published and disseminated onal Sourcing Report (one per beef, soy, palm oil) | 0 | 1 | 22 |
| Progre | ss in 2017 Target partially achieved. The consortium published 3 regional case studies (summ appendix to the jurisdictional sourcing primer (3.1.4). These reports are preliminary sustainable sourcing of palm oil (C Kalimantan) and soy (Mato Grosso) will be comp | arized) (A y. In 2018 pleted. | 85-90, Ar options | nnex 3) as an for |
| | .1.7: Options assessment for jurisdictional certification is conducted, published and discussed | | | PO, RTRS |
| Progress | Assessment Reports Target partially achieved. EII and INOBU began to prepare three options assessments for soy, b be finalized and published in 2018. | 0 beef, palm | 1 (A91), ar | l 1d they will |
| | .1.8: Analysis and convening in support of jurisdictional certification pilots for RSPO and RTRS | in CKala | nd MT | |
| | ings involving at least 3 roundtables to discuss jurisdictional certification | 0 | 1 | |

| | | | | | 13 | | |
|---|-------------------|---|--------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|
| | Target surpa | ssed (3). In 2017, we held 3 successful meetings with 3FI partners (all around othe | r events) t | o suppor | t the | | |
| Progress | jurisdictiona | l approach (including certification, sourcing, incentives) in target regions. First, at t | he annual | TFA Con | ference in | | |
| in 2017 | Brasilia, Braz | il (A92). Second, at the GCF annual meeting in Balikpapan, Indonesia (A110) when | the Balikp | apan Cha | allenge (BC) | | |
| | was launche | d (the BC aims to foster public-private partnerships to support jurisdictional strate | gies). Thir | d, in Boni | n, Germany, | | |
| | | OP 23 to discuss the BC's execution and the creation of a Steering Committee to a | | | | | |
| | (A111). | - | | - | | | |
| Output 3 | .1.9: Analysis | and convening in support of jurisdictional certification pilots for RSPO and RTRS | in CKal a | nd MT | | | |
| | | t of jurisdictional rules | 0 | Х | | | |
| | Target part | ially achieved: Draft concept document prepared and will be completed in 2018 as | basis of n | nulti-stak | eholder | | |
| Progress dialogue, with special attention to potential role in being implemented through the FEFAC/FEDIOL partnership | | | | | | | |
| in 2017 | Aprosoja/A | biove. This process has been delayed because of Aprosoja's elections of new leade | ership that | is not co | nvinced that | | |
| | the sustain | able sourcing work should be a priority. | | | | | |
| PCI targets | incorporate | d into Sustainable Municipality Program (PMS Govt program) action plans of 30 | 0 | 15 | | | |
| | | est deforestation or highest recent deforestation, either creating new action | | | | | |
| plans or re | -activating e | | | | | | |
| Progress | - | yed. EII is supporting the "PMS Circuit" to publicize the PCI strategy (A94), but due | to the co | nflicting a | genda of | | |
| in 2017 | | t officials to visit the target regions, the "PMS Circuit" began only in 2018. | 1 | | | | |
| Climate ch | - | soy agreement developed for, and discussed with relevant actors in China, Brazil | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | | p report at this time. In 2017, EII and Solidaridad China collaborated with producer | | | | | |
| Progress | | biove), governments (MT, BR, China), and buyers (CSIA, COFCO, FEFAC) and others | | | | | |
| in 2017 | - | to support carbon neutral soy. This work will be partially fulfilled through science a | assessmer | its made | in | | |
| | anticipation | of the CA Global Climate Action Summit. | | | | | |
| Climate ch | ange incentiv | e for sustainable soy trade designed and beginning implementation | 0 | 1 | | | |
| Progress in | n 2017 Not | arget to report at this time. This activity is planned for 2018 and beyond. | | | | | |
| # of subna | tional govern | ments where the PCI model is introduced beyond Mato Grosso | 0 | 1 | | | |
| Progress i | n 2017 No | target to report at this time. This activity is planned for 2018 and beyond. | | | | | |
| Output 3.1 | 1.10: Busines | s case for low carbon, poverty-alleviating protein from aquaculture in MT and wi | ild fisherie | es in PA | | | |
| | | essments of Peixe da Amazonia (PdA, Acre) public-private-community model and | 0 | 1 | | | |
| Mato Gro | sso aquacult | ure under consideration by SEDEC (for new IPEIXEFish Institute in Mato Grosso) | | | | | |
| | and published | | | | | | |
| Progress i | n 2017 Targ | et delayed. Assessment of PdA completed in 2018 (but is still under revision and s | should not | be publi | cized yet) | | |
| | (A93 | 3). Report for IPEIXE suspended for now, since this initiative is being re-structured | by the stat | e agency | responsible | | |
| | | economic development. Ell is currently discussing with gov. officials how it can bes | t support | this agen | da in MT. | | |
| Aquacult | ure included i | n Mato Grosso's state- wide PCI | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Progress in | n 2017 N | o target to report at this time. EII is discussing with local actors since the IPEIXE op | tion is bei | ng re-stru | uctured. | | |
| Segregate | d fish from w | ild fisheries included in "Pará Sustentavel" plan. | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Progress i | n 2017 No | target to report at this time. This activity is planned for 2018 and beyond. | | | | | |
| Marketin | g and busines | s plans for aquaculture developed in consultation with andgreen.com fund, | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | - | overnments, and potential investors/markets focused on Mato Grosso | | | | | |
| Progress | r | p report at this time. Preliminary assessment of PdA, AC conducted by FT, with gen | eral recor | nmendat | ions. (Note: | | |
| in 2017 | | ent is still under internal review) | | | | | |
| Supply ch | ain, marketir | g and business plan for pirarucu wild fishery completed and discussed with | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Progress i | n 2017 No 1 | arget to report at this time. Sapopema finished a preliminary supply chain mappin | g of pirarı | icu for th | e LA (A122). | | |
| Market a | nd sustainabi | lity assessment of intervention impacts | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Progress in | | No target to report at this time. This activity is planned for 2019-2020. | | | | | |
| | | ility study of pork and poultry as low-carbon protein industries that could allevia | te novert | and rod | | | |
| - | use gas emiss | | te povert | unu ieu | | | |
| _ | | tries (pork, chicken) completed for Mato Grosso | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Progress in | | No target to report at this time. This activity is planned for 2018. | Ŭ | 5 | | | |
| | | a out of the point at this time, this activity is planned for 2010. | | | | | |

2.2 Efficiency (how efficiently project resources have been turned into Outputs):

The Outcomes and Outputs aimed by the project are very ambitious for the existing budget. Thus, we have to be extremely efficient and always seek to seize opportunities for strategic interventions, to collaborate with other meetings/processes, and to keep project partners aligned. When opportunities open up and demand a deeper level of support than we are able to provide with existing resources, we seek additional resources. This general strategy is working well, except for frequent delays in capturing necessary additional funding when major new opportunities appear. For some concrete examples of how we have effectively turned resources into outputs, see A114.

2.3 Choose one or more representative example(s) of results at outcome level. Please describe the chain of events leading to the result in line with Norad's template for results examples (annex 1). If it is too early to describe

outcomes, please explain the reasons for this.

As said in the previous report, this project seeks to achieve large-scale systemic changes that cannot happen within one year, but instead are the results of multiple years of continued work and dedication. For example, it took over 6 years of work from strong political leadership and support from 3FI and local partners for the SISA program in Acre, Brazil be running and benefiting local communities. On the other hand, some processes have moved faster than anticipated. For example, on November 2017, the Tani Subur Village Unit Cooperative (with 190 farmers), an independent smallholder cooperative from Kotawaringin Barat District, became the first farmer group in Kalimantan to receive certification from the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO). The following month, Tani Subur received certification from the Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO), only the second, independent smallholder cooperative unit that has received the ISPO certificate in Indonesia. This is an important milestone in our work towards jurisdictional sustainability (see more under Outcome 2.2). These farmers now also obtain a price premium from Unilever who is purchasing the certified production through the green palm system (<u>http://www.greenpalm.org</u>). However, we prefer to provide full chain of events when this or another success story comes to full fruition.

2.4 **Risks** (that have affected the implementation of the project)

Internal (EII and Consortium): The project continued to have a very tight USD budget at the current NOK:USD rate of exchange. Also, some partners faced internal change of personnel that affected the project (eg. FT) *Mitigation:* The project's spending and USD continued to be monitored on a monthly basis, and the results are incorporated into regular project planning. In addition, we increased monitoring control of partners through quarterly reports and informal check-ins.

External: Political and economic crisis/instability: in BR, the changes in the federal government are still traumatic, and the economic crisis is elevated by continued corruption scandals and lack of definitions of who will be in charge. *Mitigation:* while things are resolved at the national level, we focused our efforts at the state level.

Alignment among different groups and initiatives in target regions: In Brazil NGOs and producers' associations are drifting apart due to polarization (conservation x production), making multi-stakeholder dialogues very challenging. Also, in Peru different NGOs were advancing REDD initiatives without coordination. *Mitigation:* Ell and a few partners continue to dialogue and collaborate with all sides and to identify points of convergence among different groups and initiatives. In Peru, Ell advised the gov. of San Martin to formalize the process to construct its LED-R strategy, including the need to align different initiatives, which they are now doing it.

Lack of financial support to implement LED-R plans: processes such as MT-PCI strategy, AC-SISA Program, the implementation of production-protection pilots in PE, and Sustainable Territories in CO, all require large sums of funding that are beyond the capacity of our project. *Mitigation:* we continue to analyze and support multiple financial mechanisms to attract funds to support these process (see Outcome 1).

Change of personnel: For example, as gov. officials (CKal Province Governor) and bureaucrats (eg. heads of plantation) in Indonesia, and the head of soy farmers' association in Brazil (Aprosoja) went through leadership transition, we sometimes lost leadership and momentum, since we were required to re-invest in capacity building to reintroduce the concepts to the newcomers to understand and become supportive of the project. *Mitigation*: we continue engagement throughout transition to support new personal to become acquainted with our project/activities.

2.5 Deviations: To what extent has the Project been implemented as planned (country, region, thematic area)? Please provide an account and assessment of deviations from the latest approved implementation plan and Project Document/Application and describe what has been done to deal with the deviations.3

In PE, outputs 1.1.6 and 2.4.1 were delayed due to internal problems with our partner FT, but to remediate this we sought a new local partner, MDA to accomplish these tasks effectively. In IN, the submission of a proposal for land regulation was delayed due to elections at the provincial level, but they are planned for 2018 (Output 2.2.5).

Under Outcome 3.1, since the beginning of the grant, it has become clear that there is potential for this project to support a process that culminates in a global framework for jurisdictional sourcing of sustainable, low-deforestation commodities that is adopted by major markets—the EU (FEFAC/FEDIOL) and China. This is the opportunity that the Balikpapan Challenge of the GCF Task Force, co-convened with EII, is designed to seize. Thus, we shifted our approach from single private company commitments to larger sourcing agreements with associations (eg. Aprosoja, Abiove, Fefac, CSIA) as described above. However, because of the size of the volume involved in these negotiations—for example, China and FEFAC are roughly 80% of global trade in soy--this process evolves more slowly and requires well planned actions from the 3FI partners. In MT, due to conflict in state officials' agendas, we were not able to take them to the target municipalities to introduce the PCI targets (output 3.1.9), but planned to do it in early 2018. Also, in MT, the IPeixes was suspended and the aquaculture strategy in the state is being restructured. EII discussing with state officials the best way to support them effectively (Output 3.1.10).

2.6 Coordination with other relevant actors in the geographic areas targeted by the Project.

The jurisdictional approach assumes collective, multi-sectoral, consensual work. Therefore, EII and its partners are keeping permanent coordination with national organizations, national and local governments, NGOs, agriculture sector organizations and other relevant actors involved in the low-carbon development strategies. For example, the initial LED-R strategies developed in Peru (Output 2.4.2) for Ucayali and San Martin required the engagement of several organizations at the national and local levels, including Solidaridad Peru, MDA, AIDER, DAR, USAID, Ministry of Agriculture, Federations of Coffee and Cocoa, among others. For more concrete examples of collaborations in target regions, please see A113.

3. Cross-cutting issues

Anti-corruption: The project is contributing to reduced corruption in target regions through innovative mechanisms for participatory governance and transparent monitoring platforms (eg. Output 1.1.1 - 1.1.6) and by supporting national and regional

policies that improve environmental governance (Outcome 2). We are seeking to establish transparent, neutral mechanisms for channeling climate finance to actors making verifiable progress towards reducing deforestation, including producers and governments (eg. TP Fund, Output 1.1.1 and Jurisdictional Sourcing agreements, Outcome 3.1).

Human rights, including the rights of marginalized groups: Jurisdiction-wide dialogues that facilitate agreements among producers, communities, companies and local governments regarding environmental and social performance indicators are at the core of our approach. In West Papua the focus of our work has been advocating for the acknowledgement of indigenous people rights and also enabling them to participate in sustainable market to get economic benefits for nutmeg production. The project is also supporting local communities in the LA to better manage their fisheries, and we have supported multiple partnerships among IP leaders and subnational governments (see output 1.1.7). We have also advocated for <u>stronger</u> <u>partnerships</u> between GCF members and indigenous peoples and local communities (see Output 1.1.7 and Norad standard indicators 2.2.3).

Women's rights and gender equality: Social equity, which includes gender equality, is a key component of the sustainable development model advocated by our project. We are promoting gender equality by incentivizing direct participation of women, and inclusion of women's interests and concerns, in territorial planning processes (Output 2.1.1, 2.2.3, 2.2.4). Project implementing partners are being required to track participation of women in multi- stakeholder dialogues within jurisdictions (since 2016). In Indonesia, INOBU is embarking on the women empowerment program starting with the nutmeg farmers in West Papua. In San Martin, Peru, EII is encouraged the government to include specific targets to ensure that a percentage of the incentives, land-tenure recognition, and access to financing is focused on women due to the great inequalities for women in rural areas in Peru. Additionally, we are proposing a stronger participation of women, especially from rural areas and IP to validate the regional strategy.

4. Financial report

Submitted separately, and also annex A119.

5. Results monitoring and evaluations

EII conducted a monitoring evaluation of INOBU in September of 2017. The results of evaluation will be reported to Norad by July 2018.

6. Date and attestation

I am authorized to enter into legally binding agreements on behalf of the grant recipient, and attest that to the best of my knowledge and belief the information given in this report is correct.

Date:

. Agril

Daniel Nepstad Executive Director and President of Earth Innovation Institute

Attachments:

Please see all attachments (122 total, including a table of acronyms as A120) at this link: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1AicNCeuSqd1IzsOhwgNHPWj5zAP1_Isx?usp=sharing