GRANT AGREEMENT

Α,

ц÷.

between

The Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation

And

The Earth Innovation Institute

regarding

Agreement Number QZA-0701 QZA-16/0162

"Building Bridges Between Local Policies, REDD+ and Sustainable Supply Chain Initiatives: Phase 3 of the Forests, Farms and Finance Initiative"

PART I: SPECIFIC CONDITIONS PART II: GENERAL CONDITIONS PART III: PROCUREMENT PROVISIONS ANNEX A: BUDGET ANNEX B: RESULTS FRAMEWORK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

^{يد} ,

3.

1	SCOPE AND BACKGROUND	3
2	OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT	3
3	IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT.	4
4	THE GRANT	5
5	DISBURSEMENT	5
6	REPORTING AND OTHER DOCUMENTATION	6
7	AUDIT	7
8.	FORMAL MEETINGS	7
9	REVIEWS AND OTHER FOLLOW-UP MEASURES	7
10	PROCUREMENT	7
11	REPAYMENT OF INTEREST AND UNUSED FUNDS	8
12	NOTICES	8
13	SIGNATURES	8

ť,

PART I: SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

This grant agreement (the Agreement) has been entered into between:

- (1) The Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (Norad), represented by the Civil Society. Department, and
- (2) The Earth Innovation Institute (EII), a non-governmental organization duly established as a 501(c)3 non-profit in California under registration number 27-344564 (the Grant Recipient),

jointly referred to as the Parties.

1 SCOPE AND BACKGROUND

٢,

.....

- 1.1 The Grant Recipient has submitted an application to Norad dated 04.05.2015, with an updated version submitted on 29.01.2016 (the Application) regarding financial support to the project "Building Bridges Between Local Policies, REDD+ and Sustainable Supply Chain Initiatives: Phase 3 of the Forests, Farins and Finance Initiative", which has been given project number QZA-0701 QZA-16/0162" (the Project). The estimated costs of the Project are indicated in the budget attached as Annex A.
- 1.2 Norad has decided to award a grant to be used exclusively for the implementation of the Project (the Grant). The Parties expect the Project to be implemented during the period from 01.01.2016 to 31.12.2020 (the Support Period).
- 1.3 The Parties have agreed to enter into an Agreement, consisting of this part I: Specific Conditions, part II; General Conditions, and part III; Procurement Provisions, all of which form an integral part of this Agreement. In the event of discrepancies between the Special Conditions and the General Conditions or Procurement Provisions, the Specific Conditions shall prevail.

2 OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT

- 2.1 The expected results of the Project are as follows:
- 2.2 The Project's planned effects on society (Impact) are:
 - 1. Incentives to achieve REDD+ efforts are established through the new international climate regime/or other climate, environment and development funding streams.
 - II. Governments in targeted developing countries have implemented REDD+ related policies, measures and safeguards, such as policies for green growth, unsustainable livelihoods, land use-planning, the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities and women's rights.
 - III. Private sector actors have implemented social and environmental policies and practices that reduce the pressure on forests, and are engaged in global public private partnerships.

The planned effects for the target group of the Project (Outcomes) are:

9h 13:

Project Outcome 1: Regionally-tailored mechanisms are leveraging climate finance to attract and deliver public and private sector funds for low-emission development strategies to local governments, smallholders and communities in Brazil, Indonesia, Peru and Colombia.

Project Outcome 2.1: State-wide low-emission rural development strategies designed and implemented through aligned public policies that create integrated systems of landholder incentives (regulatory, administrative and financial) and that are supported by multi-sector governance structures, achieving compliance with Forest Code and implementation of relevant state-level legislation (Mato Grosso—REDD law, Acre—SISA law, Pará—Green Counties Program, Brazil).

Project Outcome 2.2: National and state REDD programs in Indonesia are implemented as part of integrated LED-R strategy through connections between Provincial LED-R strategies, Customary Land Rights Decisions (MK45) and the Agricultural Master Plan.

Project Outcome 2.3: REDD programs implemented in Colombia as part of integrated LED-R strategy through linkages with national zero-deforestation programs and Departmental Development Plans.

Project Outcome 2.4: REDD implemented in Peru as part of an Amazon-wide LED-R Strategy that is integrated with the National Strategy for Forest and Climate (ENBCC) and international agreements to reduce emission from deforestation such as the Under 2 MoU and the Rio Branco Declaration.

Project Outcome 3.1: Supply chain actors including the Norwegian soy-dependent industries in Norway and Chinese government (palm oil, soy, beef) preferentially source agricultural commodities from high-performing states and provinces.

The interided main target groups are subnational governments, agricultural businesses, farmers and smallholders.

2.3 The full results framework is included as Annex B to this Agreement. The Grant Recipient shall submit a revised results framework for approval within 13 May 2016.

3 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT

- 3.1 The Project shall be implemented in accordance with:
 - a) the Agreement, including all annexes,
 - b) the approved Application
 - c) the implementation plan and budget.

as well as any later amendments to the above documents which are approved by Norad,

- 3.2 During the implementation of the Project, the Grant Recipient shall exercise the necessary diligence, efficiency and transparency in line with sound financial management and best practise principles.
- 3.3 The Grant Recipient shall identify, assess and mitigate any relevant risks associated with the implementation of the Project, including the risk of corruption and other financial irregularities, and any potential negative effects that the Project may have on the environment and climate, gender equality and human rights.

0h B

4 THE GRANT

.

- 4.1 The Grant shall amount to maximum NOK 65 000 000 (Norwegian Kroner sixty five million).
- 4.2 Disbursement after the current calendar year is subject to Norwegian Parliamentary appropriations. Significant reductions in the annual allocation to the relevant budget line may lead to a reduction in annual allocations and/or in the total Grant amount. If the Grant amount is reduced the Grant Recipient must revise the implementation plan, budget and results framework correspondingly.
- 4.3 The tentative, annual distribution of the Grant will be as follows:

2016: NOK 16,000,000 2017: NOK 13,000,000 2018: NOK 12,000,000 2019: NOK 12,000,000 2020: Up to remaining Grant amount

The annual allocations must be confirmed by Norad following the Parliament's approval of the state budget for the relevant budget year. Disbursements will be based on the actual financial need of the Project in accordance with article 5 of the Agreement.

- 4.4 The Grant, including accrued interest, shall be used exclusively to finance the actual costs of the implementation of the Project during the Support Period.
- 4.5 The Grant may be used to cover overheads/indirect costs up to a maximum of 7% of Norad's pro rata share of the actual costs of the Project.
- 4.6 The Grant Recipient is responsible for obtaining any additional resources which may be required to duly implement the Project.
- 4.7 The Grant Recipient may apply for additional funding to the Project during the Support Period only upon written invitation from Norad.

5 DISBURSEMENT

- 5.1 The Grant shall be disbursed in advance instalments based on the financial need of the Project for the upcoming period, which shall not exceed six months. The disbursements shall be made upon Norad's receipt of written disbursement requests from the Grant Recipient, describing the financial need for the period in question. The first disbursement shall include approved Project expenses incurred prior to the signing of this Agreement, as part of the approved budget for the year 2016. The second disbursement shall be subject to Norad's receipt and approval of an updated results framework, as per article 2.2.
- 5.2 Financial need refers to the budgeted expenditure for the upcoming period, less any funds available to the Project from all other sources during the same period.

0h 13

- 5.3 The financial need shall be documented through an updated financial statement for the Project and a reference to the latest approved implementation plan and budget.
- 5.4 The disbursement requests shall be signed by an authorised representative of the Grant Recipient. A confirmation that the Project is being implemented in accordance with the Agreement shall be included in the disbursement request.
- 5.5 All disbursements are conditional upon the Grant Recipient's continued compliance with the requirements of the Agreement, including the timely fulfilment of reporting obligations. Norad may withhold disbursements in accordance with article 17 of the General Conditions if it finds that the requirements of the Agreement have not been met. Except for the Project's first year, the first disbursement each year is subject to the Norad's receipt and approval of the updated implementation plan and budget, while the second disbursement each year is subject to Norad's receipt and approval of the latest progress report and financial report.
- 5.6 The Grant Recipient shall have a separate bank account exclusively for grants from Norad. All disbursements will be made to the following bank account:

Name of the account: Earth Innovation Institute. Account no.: 485009543866 IBAN no.: Not applicable ABA number: 026009593 Name and address of the bank: Bank of America, 315 Montgomery Street, 14th Pioor, San Francisco, CA. 94104, USA Swift/BIC code: B0FAUSS3N (note: the "O" is a letter) Currency of the account: USD

5.7 The Grant Recipient shall immediately acknowledge receipt of the funds in writing. The amount received shall be stated, as well as the date of receipt and the exchange rate applied.

6 REPORTING AND OTHER DOCUMENTATION

- 6.1 The following shall be submitted by the Grant Recipient to Norad:
 - a) A progress report covering the period from January to December the previous year shall be submitted to Norad by I May each year. The last year of the Support Period the progress report shall cover the period from the start of the Support Period to December 2019. The progress report shall include the content specified in article 2 of the General Conditions. The Civil Society Department's standard reporting format shall be used.
 - b) A financial report covering the period from January to December the previous year shall be submitted to Norad by 1 May each year. The financial report shall include the content specified in article 3 of the General Conditions. The final financial report shall cover the entire Support Period and shall be submitted along with the final report referred to in article 6.1 f) of the Specific Conditions.
 - c) An audit report covering the annual financial statements of the Project shall be submitted to Norad by 1 May each year. The audit report shall comply with the requirements set out in article 7 of the Specific Conditions and article 5 of the General Conditions. The management letter (matters for governance attention) shall be attached to the audit report.

Page 6 of 9

9h 13

- d) An updated implementation plan and budget covering the period from January to December shall be submitted to Norad by 15 January each year. The implementation plan and budget shall include the content listed in article 1 of the General Conditions.
- e) The annual report and audit report of the Grant Recipient shall be submitted to Norad by 1 May for the previous year. If the auditor in addition submits a management letter (matters for governance attention) this shall be attached to the submitted audit report.
- f) A final report for the Support Period shall be submitted to Norad no later than 6 months after the end of the Support Period. The final report shall include the content listed in article 4 of the General Conditions. The Civil Society Department's standard reporting format shall be used.
- 6.2 If the Grant Recipient is unable to meet the deadlines set out above, Norad shall be informed in writing immediately.
- 6.3 All implementation plans, budgets and reports shall be approved in writing by Norad unless otherwise agreed by the Parties.

7 AUDIT

<u>ن</u>ة. م

- 7.1 The annual financial statements of the Project shall be audited in accordance with the country's national auditing standards which are equivalent to International Standards of Auditing (ISA) 800 ("Special considerations audits of financial statements prepared in accordance with special purpose frameworks") or ISA 805 ("Special considerations audits of single financial statements and specific elements, accounts or items of a financial statement").
- 7.2 The Grant Recipient is responsible for submitting the audit report to Norad within the deadline indicated in article 6 of the Specific Conditions.

8 FORMAL MEETINGS

8.1 The Parties shall hold formal meetings if/when requested by Norad. Details regarding agenda and procedures will be agreed upon by the Parties.

9 REVIEWS AND OTHER FOLLOW-UP MEASURES

- 9.1 A mid-term review focusing on verification of key results, progress on standardised reporting and provision of recommendations regarding project implementation for the remainder of the Support. Period conducted by an independent third party shall be carried out by 1 November 2018. The Grant Recipient shall draft the terms of reference for the review and submit them to Norad for approval. The costs of the review shall be included in the Project budget.
- 9.2 If the Grant Recipient or another interested party initiates a review or evaluation of activities that are wholly or partly funded by the Grant, Notad shall be informed. The Grant Recipient shall forward a copy of the report of any such review or evaluation to Norad without undue delay.

10 PROCUREMENT

10.1 All procurement under the Project shall be completed in accordance with the Procurement Provisions in Part III of this Agreement.

Page 7 of 9

gh -

- 10.2 If the total value of a contract exceeds NOK 500 000, the the procurement record and the signed contract shall be submitted to Norad for information.
- 10.3 Along with the documentation mentioned above, the Grant Recipient shall confirm in writing that the requirements agreed on in this article 10 have been fulfilled.

11 REPAYMENT OF INTEREST AND UNUSED FUNDS

- 11.1 Upon the end of the Support Period or upon termination of this Agreement, any unused funds that total more than NOK 500 shall be repaid to Norad as soon as possible and at the latest within 6 months. The repayment shall include any interest which have not been used for Project purposes, and other financial gain accrued on the Grant.
- 11.2 Repayments shall be made to the following bank account:

Account no.: 7694.05.14815 IBAN no.: NO31 7694 0514 815 Name and address of the bank: DNB BANK ASA, N-0021 Oslo, Norway Swift/BIC code: DNBANOKKXXX

11.3 All transactions shall be clearly marked: "Unused funds" or "Interest". The name of the Grant Recipient shall be stated, along with Norad's agreement number and agreement title.

12 NOTICES

۳.

- 12.1 All communication to Norad concerning the Agreement shall be directed to the Civil Society Department at the following e-mail address: postmottak@norad.no (with copy to marit.fikke@norad.no).
- 12.2 All communication to the Grant Recipient concerning the Agreement shall be directed to Danielle Knight at the following e-mail address: <u>dknight@earthinnovation.org</u>.
- 12.3 Norad's agreement number and agreement title shall be stated in all correspondence regarding this Agreement, including disbursement requests and repayment of unused funds.

13 SIGNATURES

- 13.1 By signing part I of the Agreement, the Parties confirm receipt and approval of part II; General Conditions, and part III; Procurement Provisions, which form an integral part of the Agreement.
- 13.2 This Agreement has been signed in two -2- original copies in the English language. In the event of any discrepancies between this English language version and any later translations, the English language version shall prevail.

Place: Oslo, Norway

1 3 5 2

. .

Date: 21.04.2016 for the Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation,

Inger Brodal

Assistant Director, Civil Society Department

Attachments:

Annex A: Approved budget for the Project Annex B: Results framework

1

for the Earth Innovation Institute,

Daniel Nepstad

Executive Director

0h B

Standard:	Norwegian and Non-Norwegian NGOs	Revision no:.	1
General Conditions	Grant Management Regime I and II.	Date:	01.03.2016

PART II: GENERAL CONDITIONS APPLICCABLE TO GRANTS FROM THE NORWEGIAN AGENCY FOR DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

<u>،</u>:

1	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND BUDGET
2	PROGRESS REPORT2
3	FÏNANÇIAL REPORT
4	FINAL REPORT.
5.	AUDIT
6	CONTROL MEASURES
7	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT
8	EXCHANGE RATE FLUCTUATIONS
9 .	EQUIPMENT, CONSUMABLES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS
10	REAL PROPERTY
11	TRANSFER OF THE GRANT TO A COOPERATING PARTNER
12	CHANGES TO THE PROJECT OR THE GRANT RECIPIENT
13 [.]	EXTENSION OF THE SUPPORT PERIOD
14	TRANSPARENCY
15	FINANCIAL IRREGULARITIES
16	CONFLICT OF INTEREST
17	BREACH OF THE AGREEMENT
18.	TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT 9
1.9·	WAIVER AND IMMUNITIES
20	LIABILITY
21.	ASSIGNMENT
22	RECOGNITION AND PUBLICATION
23.	ENTRY INTO FORCE, DURATION AND AMENDMENT
24	CHOICE OF LAW AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

or B

1 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND BUDGET

- 1.1 Any updated implementation plan to be submitted in accordance with the Specific Conditions shall be directly related to the results framework and shall specify planned activities and outputs and time schedules for the upcoming reporting period.
- 1.2 Any updated budget to be submitted in accordance with the Specific Conditions shall be based on the approved budget in Annex A and include estimated income to the Project from all sources as well as planned expenditures for the upcoming reporting period. The estimated financial need of the Project in the upcoming reporting period shall be clearly stated.
- 1.3 Significant deviations from or changes to the implementation plan and budget is subject to Norad's prior, written approval as outlined in article 12 of the General Conditions.

2 PROGRESS REPORT

- 2.1 Any progress reports to be submitted in accordance with the Specific Conditions shall describe the results achieved by the Project during the reporting period. The report shall be set up in a way that allows direct comparison with the latest approved Application, implementation plan and budget, and shall be signed by an authorised representative of the Grant Recipient.
- 2.2 The progress reports shall, as a minimum, include:
 - a) an account of the results achieved so far by the Project, using the format, indicators and targets of the approved results framework. The overview must:
 - show delivered outputs compared to planned outputs;
 - show the Project's progress towards achieving the Outcome,
 - if possible, describe the likelihood of the Impact being achieved.
 - b) an account and assessment of deviations from the latest approved implementation plan and Application;
 - c) an assessment of how efficiently Project resources have been turned into Outputs;
 - d) a brief account of materialised risk factors to the Project, including how these have been handled in the reporting period and/or will be handled in the future. Identified risks related to the climate and environment, gender equality, corruption and other financial mismanagement and human rights shall always be accounted for.

3 FINANCIAL REPORT

- 3.1 Any financial report to be submitted in accordance with the Specific Conditions shall comprise financial statements with a comparison to the latest approved budget for the reporting period, as well as an identification of any deviations from the budget as per clause 3.3 below. The financial report shall be certified by the financial controller (or equivalent) as well as an authorised representative of the Grant Recipient.
- 3.2 The financial statements shall be set up in a way that allows for direct comparison with the latest approved budget, using the same currency and budget line items. They shall, as a minimum, include:
 - a) the accounting principles applied;
 - b) income from all sources, including bank interest. Norad's contribution shall be specified;:
 - c) expenses charged/capitalised in the relevant reporting period;
 - d) expenses charged/capitalised from start-up of the Project to the end of the reporting period;
 - e) unused funds as per the reporting date;

Page 2 of 11

- f) overhead/indirect costs to be covered by the Grant in accordance with article 4 of the Specific Conditions;
- g) balance sheet, when required in accordance with the accounting principles applied;
- h) explanatory notes including a description of the accounting policies used and any other explanatory material necessary for transparent financial reporting of the Project.
- 3.3 Deviations between the approved budget and the expenses charged/capitalised shall be highlighted with information on both nominal amounts and percentage of each deviation. The Grant Recipient shall include a written explanation of any deviations amounting to more than 10% from a budget line.

4 FINAL REPORT

- 4.1 The final report to be submitted in accordance with the Specific Conditions shall describe the results achieved by the Project during the Support Period. The report shall be set up in a way that allows for a direct comparison with the Application, and shall be signed by an authorised representative of the Grant Recipient.
- 4.2 The final report shall, as a minimum, include:
 - a) the items listed for the progress reports described in article 2 of the General Conditions, covering the entire Support Period;
 - b) an assessment of the Project's effect on society (Impact);
 - c) a description of the main lessons learned from the Project;
 - d) an assessment of the sustainability of the achieved results by the Project.

5 AUDIT

- 5.1 If an audit of the Project's financial statements is required pursuant to the Specific Conditions, the audit shall be carried out by an independent chartered/certified or state-authorised public accountant (auditor).
- 5.2 Norad reserves the right to approve the auditor, and may require that the auditor shall be replaced if Norad finds that the auditor has not performed satisfactorily or if there is any doubt as to the auditor's independence or professional standards.
- 5.3 The auditor shall form an opinion on whether the Project's financial statements fairly reflect the financial position of the Project and whether they are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework, namely:
 - a) the accounting principles followed by the Grant Recipient and;
 - b) the requirements of article 3 clause 2 of the General Conditions.
- 5.4 The auditor shall report in accordance with the applicable audit standard, as agreed in the Specific Conditions.
- 5.5 The audit report shall include:
 - a) the Project name and agreement number,
 - b) identification of the Project's total expenses and total income;
 - c) the subject of the audit;
 - d) the financial reporting framework applied;
 - e) the auditing standards applied;

Page 3 of 11

- f) a statement that the auditor has obtained reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement;
- g) the auditor's opinion.
- 5.6 In addition to the Project's audit report, the auditor shall submit a management letter (matters for governance attention), which shall contain any findings made during the audit of the Project. It shall also list any measures that have been taken as a result of previous audits and whether such measures have been adequate to deal with reported shortcomings.
- 5.7 If any findings have been reported in the Project's management letter, the Grant Recipient shall prepare a response including an action plan to be submitted to Norad together with the management letter.
- 5.8 The costs of the audit of the Project's financial statements shall be included in the Project's budget.
- 5.9 The audit requirements stated in this Agreement are applicable for the total Grant, including any part of the Grant that has been transferred to a cooperating partner.
- 5.10 The auditor of the Project's consolidated financial statement is responsible for the direction, supervision and performance of the audit of any part of the Grant that has been transferred to a cooperating partner. The auditor shall assure itself that those performing the audit for cooperating partners have the appropriate qualifications, that the audit is in compliance with professional standards, and that the audit report is appropriate under the circumstances.
- 5.11 The auditor of the Project's consolidated financial statement shall express an opinion on whether the statement is prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the requirements of this. Agreement. To this end, the auditor shall obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial statements of the cooperating partner and the consolidation process.

6 CONTROL MEASURES

- 6.1 Representatives of Norad and the Norwegian Auditor General may at all times carry out independent reviews, andits, field visits or evaluations or other control measures related to the Project. The objective of such control measures may be i.a to verify that the Grant has been used, in accordance with the Agreement or to evaluate the achievement of results.
- 6.2 The Grant Recipient shall facilitate such control measures by providing all information and documentation necessary to carry out the relevant initiative, as well as ensuring unrestricted access to any premises, records, goods and documents requested.
- 6.3 The representatives of Norad and the Norwegian Auditor General shall also have access to the Grant Recipient's auditor and the auditor's assessments of all information pertaining to the Grant Recipient and the Project. The Grant Recipient shall release the auditor from any confidentiality obligations in order to facilitate such access.
- 6.4 The rights and obligations of this article 6 shall remain in force for 5 years following expiry or termination of the Agreement.

U. B

7 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

- 7.1 The Grant Recipient shall keep accurate accounts of the Project's income and expenditure using an appropriate accounting- and double-entry book-keeping system¹ in accordance with the applicable accounting- and bookkeeping policies in the jurisdiction of the Grant Recipient.
- 7.2. The accounts shall be kept up to date at least on a monthly basis. Bank reconciliations² and cash reconciliations³ shall be completed at least every month, and shall be documented by the Grant Recipient.
- 7.3 Accounts and expenditures relating to the Project must be easily identifiable and verifiable, either by using separate accounts for the Project or by ensuring that Project expenditure can be easily identified and traced within the general accounting- and bookkeeping systems. The accounts must provide details of bank interest accrued on the Grant.
- 7.4 The Grant Recipient shall keep the Project's accounting records for at least 5 years from the time of Norad's approval of the final report for the Project. This shall include i.a. vouchers, receipts, contracts and bank statements.

8 EXCHANGE RATE FLUCTUATIONS

- 8.1 If the Grant is converted into another currency, the exchange shall be made through a national or commercial bank unless otherwise approved by Norad.
- 8.2 If exchange rate fluctuations decrease the value of the Grant to such an extent that this will have consequences for the implementation of the Project, the Grant Recipient shall inform Notad as soon as possible.
- 8.3 If exchange rate fluctuations increase the value of the Grant, the gain shall be treated as disbursed Grant funds and used for Project purposes. Net surplus from conversion into foreign currency, shall be subtracted from future disbursements or repaid as unused funds at the end of the Support Period, unless otherwise agreed between the Parties;

9 EQUIPMENT, CONSUMABLES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

9.1 The right of ownership to equipment, consumables and intellectual property rights procured or developed by use of the Grant shall vest in the Grant Recipient or its cooperating partner, unless otherwise stated in the Application. All matters associated with such equipment, consumables and intellectual property rights are the exclusive responsibility of the Grant Recipient. However, significant use of such equipment, consumables and intellectual property rights for purposes outside the Project shall be subject to the Norad's prior approval, as outlined in Article 12 of the General Conditions.

96 13

I A double-entry bookkeeping a system is system of bookkeeping where every entry to an account requires a corresponding and opposite entry to a different account.

² Bank reconciliation is a process of verifying whether the sum found in the bank statements at the end of the period correspond with transactions recorded in the accounting system. This is usually done in conjunction with closure of the accounting records.

³ Cash reconciliation is a process of verifying whether the cash at hand at the end of the period corresponds with the amount of each in the beginning of the period and the registrations of withdrawals and deposits in the period. This is usually done in conjunction with closure of the accounting records.

- 9.2 Norad shall have a non-exclusive and royalty-free license to use all intellectual property rights procured or developed by the use of the Grant. Norad may assign this right to any individual or organisation at its own discretion.
- 9.3 Transfer of ownership of such equipment, consumables or intellectual property rights during the Support Period shall be made at market terms. Ownership may not be transferred to an employee of the Grant Recipient or its cooperating partner, or to anyone related or connected to an employee, if such relation could lead to a conflict of interest as described in article 16 of the General Conditions.
- 9.4 Before a transfer is decided, the Grant Recipient shall assess whether it may have an impact on the Project and, where appropriate, consult with Norad. Any income from a transfer shall accrue to the Project, and shall be reported in the financial statement of the Project.
- 9.5 The Grant Recipient shall prepare a record of transfer of ownership for any equipment, consumables and intellectual property rights. The record shall comprise information about the object of transfer, the original purchase price paid by the Grant Recipient, price offers received, the final sales price and the name of the purchaser. The record shall be submitted to Norad along with the first progress report due after the sale.
- 9.6 If the activities of the Project do not continue after the end of the Support Period or after termination of the Agreement, the Grant Recipient shall inform Norad about the remaining equipment and goods that have been purchased by use of the Grant. The Norad may require that such assets be sold. Such sale shall be completed in accordance with the procedures described above. Income from the sale shall be repaid to Norad unless otherwise agreed by the Parties.

10 REAL PROPERTY

- 10.1 The Grant may not be used to purchase or construct real property (land or buildings) unless explicitly approved by Norad.
- 10.2 If Norad has approved a purchase or construction of real property, the Grant Recipient and Norad shall agree on the details concerning the ownership and the status of the real property after the end of the Support Period and/or the end of the Project. The agreement may be formalised in the Specific Conditions or in a separate agreement document.
- 10.3 Norad may in such an agreement require i.a. that the real property shall be sold after the end of the Support Period and that the proceeds from the sale shall be repaid to Norad. Norad may also reserve the right to establish security interests in any real property purchased by use of the Grant.

11 TRANSFER OF THE GRANT TO A COOPERATING PARTNER

- 11.1 Transfer of all or part of the Grant including assets to a cooperating partner shall be documented through a written agreement. The agreement shall specify that the cooperating partner is required to comply with the provisions of this Agreement and to cooperate with the Grant Recipient to ensure that the Grant Recipient is able to fulfil its obligations hereunder.
- 11.2 The agreement between the Grant Recipient and the cooperating partner shall have provisions related to i.a. reporting, audit, procurement and measures to prevent financial irregularities. Furthermore, the agreement shall explicitly state that:

Page 6 of 11

9n 13

- a) both the Grant Recipient, Norad and the Norwegian Auditor General shall have the same access to undertake the control measures related to the cooperating partner's use of the Grant as described in article 6 of the General Conditions.
- b) the Grant Recipient shall be entitled to claim repayment of the Grant from the cooperating partner in the same instances and to the same extent that Norad is entitled to claim repayment from the Grant Recipient, and the cooperating partner shall accept that Norad has the right to claim repayment directly from the cooperating partner to the same extent as the Grant Recipient.
- c) the cooperating partner shall accept the choice of law and settlement of disputes provisions in article 24 of the General Conditions in relation to any disputes arising between the cooperating partner and Norad.
- 11.3 The Grant Recipient shall assure itself that the cooperating partner has the necessary competence and internal procedures to meet the requirements of the Agreement and shall follow-up the cooperating partner's compliance with the Agreement throughout the Support Period.
- 11.4 The Grant may not be transferred to a cooperating partner who has previously been charged or sentenced for any criminal activity unless explicitly approved by Norad.
- 11.5 The Grant Recipient shall remain fully responsible towards Norad for any part of the Grant including assets that has been transferred to a cooperating partner.

12 CHANGES TO THE PROJECT OR THE GRANT RECIPIENT

- 12.1 Any significant deviations from or changes to the Application or approved implementation plans or budgets are subject to Norad's prior, written approval. The same applies to significant changes to, or circumstances materially affecting; the Grant Recipient's organisation.
- 12.2 The following deviations/changes shall always be subject to Norad's prior written approval:
 - a) any changes to the Project's sources of income,
 - b) any changes to the results framework or scope of the Project,
 - c) changes to the implementation plan which implies a delay of more than three months of any activity,
 - d) changes to the Project's budget that imply reallocation of more than 10% of a budget line.
- 12:3 Norad may suspend disbursements of the Grant until such changes have been approved.

13 EXTENSION OF THE SUPPORT PERIOD

- 13.1 The Support Period of the Project is set out in the Specific Conditions. The Grant Recipient must, without delay, inform Norad of any circumstances likely to hamper or delay the implementation of the Project.
- 13.2 The Grant Recipient may request an extension of the Support Period if this is necessary to complete all planned activities. The request must state the reasons for the delay and supporting documentation must be enclosed. Norad shall approve or decline the request in writing.

14 TRANSPARENCY

4

- 14.1 The Grant Recipient shall publish the following in a dedicated and easily accessible place of its internet site:
 - a) a copy of this Agreement;

Page 7 of 11

13 13

- b) the title and value of any contracts, cooperation agreements and/or other sub-agreements of more than NOK 500 000 (or the equivalent in local currency) which are to be financed by the Grant;
- c) the names and nationalities of the respective agreement parties and, if relevant, any further subgrantees or contractors in receipt of Project funds;

Any deviations from article 14 shall be agreed by the Parties in writing, i.a. in the Specific Conditions.

- 14.2 Publication shall take place as soon as possible, and at the latest within six months after the contracts, cooperation agreements and/or other sub-agreements were entered into
- 14.3 The Grant Recipient shall make other project documentation, including the Application and all agreed reports, available to anyone upon request. Requests for disclosure may be denied if such disclosure is prohibited by confidentiality obligations and/or if it may be detrimental to the Grant Recipient's legitimate interests.

15 FINANCIAL IRREGULARITIES

- 15.1 The Grant Recipient is required to practise zero tolerance against corruption and other financial irregularities within and related to the Project. The zero tolerance policy applies to all staff members; consultants and other non-staff personnel and to cooperating partners and beneficiaries of the Grant.
- 15.2 Financial irregularities refers to all kinds of:
 - a) corruption, including bribery, nepotism and illegal gratuities;
 - b) misappropriation of cash, inventory and all other kinds of assets;
 - c) financial and non-financial fraudulent statements;
 - all other use of Project funds which is not in accordance with the implementation plan and budget.
- 15.3 In order to fulfil the zero tolerance requirement, the Grant Recipient shall:
 - a) organise its operations and internal control systems in a way that financial irregularities are prevented and detected;
 - b) do its utmost to prevent and stop financial irregularities within and related to the Project;
 - c) require that all staff involved in, and any consultants, suppliers and contractors financed under the Project refrain from financial irregularities.
- 15.4 The Grant Recipient shall inform Norad immediately of any indication of financial irregularities. in or related to the Project. The Grant Recipient shall provide Norad with an account of all the known facts and an assessment of how the matter should be followed up, including whether criminal prosecution or other sanctions are considered appropriate.
- 15.5 The matter will be handled by Norad in accordance with Norad's guidelines for handling, suspicion of financial irregularities. The Grant Recipient shall cooperate fully with Norad's investigation and follow-up. If requested by Norad, the Grant Recipient shall initiate prosecution and/or apply other sanctions against persons or entities suspected of financial irregularities.
- 15.6 Norad may claim repayment of all or parts of the Grant in accordance with article 17 of the General Conditions if it finds that any financial irregularities have taken place in or related to the Project. The repayment claim may also include any interest, investment income or any other financial gain obtained as a result of the financial irregularity.

Page 8 of 11

16 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

ہ. د

- 16.1 The Grant Recipient shall take all necessary precautions to avoid any conflicts of interest in all matters related to the Project.
- 16.2 Conflict of interest refers to any situation where the impartial and objective exercise of the functions of anyone acting on behalf of the Grant Recipient is, or may be, compromised for reasons involving family, personal life, political or national affinity, economic interest or any other connection or shared interest with another person.
- 16.3 If a conflict of interest occur, the Grant Recipient shall, without delay, take all necessary measures to resolve the conflict, e.g. by replacing the person in question or by obtaining independent verification of the terms of the proposed decision or transaction.
- 16.4 If the conflict of interest cannot be resolved and/or if it relates to a decision or transaction of special significance to the Project, the decision or transaction may not be concluded without the prior, written approval of Norad.

17 BREACH OF THE AGREEMENT

- 17.1 If the Grant Recipient fails to fulfil its obligations under this Agreement and/or if there is suspicion of financial irregularities, Norad may suspend disbursement of all or part of the Grant.
- 17.2 In the event of material breach of the Agreement, Norad may terminate the Agreement with immediate effect, and/or claim repayment of all or parts of the Grant.
- 17.3 Material breach of the Agreement shall include, without limitation, the following situations:
 - a) all or part of the Grant has not been used in accordance with the Agreement and/or approved implementation plans and budget,
 - b) the Grant Recipient has made false or incomplete statements to obtain the Grant,
 - c) the use of the Grant has not been satisfactorily accounted for,
 - d) the Grant Recipient has, after having been granted an extended deadline, failed to provide the agreed reports, or has knowingly provided reports that do not reflect reality,
 - e) financial irregularities, grave professional misconduct or illegal activity of any form have taken place within the Grant Recipient or its cooperating partners,
 - f) the Grant Recipient has failed to inform Norad of indication of financial irregularities within the Project in accordance with article 15 of the General Conditions,
 - g) the Grant Recipient has changed legal personality without prior notification to Norad,
 - h) the Grant Recipient is bankrupt, being wound up or is having its affairs administered by the courts, or is subject to any analogous or corresponding procedure provided for under national legislation.
- 17.4 The Grant Recipient shall inform Norad immediately of any circumstances that may indicate or lead to a breach of Agreement, and shall provide Norad with any information or documentation it may reasonably require in order to determine if a breach of the Agreement has occurred.
- 17.5 Norad may also suspend disbursements or terminate the Agreement with immediate effect if a material breach of another agreement between Norad and the Grant Recipient has been established.
- **18 TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT**

Page 9 of 11

- 18.1 Each of the Parties may terminate the Agreement upon a written notice.
- 18.2 The Support Period shall end three months after the date of the notice of termination. During these three months, the Grant Recipient may only use the Grant to cover commitments that have been established before the date of the notice of termination.
- 18.3 If the Project cannot continue without the Grant, the Grant Recipient shall use these three months to discontinue or scale down the Project promptly and in an orderly and financially sound manner. Any funds that remain unused at the end of the Support Period shall be repaid to Norad.
- 18.4 The Grant Recipient shall submit a final report to Norad within three months of the end of the Support Period. The final report shall meet the requirements set out in article 4 of the General Conditions and shall also include a financial report and audit report covering the period from the previous financial report until the end of the Support Period.
- 18.5 The Agreement will be considered terminated when the final report has been approved by Norad and any remaining funds have been repaid.

19 WAIVER AND IMMUNITIES

19.1 Nothing in the Agreement or any document related to the Agreement shall imply a waiver, express or implied, by Norad, the Government of Norway or any of its officials of any privileges or immunity enjoyed by them or their acceptance of the jurisdiction of the courts of any country over disputes arising thereof. This article 19 will not prevent arbitration or court proceedings in the legal venue of the Grant Recipient pursuant to article 24 of the General Conditions.

20 LIABILITY

- 20.1 Norad shall not under any circumstances or for any reason be held liable for damage, injury or loss of income sustained by the Grant Recipient or its staff or property as a direct or indirect consequence of the Project. Norad will not accept any claim for compensation or increases in payment in connection with such damage, injury or loss of income.
- 20.2 The Grant Recipient shall assume sole liability towards third parties, including liability for damage, injury or loss of income of any kind sustained by them as a direct or indirect consequence of the Project. The Grant Recipient shall indemnify Norad against any claim or action from the Grant Recipient's employees or third parties in relation to the Project.

21 ASSIGNMENT

21.1 The Agreement and/or the Grant may not be assigned to a third party without the prior written consent of Norad. This shall not, however, prevent transfer of parts of the Grant to a cooperating partner in accordance with article 11 of the General Conditions:

22 RECOGNITION AND PUBLICATION

22.1 The Grant Recipient shall acknowledge Norad's support to the Project in all publications and other materials issued in relation to the Project. Norad's logotype will be provided by Norad upon request. All use of Norad's logotype must be approved by Norad.

23 ENTRY INTO FORCE, DURATION AND AMENDMENT

ų.

- 23.1 The Agreement shall enter into force at the date of the last signature and shall remain in force until all obligations arising from it have been fulfilled, or until it is tempinated in accordance with the provisions of the General Conditions. Whether the obligations of the Agreement shall be considered fulfilled, will be determined through consultations between the Parties and confirmed by Norad in a completion letter.
- 23.2 The Agreement may be amended. Any such amendment must be agreed upon in writing between the Parties and shall become an integral part of the Agreement.
- 23.3 Termination or expiry of the Agreement shall not release the Parties from any liability arising from any act or omission that has taken place prior to such termination or expiry.

24 CHOICE OF LAW AND SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

- 24.1 The Agreement shall be governed and construed in accordance with Norwegian law:
- 24.2 If any dispute arises relating to the implementation or interpretation of the Agreement, the Parties shall seek to reach an amicable solution.
- 24.3 Any dispute arising out of or in connection with the Agreement that cannot be solved amicably, shall exclusively be settled before the Norwegian courts of law with Oslo District Court as legal venue.
- 24.4 The Grant Recipient accepts that Norad can, at its own sole discretion and as an alternative to the. legal venue mentioned above, choose to settle the dispute by
 - a) the courts in the legal venue of the Grant Recipient, or
 - b) arbitration in accordance with the Arbitration Rules of the Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce. The arbitral tribunal shall be composed of three arbitrators. If the disputed amount is below an amount corresponding to NOK 10 000 000 the arbitral tribunal shall, however, be composed of a sole arbitrator. The seat of arbitration shall be Stockholm. Sweden, and the language to be used in the arbitral proceedings shall be English. The Parties agree that neither the arbitral proceedings nor the award shall be subject to any confidentiality.
- 24.5 The Parties agree that no other courts of law, than as set out in this article 24, shall have jurisdiction over disputes arising out of or in connection with this Agreement.

Page 11 of 11

9h B

Standard:	Norwegian and Non-Norwegian NGOs	Revision no:.	1
Procurement Provisions	Grant Management Regime I and II	Date:	01.03.2016

PART III: PROCUREMENT IN THE CONTEXT OF PROJECTS FINANCED BY THE NORWEGIAN AGENCY FOR DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION

1 INTRODUCTION

· Extraction

- 1.1 This Part III sets out procurement rules and principles which shall be applied by the Grant Recipient when procuring goods, services or works to Projects financed by the Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (Norad). Stricter rules may supplement the compulsory minimum rules set forth in this Part III.
- 1.2 Norad may carry out ex post checks on the Grant Recipient's compliance with the rules set forth in this Part III.
- 1.3 Failure to comply with the rules set forth in this Part III shall render the Project expenditure ineligible for Norad funding and may lead to withholding funds or claim for repayment in accordance with article 17 of the General Conditions (Part II) of this Agreement.
- 1.4 Contracts shall not be split artificially to circumvent the procurement thresholds. All monetary amounts referred to in this Part III are amounts excluding value-added tax (VAT).
- 1.5 The procurement provisions shall also apply to any procurements to be carried out by the Grant Recipient's cooperation partners or others. The Grant Recipient shall be responsible for compliance as per article 11 of the General Conditions (Part II) of this Agreement regardless of whether the procurement is carried out by the Grant Recipient itself or its cooperation partners or others.
- 1.6 Sections 1 to 4 set out rules, which shall apply to all contracts. Sections 5 to 6 contain specific rules for service, supply and works contracts. Section 7 lists the situations where a negotiated procedurewithout prior publication is permitted.

2 BASIC PRINCIPLES

2.1 If a Project requires procurement by the Grant Recipient, the contract must be awarded following a tender procedure to the most economically advantageous tender (i.e. to the tenderer obtaining the best score based on price and quality), or, as appropriate, to the tenderer offering the lowest price. In doing so, the Grant Recipient shall avoid any conflict of interests and respect the following basic principles:

- a) Competition: The procedures applied and the award of contracts shall be based on fair competition.
- b) Equal treatment and non-discrimination: Participation in tender procedures shall be open on equal terms to all natural and legal persons. During the entire procurement and the award of contracts, the Grant Recipient shall not discriminate against candidates/tenderers or groups of candidates/tenderers.
- c) Transparency and ex-ante publicity: As a general rule, tender procedures shall be based on prior publication. Where the Grant Recipient does not launch an open tender procedure, it shall justify the choice of fenderers that are invited to submit an offer.
- d) Objective criteria: The Grant Recipient shall evaluate the offers received against objective criteria, which enable the Grant Recipient to measure the quality of the offers and shall take into account the price (the offer with the lowest price shall be awarded the highest score for the price criterion). The criteria shall be set out beforehand and shall be relevant to the contract in question.
- e) Notoriety: The Grant Recipient shall keep sufficient and appropriate records and documentation with regard to the procedure, its evaluation and award.

3 ELIGIBLE TENDERERS

- 3.1 Tenderers must provide information on their legal form and ownership structure.
- 3.2 Tenderers shall be excluded from participation in a procurement procedure if:
 - a) they are bankrupt or being wound up, are having their affairs administered by the courts, have entered into an arrangement with creditors, have suspended business activities, are subject of proceedings concerning those matters, or are in any analogous situation arising from a similar procedure provided for in national legislation or regulations. However, tenderers in this situation may be eligible to participate insofar as the Grant Recipient is able to purchase supplies on particularly advantageous terms from either a supplier which is definitively winding up its business activities, or the receivers or liquidators of a bankruptcy, through an arrangement with creditors, or through a similar procedure under national law;
 - b) they or persons having powers of representation, decision-making or control over them have been convicted of an offence concerning their professional conduct by a final judgment;
 - c) they have been guilty of grave professional misconduct; proven by any means which the Grant Recipient can justify;
 - d) they have not fulfilled obligations relating to the payment of social security contributions or taxes in accordance with the legal provisions of the country in which they are established, or with those of the country of the Grant Recipient or those of the country where the contract is to be performed;

- e) they or persons having powers of representation, decision-making or control over them have been convicted for fraud, corruption, involvement in a criminal organisation or money laundering by a, final judgment;
- f) they make use of child labour or forced labour and/or practise discrimination, and/or do not respect the right to freedom of association and the right to organise and engage in collective bargaining pursuant to the core conventions of the International Labour Organization (ILO).
- 3.3 Tenderers shall confirm in writing that they are not in any of the situations listed above. Even if such confirmation is given by a tenderer, the Grant Recipient shall investigate any of the situations listed above if it has reasonable grounds to doubt the contents of such confirmation.
- 3.4 Contracts shall not be awarded to tenderers which, during the procurement procedure:
 - a) are subject to a conflict of interests;

.

b) are guilty of misrepresentation in supplying the information required by the Grant Recipient as a condition of participation in the tender procedure, or fail to supply this information.

4 GENERAL PROCUREMENT RULES

- 4.1 The tender documents shall be drafted in accordance with best international practice. The Grant Recipient may voluntarily use the models published in the Practical Guide on the EuropeAid (EU) website.
- 4.2 The Grant Recipient shall take into account universal design and the potential environmental impact of any planned procurements.
- 4.3 All invitations to submit tenders shall state that offers will be rejected if any illegal or corrupt practises have taken place in connection with the award. All contracts concluded under the Project shall state that the Grant Recipient may terminate the contract if it finds that illegal or corrupt practises have taken place in connection with the contract award or execution.
- 4.4 The time-limits for receipt of tenders and requests to participate must be sufficient to allow interested parties a reasonable and appropriate period to prepare and submit their tenders.
- 4.5 An evaluation committee must be set up to evaluate applications and/or tenders of a value of NOK 500 000 or more on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria. This committee must have an odd number of members, at least three, with all the technical and administrative capacities necessary to give an informed opinion on the tenders.

02 13

4.6 For contracts with a value exceeding NOK 100 000, the Grant Recipient shall compile a written record with documentation of all assessments and decisions during all steps of the procurement process from the planning stage until the signing of the contract. Upon request by Norad, the Grant Recipient shall deliver its written record to Norad and grant Norad access to all relevant information and documentation related to the procurement procedure and practices applied.

5 AWARD OF CONTRACTS

وقع من م

- 5.1 Contracts with a value of less than NOK 500,000 may be awarded by using any procurement, procedure established by the Grant Recipient, while respecting the rules and principles laid down in Sections 1 to 4 of this Part III.
- 5.2 Contracts with a value exceeding NOK 500 000 shall be awarded by means of one of the following procurement procedures:
 - a) Open tender procedure: In open procedures, any interested tenderer may submit a tender in response to a call for competition. The tender shall be accompanied by the information for qualitative selection as requested by the Grant Recipient.
 - b) Restricted procedure: In restricted procedures, any tenderer may submit a request to participate in response to a call for competition by providing the information for qualitative selection as requested by the Grant Recipient. Only those tenderers invited to do so by the Grant Recipient. following its assessment of the information provided may submit a tender. The Grant Recipient may limit the number of suitable candidates to be invited to participate in the procedure.
 - c) Competitive procedure with negotiation: In competitive procedures with negotiation, any tenderer may submit a request to participate or a tender in response to a call for competition by providing the information for qualitative selection as requested by the Grant Recipient. Tenderers may submit an initial tender, which shall be the basis for subsequent negotiations. The minimum requirements and the award criteria shall not be subject to negotiations.
- 5.3 Where the Grant Recipient does not launch an open tender procedure, it shall justify and document in writing the choice of tenderers that are invited to submit an offer.
- 5.4 Deviations from the procedures listed in Section 5.2 are limited to the situations listed in Section 7 of this Part III.

6 PUBLICATION OF PROCUREMENT NOTICE

6.1 The following shall apply with respect to publication of the procurement notice: ¹

[†] Definitions of different types of contracts and procedures can be found in Directive 2014/24/EU.

a) Service and supply contracts from NOK 500 000 to less than NOK 2 500 000 and works contracts from NOK 500 000 to less than NOK 40 000 000

The prior procurement notice shall be published in all appropriate media, at least in the country in. which the Project will be carried out as well as on the Grant Recipient's website.

b) Service and supply contracts with a value of NOK 2 500 000 and above and works contracts with a value of NOK 40 000 000 and above

The prior procurement notice shall be published in all appropriate media, in particular on the Grant. Recipient's website, in the international press and the national press of the country in which the Project will be carried out, and in any other relevant specialist periodicals.

7 USE OF NEGOTIATED PROCEDURE WITHOUT PRIOR PUBLICATION

چير چر ک

- 7.1 The Grant Recipient may use a negotiated procedure without prior publication in the following cases:
 - a) if any of the circumstances set out in Article 32 of Directive 2014/24/EU are present;
 - b) for purposes of humanitarian aid and civil protection operations or for crisis management aid in a crisis that has been formally recognised by and for the time period declared by Norad;
 - c) where the services are entrusted to public-sector or non-profit bodies and relate to activities of an institutional nature or are designed to provide assistance to people in the social field;
 - d) for contracts declared to be secret, or whose performance must be accompanied by special security measures, or when the protection of the essential interests of Norad so requires.

02 13

. 4. :

Earth Innovation Institute Project Budget Bullding Bridges Between Local Policies, REDD+ and Sustainable-Supply Chain Initiatives: Phase 3.of the Forests, Farmis and Finance Initiative

Partners Total	Headquarters In-Country Programs	By Location	Budget Summary	Total	Peru	Colombia	Indonesia	Brazil	By Country	Budget Summary	Total	Partners	In-Country Programs	Headquarters.	By Location	Budget Summary	Total	Peni	Colombia	Indonesia	Brazil	By Country	Budget Summary	NOK: USD Exchange.Rate
774 010 1 362 294	.365 850 222 434	USD	2019 1	1 362 294	80 369	272 466	505 411	504 049	USD	2019 1	1 816 392	947.470	292 530	576 392	USD	2016 N	1 816 392	600 88	283 357	726 557	717 475	dsn	_2016 N	a.Rate
6-817 997 12 000 000	.3·222 656 1 959 347	NOK	2019 NORAD	-12-000.000	707 940	2 400 060	4 452 000	4 440 000	NOK	2019 NORAD	16 000-000	8 345 952	2 576 800	5,077 248	NOK	2015 NORAD	16 000 000	784 000	2 496 000	6 400 000	6 320 000	NOK	2016 NORAD	8,80,87
872 524 1 617 706	.467 142 278 039	dsn	2016 Cofunding	1 617 706	566 197	404 426	485 312	122 191	Total USD	2019 Cc	1'011 798	527776	162 950	321 072	USD	2016-Co	1 011 798	354 129	252:950	303 539	081 101	Total USD	2016 Co	
7 685 778 14 249 837	4 114 904 2 449 156	NOK	funding	14 249 837	4 987 443	3 562 459	4 274 951	1 424 984	Total NOK	2019 Cofunding	8 912-596	4.649.007	1 435 374	2 828.216	NOK	2016 Cofunding	8 912 596 1	3 119 409	2 228 149	2 673 779	891 260	Total NOK	2016 Cofunding	
806 292 1 362 294	340 241 215 761	DSD	2020 N	교		270 647		518 160	asn	2020 N	1 475 819	789 024	254 501	432.294	OSD	2017 NORAD	1 475 819	81 170			625:391	USD	2017 N	
7 102 363	2 997 070	NOK	2020 NORAD	12.000.000	642.960	2 384 040	4 426 320	4 546.680	NOK	2020 NORAD	13 000 000	6 950 248	2 241 816	3 807 936	NOK	IORAD	13 000 000	715,000	2 457 000	5 200 000	4.628 000	NOK	2017 NORAD	
845 264 1 487 706	399 530 242 911	l dsn	2016 Cofunding	1 487 706	520 697	371 926	446 312	148.771	Total USD]	2020 Co	1 424 181	742 884	229 364	451 933	dsn	2016 Cofunding	1 424 181	498 463	356 045.	427 254	142 418	Total USD	2017 Co	
7 445 656 13 104 710	.3 519 331 2 139 723	NOK	funding	13 104 710	4 585-549	3 276 178 1,395 568	3 931 413	1 310 471	Total NOK	2020 Cofunding	12 545 144	6.543 823	2 020 395	3 980 925	NOK	funding	12 545 144	4 390 800	3 136 286	3 763 543	1 254 514	Total NOK	2017 Colunding	
4.051.561 7.379.093	2 108 367 2 108 365] gsn	Total N	7	368 489	1:395-568	2 834 289	310 471 2 780 747	I gsn	Total N	1 362 294	734 766	234 141	B8C E6E	asn	2018 N	1 362 294	44-956	290.169	509 498	.517 672	USD	Z018 N	
	18-570 132 10 741 000	NOK	Total NORAD	000 000 20	3 245 900	12.293 100	24,966,320	24-494 680	NOK	Total NORAD	12 000 000	6 472 308	2 062 471	3 465 222	NOK	2018 NORAD	12 000 000	396 000	2 556 000	4 488 000	4 560 000	NOK	2018 NORAD	
3 815 906	2 093 028	dsn	2016 Ci		2 481 184	1 772 274	2 126 729	016-802	Total USD	Total C	1 547 706	827 457	266 898	453 351	dsn.	2016 Cc	1 547 708	541 697	385,925	464 3:12	154 771	Total USD	2018 C4	
62.445 518	18 436 797 10 305 662	NOK	2016 Cofunding	62 445 518	21.855 931	15611-379	18.733 655	6:244 552	Total NOK	otal Cofunding	13 633 230	7 288 795 [2 351 015	3 993 421	NOK	2016 Catunding	13 633 230	4 771-631	3 408 308	4 089 969	1'363 323	Total NOK	2018 Cofunding	

Annex A

Der 13

Earth throvation Instute Project Budget Bullsting Bridger Between Local ⊋olicies; REDD+ and Sustainable Supply Chain Initiatives; Phase 3 of the Forests, Farris and Finance Initiative.

	1	Dutcom	P 1			Different	2			_								
2015 Aurhor by Cret Item	Manual Evin						Y DIT			C elliconno	2.60				TOTAL 2016 Budget	6 Budget		
The second secon	Notae Fulles	2	Loinu	ging	Norad Funds	-unds	Colum	ding	. Norad	Funds	Cotune		TOTAL N	A DAAC	dditional CO-FUNDING	D-FUNDING1	TOTAL PROJECT	IO.IFCT
Bun legan	Total USD Tota	Total NOK . To	Total USD .	- Total NOK 3	Total USD 1	Total NDK	Total USD T	DION ICTO	Total USD	Total NOK	Total USD 1	otal NOK	Total USD	Total NOK	Total-USD	Total NOK	Total USD	TATA NOR
Salaries		658-283	37-366	329 141-	-141 154	1 243 379	RUK 65	870 3R5	F1 944	AR7 555	1744	AEO DOS	200 426	2000			The mer	10m Inch
Renality /60%		3				1.50070	00,000.	0.000	01.044	000 104	1.4.1	CCR DCF	670 /07	117 800 2	103 310	7 657 062	421 144	4 016 278
perions (no s)		194 9/U	22 419	197 485	006:00	-531-165	59 285	522.219	21 812	192,137	10 285	302 596	126 951	1 118 271	01 090.	011 041-1	OLO BLC	
Contract.Services/Professional Fees		3	44 730	139 627	97 100	720 070		2							01000	140.110	0.62 017	711 nen 7
				10001	01-101	010 601	044 7C	401 927	84.070.	CPC 04/	27743	244 380	205 445	1.818 208	95'922	1 341 109	302 367	3 159-615
And and the citerians.		2 1 5 4 9 1 5	001 457	4.417 169	341 937	3-012 009	•	,	267 407	2 355 500	•		947 470	B 345 953	501 457	A 773 AAO	4 AAR 037	10 10 034
vyorkanops/Meetings/Trainings		158-706	,		14 030	123-586	9 821	BB 510	18 480	162 264			50 900					120.001
Office.Costs .		1.5	-deo	A DAR	3	1 1 1 1								The Line	- 12U -	467 547	171 00	0/5 780
				A of o	100	427 47	000	14-034	1.500	13 213		,	5 563	-48-998	2,109	31794	7 672	80 792
	. (4.330	22 12	/ 409	787 CD	69/ Lb	367.841	29 231	257.489	18 867	166 193		,	75 624	666 147	36.700	489 474	112 324	1 355 671
iniarenansi aufutues		206.27	,	,	3.285	28 939	,	,	1700	14 975	÷		7535	ACK AB		44 075	7.540	
I elephone/Postage		5B14	•		in dat	7			3	4						1 6 7 6	, uno	000.10
DublicationalDrinking						10.202			000	104	,	,	CUEP	28 113	,	7 047	3 305	36 160
Renti verenenan		704.7	417	582.0	4 6 2 0	40 960	1 860	16 384.	900	7 928	•	r	8 100	71 250	2 67A		10 274	201 020
Technical Follow-up	Ī	•	,	:		•	۰. ۱		, 1			_	0.00			10000	4./a ni	Zck Lot.
Audit Costs	1.000	-a and	•		2000	11,024					,					;		
	in the second			,	1000	10 017	,	,	000 1.	RDR R	,	1	4.000	35 235	•	603 g	4 000	44 043
(HACONICALICALICALICALICALICALICALICALICALICAL		•		,	Ţ٠	١	•	•	1	•	•				,			
Administrative Costs (7%)	45,644 .4	402 060	SO 100	441 315	46 666	414.061	54 289.	A78 212 [.20 OS1	184 538	14,500	10111	142-320	107720		-		
Total Project Costs	in.	<u>"</u>	575 753	H DOD 871	147 772	2 200 D44						1.1.1		601 100		1041100	101 102	CZ6 L01.2
		F	000 1 40.	Starcere.	111 110	110000	- 40C 10C	111 111 2	403 441	4 317 324	169 84	1080 505	1 816 392	16 000 000.	1 011 798	12 618 840	2 828 190	28 518 840

Sources include: The International Climate Initiative (IKI) and IDH Soy Fund to Ell, McArthur Foundation (via Forest Trends), and Gordon & Belly Moore Foundation to Ell

ы .

on B

489 441 4 311 324	489 441		6 586 911	747 776		5 101 765	579 175	Total Outcome 1
862 265	97-888 888-79	1 976 073 Output 3,7 and Output 3.8	1 976 073	2 <u>2</u> 4 333	Output 2.3.1 thru 2.3.3 and 2.4.1 thru 2.4.3 (COLOMBIA & PERU)			
1.293 397	146 832	Outputs 3.4 thru 3.6	2 305 419	26,1 722	807 583 Output 2.2.1-2.2.5 (INDONESIA)	807 583	91 _: 680.	Outputs 1.1.5 thru Outputs 1.1.8
2 155 662	244 720	2 305 419 Outputs 3.1 thru 3.5	2 305 419	261 722	4 294 182 Outputs 2.1.1 thru 2.1.4 (BRAZIL)	4 294 182	487 495	Outputs 1.1.1 thru Outputs 1.1.5
NOK	USD	OUTCOME 3	NOK	USD	OUTCOME 2	NOK	OSD	OUTCOME
								2016 Budget by Output
		ns and Finance Initiative	e Forests, Fan	hase 3 of the	Building Bridges Between Local Policies, REDD+ and Sustainable Supply Chain Initiatives: Phase 3 of the Forests, Farms and Finance Initiative	REDD ⁺ and S	al Policies,	Building Bridges Between Loca

.

Earth Innovation Insitute 2016 Project Budget Building Bridges Between

. ••4

.

_ 3 1 D <u>הכרשמ</u> ٥. 2 . 2 n. -1 ğ 5 t

on B

Phone B Dr B

development strategies to local governments, smallholders and communities in Brazil, Indonesia, Peru and Colombia Outcome 1: Regionally-tailored mechanisms are leveraging climate finance to attract and deliver public and private sector funds for low-emission

			1								
We will describe here briefly how project contributed to RE targeted developing countries during the year reported on	iefly how project com Intries during the yea	tributed to REDD+ fundi r reported on.	ing b	eing	ple	dgec	t/rec	:eive	d at a global level c	We will describe here briefly how project contributed to REDD+ funding being pledged/received at a global level and/or national and/or sub-national level in the targeted developing countries during the year reported on.	ıb-national level in the
				5	Targets	ŝ				-	
Indicator	Short Description	Baseline	Υı	Y2	Y1 Y2 Y3 Y4		Y5	— ω' ++ Ο	Data Sources	Frequency of Reporting	Responsibility
Amount of funding (USD) pledged/received (standardized reporting indicator 1.2)	pledged/received (star	idardized reporting indica	tor 1	2)							
Funding pledged by targeted agricultural companies and businesses (million USD)	Description of pledges.	o		сці сталі	6 6		10	20	Company communications & government websites.	Annual	EII, FT
Funding pledged by targeted donor countries/states (million USD)	Description of pledges,	o		2	4	∞	12 26		Official government communications.	Annual	EII, FT
Funding received in target jurisdictions from capped California companies (million USD)	Description of funding received.	O			N	ۍ	8	15	California government communications	Annual	EII, GCF
Funding received by target sub-national jurisdictions (million USD)	Description of funding received.	o		2	U.	8	10 25		Official government comunications.	Annual	EII, FT

" Results Framework

•

Declines in Deforestation

<u>د ر</u>

•

Résults Framework

tonesia	nantan and West Papua, Indonesia	trìcts ìn Central Kalin	ling Dis	form	gh-be		nce	for delivering climate fina	Conversions on Statistics in Central Kalimanta
Ell, ÉT	3	Design döcuments				<u>н</u>		0	Completed
				1	-	fazi	in B	eginning implementation	GFB design and institutional accordance and beginning implementation in Brazil
Ē	Annual	TPS monitoring platform website	4.		کر	<u> </u>	2	Beta for Brazilian Amazon and Mato Grosso.	support TP Fund (# of jurisdictions)
EIÍ, FT	Annual	Official gov. documents	ω	4	н Н		μi	Draft in Mato Grosso	jurisdictions)
(Brazil) to attract matching and performance-		ito Grosso, Acre and	d in M	lente	- nplen			monitoring system design ocal governments	based finance and deliver to smallholders and local governments Territorial Performance Funds decisioned divisor
Responsibility	Frequency of Reporting	Data Sources	- 9 4 0	·¥4 Y5	73	ี่ มี เ	1 1 1	Baseline	Indicators
					Targets				
			-	t Leve	Output Level	0			
Ell Solidaridad, Sapopema	Annual	Official government documents, communications and reports	11 36	10	ċ,	6	د	C	Smail-scale Farmers and communities Supported to improve their production systems through TPS (thousands of farmets and communities receiving support)
Ell'-Sabobewa' Ib'YW	Aกภายสไ	Official gov, documents and reports; Reports from donocs	ۍ کړ. 25	и.	10	Ŭn.	0	Green Counties Program In Para	Locai governments in target jurisdictions receiving incentives for slowing deforestation (number of municipalities or districts)
		icator	Project-specific Outcome Indicator	uico		- Spe	╺┤ह	Pr.	
Ē	Anniyal	INPÉ Prodes, Hansen et al. 2013	84 84	76	Ľ,	8	5	63 %	Deforestation declines in target jurisdictions (% reduction below historical average)
				ļ		1	1		

Ň

Ø

Results Framework

ω

ÔĹ

						1				
On-granting mechanism design completed and institutional arrangement determined	o		حر	•			8 Q	Official gov. documents	Annual	EII, INOBU
Output 1.1.4: Analysis and convening in support of the implementation of a package of incentives (e.g. with Colombian FINAGRO program) for low-emission rural development in the Colombian Amazon	of the implementation of	a pac	kage	우 다. 다.	Icent	tives	(e.g	. with Colombian F	NAGRO program) for low-	emission rural
Package of Incentives design document completed with participation of Min of Agriculture	o				*****		d Z	Meeting notes; design documents	Annual	EII, FT
Output 1.1.5: Business Plan for Low-emission Rural Development for Peruvian Amazon regions that leverages Forest Finance	iral Development for Peruv	lian A	maz	on re	gion	is the	at lev	verages Forest Fina	nce incubator to attract private investment	vate investment
Peruvian low-emissions rural development document completed			4				a g g	Official gov. documents; meeting notes	Annual	EN, FT
Output 1.1.6: GCF performance system designed and implemented, attracting finance from new sources (GCF member states	d and implemented, attract	ing fi	nanc	e fro	im ne	s Mē	ourc	es (GCF member st	ates & provinces of Brazil, Indonesia, Peru)	Indonesia, Peru)
Number of GCF members with jurisdiction-wide performance platforms for monitoring deforestation, sustainable commodities, and GHG emissions reductions reported.	Draft GCF Performance System	2 2	2	ω	ω	12		Platform website.	Annual	Ell, INOBU, Sapopema, GCF
Output 1.1.8: Analysis, exchange visits, and convening to support California government completion and implementation of REDD offset system	vening to support California	gov	ernm	lent	com	oleti	on a	nd implementation	of REDD offset system	
# of meetings and workshops with CA govt	0	4 5	- 01 - 01	رب رب	ъ.	- то		Ell and CA govt internal notes/reports	Annual	EI

B

Results Framework

Output 2.1.1: Multi-sector dialogues supported for defining state-wide goals and strategies in each target state, compatible with national REDD development strategy SISA, Mato Grosso REDD, within state low-emission Program) integrated Para Green Counties Programs (ABC & Pronaf) related policies (Acre and state-level REDD+-Code, Puplic Credit Arnazion Fund, Forest Status of Implementation uses Scale from Standard Reporting indicators. NICFI Outcome 2: Describe how project contributed to governments' implementation of REDD+ relevant policies and/or measures in the targeted developing 2.1 Level of implementation of REDD+ related policy/measure (standardized reporting indicator 2.1) Outcome 2.1 State-wide low-emission rural development strategies designed and implemented through aligned public policies that create integrated systems countries during the year reported on? of landholder incentives (regulatory, administrative and financial) and that are supported by multi-sector governance structures, achieving compliance with Name and Type of policy/measure Forest Code and implementation of relevant state-level legislation (Mato Grosso-REDD law, Acre-SISA law, Para-Green Counties Program, Brazil), Indicators Acre Para Mato Grosso Location and Policy Level Baseline Baseline 0 0 Ņ ц Ν N 4 ž స Implementation స ω ω ίn Targets Status of á Ą 4 Ä сп Υ4 ۲4 Frequency of Reporting: Annual 'n ú G. ភ ភ ú, ψı, n Output Level ø. ++ o igi j 8. A. reparts reports Official government Official government Official government reports Data Sources Data Sources Sustaīnable livelihoods; Gender; Transparency; Gender; Transparency; Sustainable livelihoods; Reduced Deforestation Reduced Deforestation Gender: Transparency; Sustainable livelihoods; Reduced Deforestation livelihoods; Reduced Frequency of Reporting Deforestation Transparèncy; Sustainable Impact on Génder; EII, IPAM, FT EII, IPAM, FT EII, IPAM, FT Responsibility Responsibility

4 OS .: Results Framework

Gender equality (<i>standard reporting indicator 2.1.b</i>): The above policies have the potential to directly and indirectly improve gender equality in target regions. Project partners will incorporate the participation of women and women's concerns into policy development through multi-stakeholder. dialogues, resulting in direct benefits to women, such as improved access to credit and rural development programs (all regions). Policies that improve rural livelihoods, through increased access to services and improved incomes, may indirectly improve gender equality by moving households out of crisis mode, improving their ability to focus resources on higher order, needs. In order to monitor gender equality, we will implement the following activities: 1) Diagnostics of gender dynamics in focal regions to examine how key institutions, policies or programs are structured to address interests and concerns of women in multi-stakeholder dialogues; 3) Tracking formal representation of women in multi-stakeholder dialogues; 3) Tracking formal representation of women in stakeholder groups relevant to the project (e.g. regional government, civil society organizations, commodity roundtables, inter-sectoral climate change institutions, and GCF); 4) Analyzing how women's interests are represented in TPS and jurisdictional certification standards.	NICFI Outcome 2 Standard reporting indicators	Published analysis of technical support and rural extension needs and options for addressing these needs.	Output 2:1:4: Technical support system for smallholders and communities designed and ready for implementation through	Analysis document or powerpoint summary	Output 2:1.3: Analysis indicates ways of aligning state strategies/policies with national REDD regime, credit programs (e.g.	Analysis document for each target jurisdiction	Output 2.1.2; Analysis indicates minimum-cost pathway to full compliance with Forest code	Territorial multi-stakeholder governance structures supported (# of jurisdictions)	# of states with published analyses of compatibility of state-level reference levels and national reference level
g indicator 2. f women and development by moving ho by moving activ nd concerns nd concerns nd concerns nd in TPS ar	Inthe indicat	ort and or	stem for sma	ummary	ays of alignin		inimum-cost	nance ioris)	of ce levels _: and
1.b): The above policies h women's concerns into po programs (all regions). P useholds out of crisis moc vities: 1) Diagnostics of of women, and how infi of women, and how infi nen in multi-stakeholder nen in multi-stakeholder or organizations, commo	N0	Ð	Iholders and communitie	Ģ	state strategies/policies	Stickler et. al 2013	athway to full compliand	Ō	لمر.
ave t olicy o olicie in le, im le, im		Ь.	s de	د_ر.	with	ل م	e wi	،دير	
he pi level s tha er d er d ound ound		4	igne	4	nati	1	in ro	4	<u>н</u>
oten opm t limp t ing t ing t ynan ynan ynan ynan ynan ynan ynan		در	d an	<u>-4</u>	onal	4	rest	н	μı
tial t lent i heir heir nics) Tra		ю;	dire	¢.	RED		Cod		·
o dir throv e rur abili in fc jartli bartli bartli		.0	З У	<u> </u>	Ö.				
ugh		з.	orin	ω		φ		ω.	N.
v and indirectly Impro multi-stakeholder. dial relihòods, through inc focus resources on h regions to examine tion and leadership ymal representation ymal representation		Published document/report on Ell-website	nplementation throu	Document or ppt slide deck	e, credit programs (e:	Published report/analysis	alester (alester) Secondaria	Article of establishment; meeting minutes	Official government reports; GCF Performance Platform
we gender equality in target regions. Project pa logues, resulting in direct benefits to women, a reased access to services and improved incom igher order, needs. In order to monitor gende how key institutions, policies or programs how key institutions, policies or programs roles in decision- making regarding the n of women in stakeholder groups relevant e institutions, and GCF); 4) Analyzing how		Annualiy	gh the Territorial Performance Fund	Annuạily	E. ABC, PRONAF)	Annually	gogi kan 1995 - Angelander Angelander († 1997)	Annual.	Annually
gender equality in target regions. Project partners rues, resulting in direct benefits to women, such as ased access to services and improved incomes, may ner order needs. In order to monitor gender were institutions, policies or programs are les in decision- making regarding the f women in stakeholder groups relevant to the f women in stakeholder groups relevant to the fistitutions, and GCF); 4) Analyzing how	-	Eli, IPAM, Sapopetna	ance Fund	EII		EII, JPAM		Ell, IPAM, Sapoperna	Eli, JPAM

ίð

Results Framework

.

Data Source	Your organisation and/or partner(s) [,] contribution	Your organisatic cont	Change (the year reported on)	Country and case/pročess	Safeguard category
of safeguards during the	iange or implementation	velopment, policy ch	be any change in the dev buted.	cator 2.2): Please descril n or parthers have contri	cancen sareguards (standard reporting indicator 2.2): Please describe any change in the development, policy change or implementation of safeguards during the year reported on, to which your organisation or patchers have contributed.
Résponsibility	Frequency of Réporting	Data Sources	Targets o Y1 Y2 Y3 Y4 Y5 a	Baseline	Indicator
aligning agricultural and enviornmental policies, leers (e.g. access to credit in Brazil) that will th market mechanisms proposed in this project,	by 1) aligning agricultural a producers (e.g. access to ct m with market mechanism	reduce deforestation ng conditions of rural p lements, in conjunctio	licies and programs aim to orestation, and 3) improvi ods. These policy design e on across target regions.	<i>cator 2.1.e)</i> : The above po producers who reduce def iation to sustainable liveling it reductions in deforestation	Reduced deforestation (standard reporting indicator 2.1.e): The above policies and programs aim to reduce deforestation by 1) aligning agricultural and enviornmental pol 2) channeling significant economic incentives to producers who reduce deforestation, and 3) improving conditions of rural producers (e.g. access to credit in Brazil) that will facilitate access to alimate finance and tranformation to sustainable livelihoods. These policy design elements, in conjunction with market mechanisms proposed in this proj wilf increase the likelihood of achelving significant reductions in deforestation across target regions.
h-emissions livelihoods to sustainable livelihoods- will support low-carbon agriculture; REDD+ ome cases reducing the opportunity costs of	, high-emissions livelihood ams will support low-carbo , in some cases reducing th	al", high deforestation IBC and PRONAF progr sustainable livelihoods	nation of "business-as-usu re above policies. Brazil's A ard producers engaged in s	ficator 2.1.d) : The transform a primary goal of many of th a have the potential to rew for sustainable practices	Sustainable livelihoods (standard reporting indicator 2.1.d): The transformation of "business-as-usual", high deforestation, high-emissions livelihoods to sustainable liveliho is at the heart of the proposed project and are a primary goal of many of the above policies. Brazil's ABC and PRONAF programs will support low-carbon agriculture; REDD+ national programs and CA REDD Offset provision have the potential to reward producers engaged in sustainable livelihoods, in some cases reducing the opportunity costs of deferring high deforestation land use activities for sustainable practices
ove transparency by intive systems rewards inforcement efforts. This used as a model for such as the Corruption m, and law enforcement eptions of corruption pembers, and civil society	have the potential to improve transparency by p-of many policies with incentive systems rewar- in compliance, aiding law enforcement efforts. I inmental regulations and is used as a model for n country-leyel indicators, such as the Corru- re transparency, corruption, and law enforce is transparency, corruption, and law enforce inclion with data on perceptions of corruptio unclion with data on perceptions of corruptio commodity roundtable members, and civil a	olicies desribed above Further the integration solictions that are not i ompliance with enviro can track changes in can track changes i e indicators. Becaus puld be used in conju government officials,): Regional and national p ght to reduce corruption. I nt programs for those juri successful in increasing o and law enforcement we Vorld Bank's Governanc Vorld Bank's Governanc I variable; ndicators sho n the project, including o	and reporting indicator 2.1.c Projes and improving oversi Program in Brazil, has been program in Brazil, has been to monitor transparency a to monitor transparency a y International) and the v Sata and may be regional sy stakeholders involved i	Transparency and/or law enforcement (standard reporting indicator 2.1.c): Regional and national policies desribed above have the potential to improve transparency by increasing collaboration across government agencies and improving oversight to reduce corruption. Further, the integration of many policies with increntive systems rewards compliance and has the potential of reducing access to credit or government programs for those jurisdictions that are not in compliance, aiding law enforcement efforts. This approach, adopted by the Green Municipalities program in Brazil, has been successful in increasing compliance with environmental regulations and is used as a model for perception index (compiled by Transparency International) and the World Bank's Governance indicators. Because transparency, corruption, and law enforcement efforts indicators are both difficult to measure with empirical data and may be regionally variable, including government officials, commondity roundtable members, and law enforcement econoptications and is used as a model for perception intervation with empirical data and may be regionally variable, including government officials, commodity roundtable members, and law enforcement by corruption are both difficult to measure with key stakeholders involved in the project, including government officials, commodity roundtable members, and civil society leaders, among others.

'n

. Results Framework

2.2.3. Respect for the knowledge and rights of Indigenous peoples and members of local communities	2.2.2. Transparent and effective national forest National laws and governance structures regional TPS proce	UNFCCC.REDD, 2.2.1. Consistency between national forests programmes and international conventions and agreements TPS processes	
REDD+ SES, Rio Branco Declaration; TPS processes	National laws and regional TPS processes	UNFCCC.REDD; CGFREDD:+, SES, NY Declaration on Forests, Rio Branco Declarațion, TPS processes	
We will report on change annually.	We will report on change annually.	We will report on change annually,	
Multi-sector dialogues ensure participation of indigenous peoples and local communities in regional planning (including implementation of FPIC) and value their contribution to forest protection through benefit sharing mechanisms.	Multi-stakeholder TPS governance structures builds broad support for effective governance policy that supports implementation of national policies. Monitoring platforms promote transparency of policy implementation at regional scales.	Create alignment and integration of national and jurisdictional reference levels (RLs) through bottom-up regional performance targets and RLs that are informed by external rules and market forces (CGF, NY Dec. on Forests, Rio Branco Declaration)	
Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues. Participarit lists of dialgoues to track stakeholder representation and participation. Documentation of benefit sharing for funds delivered. Documentation of FPIC.	Meeting minutes of TPS governance structures, Interviews with key stakeholders and project partners regarding perceptions of perceptions of	Workshop minutes establishming regional performance targets.	

13

-1

, (ésults-Framework

.

.

	2.2.7. Actions to reduce the displacement of TPS processes emissions	2.2.6. Actions to addréss the risks of reversals TPS processes	2.2.5. Conservation of natural forests and biological diversity and enhancement of other social and environmental benefits.	of fous
				S, Rio-Bratico n, TPS
	We will report on change annually.	We will report on change annually:	We will report on change annually.	We will report on change annually.
	Establishment of performance targets related to Meeting minutes of T. production at the scale of an entire jurisdiction dialogues to establish through TPS lowers risk of leakage. performance targets	TPS process builds political, business, and social case for low-deforstation. & emissions rural development and improves enabling conditions for-policy implementation, lowering risk reversals through flagging political or corporate will be reduced	Multi-sector dialogues builds broader support for existing or expanded networks of protected areas and indigenous territories.	Multi-sector dialogues ensure participation of indigenous peoples and local communities in regional planning and design of benefit sharing frameworks for TPS incentive systems, and supports implementation of F.P.IC.
, c	Meeting minutes of TPS: dialogues to establish reference leivels and performance targets	Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues. Official government reports.	Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues	Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues, Participant lists of dialgoues to track stakeholder representation and participation bocumentation of benefit sharing for funds delivered. Documentation of FPIC.

∞_.
Hectares of land (standard reporting indicator 2.3.a) to which Indigenous Peoples and forest dependent communities gain rights over and have access Customary land rights institution established REDD+ and LED-Programs District and Province-leve are linked to support Agricultural Master Plan Decisions (MK45) and **Customary Land Rights** National REDD, 2.1 Level of implementation of REDD+ related policy/measure (standardized reporting indicator 2.1) countries during the year reported on? NICFI Outcome 2: Describe how project contributed to governments' implementation of REDD+ relevant policies and/or measures in the targeted developing Outcome 2.2 National and state REDD programs in Indonesia are implemented as part of integrated LED-R strategy through connductores Provincial LED-Status of Implementation uses Scale from Standard Reporting indicators. Name and Type of policy/measure C. Kalimantan and W. Papua Indonesia, national and subnational **Location and Policy** Level R strategies, Customary Land Rights Decisions (MK45) and the Agricultural Master Plan Baseline щ ω Ľ ω Ν Implementation 12 ω 4 Status of Ľ 4 ы Y4 Frequency of Reporting: Annual ы . UT ដ თ ი Official government reports Indigenous People **Data Sources** regulations; Relevant Councils Gender; Transparency; Sustainable livelihoods; Deforestation livelihoods; Reduced Transparency; Sustainable Reduced Deforestation impact on Gender; Annually EII, INOBU INOBU, EII Responsibility

: Results Framework

9

B

-

 $rac{3}{2}$ Document describing results of analysis of value Output 2.2.1 Evidence-based value proposition for policy alignment and integration is developed for target jurisdictions in Indonesia. Indonesia, West Papua Kalimantan Indonesia, Central Country and location indicators ethnicities Diversity of smallholders and indépendent Primarily Dayak groups/forest community dependent indigenous Name of Ministry of Forestry controlled by the trom state forest areas cammunity territory from state forest areas Formal removal of Ministry of Forestry controlled by the community territory Formal removal of access to land Type of rights and Baseline D Ľ. elin Bas 0 0 æ З 0 ø τŅ Hectares gained Targets З 0 Y2 0. ¥4 000 0 o, и ξÂ ŝ 4 0 0 0 ₩4 ဝဝဟု Output Level **ه. ب**+ ۵ 0 Q វ្រ Total Data Sources FILINOBLI 2000 1000 Frequency of Reporting Provincial Government access to land will be Data source of Central Kalimantan Provincial Government women will likely gain of Central Kalimantan Ministry of Forestry and Environment; and Environment; Ministry.of Forestry Annually INOBLI FII defined in first year of for evaluating women's smallhoiders. Methods by the state. The case project. are formally recognized titles) when territories informal access to land may be different for (rather than individual customary tenure, nature of Dayak Given the collective formally and informally: Describe how women have access to the land, both reporting indicator 2:3.2) > Gender (standard Responsibility

10

							NICFI Outcome 2 Standard reporting indicators
INOBU, EII	Annually	Documents, meeting notes, powerpoint files provided by EII/INOBU	2		<u>ح</u> ې	o	Documents and powerpoint slide decks providing input to LED-R design for W Papua
		10my Status law	cial Autor	ua's Spe	ig W Pap	R strategy developed usin	Output 2.2 Proposal for W. Papua Provincial LED-R strategy developed using W Papua's Special Autonomy Status law
INOBU, EII	Annually	Meeting notes; powerpoint slide decks provided by Ell/INOBU	3 12	ω .ω	ω	0	Village-level meetings and presentations to discuss inclusion of C Kal Roadmap targets into Development Plans
		argets	rmance to	D-R perfo	dopt LEI	support villages as they a	Output 2.2 Strategy developed and convening to support villages as they adopt LED-R performance targets
INOBU, Eli	Annually	Meeting notes; powerpoint slide decks provided by EII/INOBU	يت ت	11 11	1	σ	District-level meetings and presentations to discuss inclusion of C Kal Roadmap targets into Development Plans
		int Plans	evelopme	District D	ap into I	ite C. Kalimantan Road Mi	Output 2.2.3 Analysis and convening to incorporate C. Kalimantan Road Map into District Development Plans
INOBU, EII	Annually	EII/INOBU	2	4	4		Document and/or powerpoint slide decks summarizing results of analysis of MK35 implementation for each province
				/. Papua	tion in W	tomary land rights regulat	Output 2.2.2 Proposal for implementation of customary land rights regulation in W. Papua
				i	<u> </u>	¢	proposition for individual Provinces

B

11

Q

.

_

Responsibility	Frequency of Reporting	Data Sources	Targets 0 Y1 Y2 Y3 Y4 Y5 1	Baseline	Indicator
d enviornméntal policies, 2) ire in Indonesia) thát will vroposed in this project, will	y, 1) aligning agricultural ang lucers (e.g. secure land tenu r with market mechanisms p	duce deforestation b nditions of rural prod nents, in conjunction	olicies and programs aim to re restation, and 3) improving cor boods. These policy design elen across target regions.	ator 2.1.e) : The above p oducers who reduce defo ation to sustaïnable livelit ductions in deforestation	Reduced deforestation (<i>Standard reporting indicator 2.1.e</i>): The above policies and programs aim to reduce deforestation by 1) aligning agricultural and environmental policies, 2) channeling significant economic incentives to producers who reduce deforestation, and 3) improving conditions of rural producers (e.g. seture land tenure in Indonesia) that will facilitate access to climate finance and tranformation to sustainable livelihoods. These policy design elements, in conjunction with market mechanisms proposed in this project, will increase the livelihood of acheiving significant reductions in deforestation across target regions.
o sustainable livelihoods is cers engaged in sustainable	high-emissions livelihoods t e potential to reward produ ractices	; high deforestation, ial programs have the ites Tor sustainable pr	rmation of "business-as-usual", e above policies. REDD+ nation h deforestation land use activit	<i>tator 2.1.d)</i> : The transfo imary goal of many of th hity costs of deferring hig	Sustainable livelihoods (standard reporting indicator 2.1.d): The transformation of "business-as-usual", high deforestation, high-emissions livelihoods to sustainable livelihoods is at the heart of the proposed project and are a primary goal of many of the above policies. REDD+ national programs have the potential to reward producers engaged in sustainable livelihoods, in some cases reducing the opportunity costs of deferring high deforestation land use activities for sustainable practices
e the potential to improve transparency by many policies with incentive systems rewards impliance, aiding law enforcement efforts. This intal regulations and is used as a model for elements ators, such as the Corruption Perception Index law enforcement are, both difficult to measure with collected through periodic interviews with key among others.	ave the poteninal to improv of many policies with incent compliance, aiding law enfi mental regulations and is us dicators, such as the Corrup nd law enforcement are bot n collected through periodia in collected through periodia	cies desribed above F ther the integration ctions that are not in pliance with environ is in country-level in irency, corruption, ar ceptions of corruptio nd civil-society leade	.c): Régional and nátional polic sight to reduce corruption. Fur ient programs for those jurisdir an successful in increasing com forcement we can track change ice indicators. Because transpa i conjunction with data on pera i conjunction with data on pera i conjunction with data in pera i conjunction with data i conjunction with with data i conjunction with with with with with with with with	rd reporting Indicator.2.2. ncies and improving over cess to credit or governm program in Brazil, has bei program in Brazil, has bei transparency and law eni transparency and law eni transparency and law eni transparency and law eni transparency and second transparency	I ransparency and/or law enforcement (standard reporting indicator 2:1.c): Régional and nétional policies desribed above, have the potential to improve transparency by increasing collaboration across government agencies and improving oversight to reduce corruption. Further the integration of many policies with incentive systems rewards compliance and has the potential of reducing access to credit or government programs for those jurisdictions that are not in compliance, aiding law enforcement efforts. This of policies described above. In order to monitor transparency and law enforcement we can track changes in caution, successful in increasing compliance with environmental regulations and is used as a model for elements (complied by the Green Municipalities program in Brazil, has been successful in increasing compliance with environmental regulations and is used as a model for elements (complied by Transparency In order to monitor transparency and law enforcement we can track changes in caution, and have enforcement are both difficult to measure with empirica; described above. In order to monitor transparency and law enforcement we can track changes in cautory, and law enforcement are both difficult to measure with empirica; data and may be regionally variable, ndicators should be used in conjunction with data on perceptions of corruption collected through periodic interviews with key stakeholders involved in the project, including government officials, commodity roundtable members, and civil society leaders, among others.
gender-equality in target regions. Project partners will resulting in direct benefits to women, such as land ough increased access to services and improved ources on higher order, needs. In order to monitor key institutions, policies or programs are structured g regarding the environment; 2) Tracking yant to the project (e.g. regional government, civil interests are represented in TPS and jurisdictional	e gender-equality in target s, resulting in direct benefit hrough increased access to esources on higher order në esources on higher order në my key institutions, policies we regarding the environm king regarding the environm elevant to the project (e.g.n elevant to the project (e.g.n	and indirectly improv stakeholder dialogut ve tural livelihoods, t heir ability to focus n egions to examine ho roles in decision- mal stakeholder groups n inalyzing how wome	have the potential to directly and the set of the potential to directly and the set of t	1.1.b) : The above policies men's concerns into polic bevelopment programs (a by by moving households (g. activities: 1) Diagnostic d. how influence women' d. how influence women' logues; 3), Tracking forma inter-sectoral climate cha	Gender equality (standard reporting indicator 2.1.b): The above policies have the potential to directly and indirectly improve gender-equality in target regions. Project partners will incorporate the participation of women and women's concerns into policy development through multi-stakeholder dialogues, resulting in direct benefits to women, such as land incomes, may indirectly improve genoer equality by moving households out of crisis mode, improve rural livelihoods, through increased access to services and improve gender equality, we will implement the following activities: 1) Diagnostics of gender dynamics in focal regions to examine how key institutions, policies or programs are structured participation of women in multi-stakeholder dialogues; 3) Tracking formal representation of women in stakeholder groups relevant to the project (e.g. regional climate change institutions, and GCF); 4) Analyzing how women's interests are represented in TPS and jurisdictional certification standards:

۰.

12 1

 \vec{O}

Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues. Participant lists of dialgoues to track stakeholder. representation and participation. Documentation of benefit sharing for funds delivered. Documentation of FPIC.	Multi-sector dialogues ensure participation of Indigenous peoples and local communities in regional planning (including implementation of FPIC) and value their contribution to forest protection through benefit sharing mechanisms.	We will report on change annually,	REDD+ SES, Rio Branco Declaration; TPS processes	2.2.3. Respect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples and members of local communities
Meeting minutes of TPS governance structures; Interviews with key stakeholders and project partners regarding perceptions of transparency.	Multi-stakeholder TPS governance structures builds broad support for effective governance policy that supports implementation of national policies. Monitoring platforms promote transparency of policy implementation at regional scales.	We will report on change annually.	Național laws and regional TPS processes	2.2.2. Transparent and effective national forest governance structures
Warkshop minutes establishming regional performance targets:	Create alignment and integration of national and jurisdictional reference levels (RLs) through bottom-up regional performance targets and RLs that are informed by external rules and market forces (CGF, NY Dec. on Forests, Rio Branco Declaration)	We will réport on chánge annually.	UNFCCC REDD, CGFREDD+, SES, NY Declaration on Forests, Rio Branco Declaration, TPS processes	2.2.1, Consistency between national forests programmes and international conventions and agreements
Data Source	Your organisation and/or partner(s)' contribution	Change (the year reported on)	Country and case/process	Safeguard category
of safeguards during the	Cancun Safeguards (standard reporting indicator 2.2): Please describe any change in the development, policy change or implementation of safeguards during the year reported on, to which your organisation or partners have contributed.	oe any change in the deve buted.	<i>cator</i> 2.2): Please descrit n.or partners have contri	Cancun Safeguards (standard reporting indicator 2.2): Please describe any year reported on, to which your organisation or partners have contributed.

13

gr

IB

2.2.7. Actions to emissions	2.2.6. Attions to	2.2.3. Conservat biological divers social and enviro	2.2.4. The full and effective par relevant stakeholders, in partic peopies and local communities
2.2.7. Actions to reduce the displacement of emissions	2.2.6. Actions to address the risks of reversals	4.4.5. Conservation of natural forests and biological diversity and enhancement of other social and environmental benefits	2.2.4. The full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, in particular indigenous peopies and local communities
TPS processes	ŢŶS processes	TPS processes	REDD+ SES, Rio Branco Declaration, TPS processes
We will report on E	T We will report on chărige annually. V	We will report on change annually.	We will report on change granually,
Establishment of performance targets related to production at the scale of an entire jurisdiction through TPS lowers risk of leakage,	TPS process builds political, business, and social case for low-deforstation & emissions rural development and improves enabling conditions for policy implementation, lowering risk reversals through flagging political or corporate will be reduced	Multi-sector dialogues builds broader support for existing or expanded networks of protected areas and indigenous territories.	Multi-sector dialogues ensure participation of indigenous peoples and local communities in regional planning and design of benefit sharing frameworks for TPS incentive systems, and supports implementation of FPIC.
Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues to establish reference levels and performance targets	Meeting minutes of TpS dialogues, Öfficial government reports,	Meeting miautes of TPS dialoguės	Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues. Participant lists of dialgoues to track stakeholder representation and participation. Documentation of benefit sharing for funds delivered, Documentation of FPIC.

Responsibility	Frequency of Reporting	Data Sources	- a t o T	4	arge Y3	Y1 Y2		Baseline	SIC	Indicators
		Output Level	Outp							
E	Annually	Official policy & other government documents	и	4	ω	2 2		1	Colombia	Green county program launched in Colombian departments
EII, FT	Gender; Transparency; Sustainable livelihoods; Reduced Deforestation	Official government reports	л С	S	4	4	ω.	ω	Colombia, national	Amazon Vision 2020 Program, agricultural credit programs (FINAGRO/CIF) and public- private partnerships (PAAP) are linked to support low-emission rural development in the Amazon
Responsibility	Impact on Gender; Transparency; Sustainable livelihoods; Reduced Deforestation	Data Sources	<u> </u>	ij et	Status of plementat	s tmpld Y1 Y2	~	Baseline	Location and Policy Level	Name and Type of policy/measure
	<u>n</u>	Frequency of Reporting: Annual	Jency	Freq		S.	dicato	indard Reporting inc	n uses Scale from Sto	Status of Implementation uses Scale from Standard Reporting indicators.
rrgeted developing	NICFI Outcome 2: Describe how project contributed to governments' implementation of REDD+ relevant policies and/or measures in the targeted developing countries during the year reported on? 2.1 Level of implementation of REDD+ related policy/measure (standardized reporting indicator 2-1)	0+ relevant policies cator 2-11	of REL	norti	nentu ed re	npler ardiz	ents' ir Istand	ibuted to governme	be how project contr r reported on? hion of REDD+ relate	NICFI Outcome 2: Describe how project contributed to governments' implementation of REDD+ releva countries during the year reported on? 2.1 Level of implementation of REDD+ related policy/measure (standardized reporting indicator 2.1)
restation programs and	Outcome 2.3 REDD programs implemented in Colombia as part of integrated LED-R strategy through linkages with national zero-deforestation programs and Departmental Development Plans	rt of integrated LED-R strategy through linkage Departmental Development Plans	strato pmen	LED-F	ated tal D	itegra	nt of in Depart	l in Colombia as par	grams implementec	Outcome 2.3 REDD pro

B

15

 \mathbb{C}

	······					
Output 2.3 Evidence-based value proposition for policy alignment and integration is developed for target jurisdictions in Colombia.	r policy alignment and integ	ation is develo	oped for 1	arget jurisdictions in (Ċolombia.	
Provinces (# of value) proposition for individual Provinces (# of Provinces	Ģ		N	Analysis Document	Annüally	E
Output 2.3 Proposal for jurisdictional performance mechanisms to support implementation of zero-deforestation strategies and	ce mechanisms to support i	nplementatio	1 of zero-c	leforestation strategi	and programs (e.g. Amazon Vision).	izon Vision).
Proposal for jukisdictional periormance mechanism within Amazon Vision Program	0	۰۰۰۰۰ ۲۹.	44	Proposal document	Annuaļİy	Ē
Output 2.3 Proposals for Departmental Development Plans including targets for reducing defore-traction	nent Plans including targets	for réducine d	eforestati			
Proposals for Department Development Plans (# of Departments)	0	2 2	ر بغ	Proposals/Official Departmental Plans	Annually	EI
NICFI Outcome 2 Standard reporting indicators Gender equality (standard reporting indicator 2, 1, 6)	brs . <i>b</i>) ; The above nolicies have	he notential				
will incorporate the participation of women, and women's concerns into policy development through multi-stakeholder dialogues, resulting in direct benefits to women, such as improve gender equality by moving households out of crisis mode, improving their ability to focus resources on higher order dialogues, resulting in direct benefits to women, such as improve gender equality by moving households out of crisis mode, improving their ability to focus resources on higher order needs. In order to monitor gender equality, we will and concerns of women, and how influence women's protection and leadership roles in focal regions to examine how key institutions, policies or programs are structured to address interests multi-stakeholder dialogues, inter-sectoral elimate change institution of women in stakeholder groups relevant to the project access to services and improved incomes, may indirectly implement the following activities: 1) Diagnostics of gender dynamics in focal regions to examine how key institutions, policies or programs are structured to address interests multi-stakeholder dialogues, inter-sectoral elimate women's participation and leadership roles in decision- making regarding the environment; 2) Tracking participation of women in stakeholder groups relevant to the project (e.g. regional government, civil society organizations, entities), and jurisdictional certification society, and GCF), 4) Analyzing how women's interests are represented in TPS and jurisdictional certification is stakeholder groups relevant to the project (e.g. regional government, civil society organizations, entities) are represented in TPS and jurisdictional certification is standardy.	romen's concerns into policy programs. Policies that impr ut of crists mode, improving t of gender dynamics in focal r in's participation and leaders presentation of women in sta presentation of women in sta	ine poenus: development 1 ove rural livelih heir ability to f egions to exar hip roles in dei hip roles in dei keholder grou (keholder grou	v women'	and indurectly improve ulti-stakeholder dialog ough increased access arces on higher order r arces on higher order i arcey institutions, policie cey institutions, policie cey institutiong the env king regarding the env king regarding the represe	gender equality in target regions. Project part gues, resulting in direct benefits to women; suc to services and improved incomes, may indiren needs: In order to monitor gender equality, we s or programs are structured to address interes ironment; 2) Trackling participation of women gional government; civil society organizations, gional government; civil society organizations,	ler equality in target regions. Project partners resulting in direct benefits to women, such as ryices and improved incomes, may indirectly in order to monitor gender equality, we will cograms are structured to address interests nent; 2) Trackling participation of women in al government, civil society organizations, in TPS and jurisdictional certification

.

Ś

1

Data Source	Your organisation and/or partner(s)' contribution	Your organisati con	year n)	nange (the ye reported on)	Change (the year reported on)	Country and case/process	Safeguard category
or implementation of safeguards during the	hange or implementatior	velopment, policy cł	the de	nge in	any cha ited.	tor 2.2): Please describe or partners have contribu	Cancun Safeguards (standard reporting indicator 2.2): Please describe any change in the development, policy change year reported on, to which your organisation or partners have contributed.
Responsibility	Frequency of Reporting	Data Sources	1√5 1	¥4	Y1 Y2 Y3 Y4 Y5	baseline Y:	muivator
	-	5		ęş	Targets		2
and enviornmental policies, edit in Colombia) that will s proposed in this project,	by 1) aligning agricultural ; producers (e.g. access to cr on with market mechanism	 reduce deforestation ng conditions of rural ş lements, in conjunction 	s aim to mprovir design e 3ions.	ogram and 3) i policy rget re	es and pr estation, a s. These across ta	tor 2.1.e): The above polici oducers who reduce defore ion to sustainable livelihooo reductions in deforestation	Reduced deforestation (standard reporting indicator 2.1.e): The above policies and programs aim to reduce deforestation by 1) aligning agricultural and enviornmental policies, 2) channeling significant economic incentives to producers who reduce deforestation, and 3) improving conditions of rural producers (e.g. access to credit in Colombia) that will facilitate access to climate finance and tranformation to sustainable livelihoods. These policy design elements, in conjunction with market mechanisms proposed in this project, will increase the likelihood of acheiving significant reductions in deforestation across target regions.
-emissions livelihoods to sustainable livelihoods will support the transition from extensive cattle stainable livelihoods, in some cases reducing the	 high-emissions livelihood gram will support the trans in sustainable livelihoods, 	al", high deforestation a's Amazon Vision prog ırd producers engaged	-as-usu: olombia to rewa	usiness licies. C Itential ctices	ion of "b above poi ve the pc nable pra	<i>itor 2.1.d)</i> : The transformat rimary goal of many of the <i>z</i> EDD+ national programs hav and use activities for sustair	Sustainable livelihoods (standard reporting indicator 2.1.d): The transformation of "business-as-usual", high deforestation, high-emissions livelihoods to sustainable livelihoods is at the heart of the proposed project and are a primary goal of many of the above policies. Colombia's Amazon Vision program will support the transition from extensive cattle ranching to low-deforesation land use practices; REDD+ national programs have the potential to reward producers engaged in sustainable livelihoods, in some cases reducing the opportunity costs of deferring high deforestation land use activities for sustainable practices
ove transparency by ntive systems rewards iforcement efforts. This used as a model for k changes in country-level ansparency, corruption, and in perceptions of corruption and civil society leaders,	have the potential to improduces with incendrating and incending law ending and is and is a mental regulations and is ance indicators. Because the ance indicators with data conjunction with data conjunctable members, a dity roundtable members, a second s	dicies desribed above urther the integration idictions that are not in impliance with environ r transparency and lav World Bank's Govern Cators should be used nent officials, commod	ional pc pption. F sse juris asing cc monito and the and the governn	e corru for the in incre rder to tional) lly varia	Regional ; to reduc programs iccessful i iccessful i ram. In o ram. In o ram. In o ram.oject, inc	reporting indicator 2.1.c) : F ies and improving oversight ss to credit or government j ogram in Brazil, has been su mbia's Amazon Vision progi mbia's Amazon Vision progi (compiled by Transparenc keholders involved in the pr	Iransparency and/or law enforcement (standard reporting indicator 2.1.c): Regional and national policies desribed above have the potential to improve transparency by increasing collaboration across government agencies and improving oversight to reduce corruption. Further the integration of many policies with incentive systems rewards compliance and has the potential of reducing access to credit or government programs for those jurisdictions that are not in compliance, aiding law enforcement efforts. This approach, adopted by the Green Municipalities program in Brazil, has been successful in increasing compliance with environmental regulations and is used as a model for elements of policies described above, such as Colombia's Amazon Vision program. In order to monitor transparency and law enforcement we can track changes in country-level indicators, such as the Corruption Perception Index (compiled by Transparency International) and the World Bank's Governance indicators. Because transparency, corruption, and law enforcement are both difficult to measure with empirical data and may be regionally variable, ndicators should be used in conjunction with data on perceptions of corruption collected through periodic interviews with key stakeholders involved in the project, including government officials, commodity roundtable members, and civil society leaders, among others.

B

^{, a}esults Framework

;

2 5 N	DD' Is t	
2.2.5. Respect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples, and members of local communities	2.2.2. Transparent and effective national forest National laws and governance structures regional TPS proce	2.2.1. Consistency between national forests programmes and international conventions and Declaration on Forests, agreements TPS processes
REDD+ SES, Rio Branco Declarátion; TPS processes	Natìonai laws and regional TPS processes:	UNFCCC REDD, CGFREDD+, SES, NY Declaration on Forests, Rio Branco Declaration, TPS processes
We will report an change annually.	We will report on change annually.	We will report on change annually,
Multi-sector dialogues ensure participation of indigenous peoples and local communities in regional planning (including implementation of FPIC) and value their contribution to forest protection through benefit sharing mechanisms.	Multi-stakeholdër TPS governance structures builds broad support for effective governance policy that supports implementation of mational policies. Monitoring platforms promote transparency of policy implementation at regional scales.	Create alignment and integration of national and jurisdictional reference levels (RLs) through bottom-up regional performance targets and RLs that are informed by external rules and market forces (CGF, NY Dec. on Forests, Rio Branco Declaration)
Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues. Participant lists of dialgoues to track stakeholder representation and participation. Documentation of benefit sharing for funds delivered. Documentation of FPIC.	Meeting minutes of TPS governance structures. Interviews with key stakeholders and project partners regarding perceptions of transparency.	Workshop minutes establishming regional performance targets.

18

 \mathcal{Q}

	N		
2.2.7. Actions to reduce the displacement of emissions	2.2.6. Actions to address the risks of reversals	2.2.5: Conservation of natural forests and biological diversity and enhancement of other social and environmental behefits	2.2.4. The full and effective participation.of relevant stakeholders, în particular indigenous peoples and local communities
TPS processes	TPS processes	TPS processes	REDD+ SES, Řío Branco Declaratioň, TPS processes
We will report on change annually.	We will report on change annually.	We will report on change annually.	We will report on change annually.
Establishment of perfomance targets related to production at the scale of an entire jurisdiction through TPS lowers risk of leakage.	TPS process builds political, business, and social case for low-deforstation & emissions rural development and improves enabling conditions dialogues: Official for policy implementation, lowering risk reversals through flagging political or corporate government reports. will be reduced	Multi-sector dialogues builds broader support for existing or expanded networks of protected areas and indigenous territiories.	Multi-sector dialogues ensure participation of indigenous peoples and local communities in regional planning and design of benefit sharing frameworks for TPS incentive systems, and supports implementation of FPIC.
Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues to establish reference levels and performance targets	Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues. Official government reports.	Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues	Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues. Participant fists of dialgoues to track stakeholder representation and participation: Documentation of benefit sharing for funds delivered. Documentation of FPIC:

ر

B

19

 $\overline{}$

		reports; powerpoint	-	E	F		r k i j	amewor	Results Framework
ĒĽ	Ánnuaíly	Méeting notes; reports summarizing	نبر س	2 1	H-1	0 <u>.</u>	which	ment for	Number of regional government for which reports are completed
station for of Peruvian	Output 2.4.2: Update Proposal to include targets for reducing deforestation for in Regional Development Plans to include targets for reducing deforestation for of Peruvian Regional Governments is developed	ment Plans to include	Develop	egional	for in R	ucing deforestation	nclude targets for rec d	oosal to ir develope	Output 2,4.2: Update Prop Regional Governments is c
Ē	Ánnually:				<u>بم</u>	O.	/sis and fits 6f 2 or 3 for the	and bene t options	Convening to assess costs and benefits of the convening to assess costs and benefits of 2 or 3 low-strikssion development options for the Péruylan Amazon region
jurisdictions in Peru,	ion is developed for target jurisdictions in Peru,	gnment) and integrat	tional ali	onal-nat	Ingregi	cy alignment (includ	e proposition for pol	tsed valui	Output 2.4.1: Evidence-based value proposition for policy alignment (including regional-national alignment) and integration is feature summarising teacher of a control of the second se
Responsibility	Frequency of Reporting	Data Sources	- a r o -	1 argets	Y1 Y2	Baseline		ors	indicators
		Output Level	Out		4.				
<u>ق</u> , 17	Gender; Transparency; Sustainable livelihoods; Ręduced Deforestation	Official government reports	iri	4	ω 	دىن	Peru, nationai and subnationai	Péru, nation subnationai	Streitegy connects national REDD and agricultural linance to support zero-net deforestation
Responsibility	Impact on Gender; Transparency; Sustainable livellhoods; Reduced Deforestation	Data Sources	Υ ₂ Υ	Implementation	Y1 Y2	Baseline	Locatiön änd Policy Levej	Lócati	Name and Type of policy/measure Regional Amazon LED-R
		Frequency of Reporting: Annual	quencý	Fre Fre	çıs.	l Reporting indicat	Scale from Standar	on uses :	Survey of Implementation uses Scale from Standard Reporting indicators.
		icator 2.1)	ting ína	d repor	dardize	icy/measure.(stan	f REDD+ related po	tation of	C. Level or Implementation of REDD+ related policy/measure (standardized reporting indicator 2.1)
argeted developing	NICFI Outcome 2: Describe how project contributed to governments' implementation of REDD+ relevant policies and/or measures in the targeted developing countries during the year reported on?	DD+ relevant policies	n of RE	ventațio	implem	d to governments'	v project contribute ted on?	cribe hoy lar (epor	NICFI Outcome 2: Describe how project countries during the year reported on?
y for Forest and Climate	Outcome 2.4: REDD implemented in Peru as part of the an Amazon-wide LED-R Strategy that is integrated with the National Strategy for Forest and Climate (ENBCC) and with international agreements to reduce emission from deforestation and such as the independent of the second strategy for Forest and Climate	/ that is integrated v	Strateg	LED-R ; om defe	n-wide ision fro	rt of the an Amazo nts to reduce emis	ented in Peru as pa ernational agreeme	impleme with inte	Outcome 2.4: REDD (ENBCC) and I

Output 2.4.3: Proposals for Regional Strategies for Forest and Climate to support the implementation of the National Strategy for Forest and Climate (ENBCC) and to allow the compliance of the signers of the Under2MoU and the Rio Branco Declaration	or Forest and Climate to support the imple I the Rio Branco Declaration	ementation of the National Strat	tegy for Forest and Climate	(ENBCC) and to allow the
Number of regional government for which reports are completed	0 1 1	2 Reports, powerpoints	Annually	EI
NICFI Outcome 2 Standard reporting indicators	510 			
Gender equality (standard reporting indicator 2.1.b) : The above policies have the potential to directly and indirectly improve gender equality in target regions. Project partners will incorporate the participation of women and women's concerns into policy development through multi-stakeholder dialogues. resulting in direct benefits to women such as	. <i>b)</i> : The above policies have the potential vomen's concerns into policy development	to directly and indirectly improve t through multi-stakeholder dialo	e gender equality in target regions. Project partners gues. resulting in direct benefits to women, such as	egions. Project partners efits to women such as
improved access to credit, land and titling programs, and rural development programs. Policies that improve rural livelihoods, through increased access to services and improved incomes, may indirectly improve gender equality by movine households out of crisis mode, improving their ability to focus resources on higher order pageds. In order to moving their ability to focus resources on higher order pageds in order to moving their ability to focus resources on higher order pageds.	ns, and rural development programs. Polic by moving households out of crisis mode	cies that improve rural livelihood	s, through increased access	to services and improved
gender equality, we will implement the following activities: 1) Diagnostics of gender dynamics in focal regions to examine how key institutions, policies or programs are structured to address interests and concerns of women, and how influence women's participation and leadership roles in decision- making regarding the environment; 2)	activities: 1) Diagnostics of gender dynami omen, and how influence women's partici	ics in focal regions to examine ho pation and leadership roles in de	w key institutions, policies c cision- making regarding the	<pre>> programs are > environment; 2)</pre>
government, civil society organizations, commodity roundtables, inter-sectoral climate change institutions, and GCF); 4) Analyzing how women's interests are represent and jurisdictional certification standards.	uer analogues; 3) i racking tormai represen ty roundtables, inter-sectoral climate chan	ntation of women in stakeholder nge institutions, and GCF); 4) Anal	groups relevant to the project (e.g.regional lyzing how women's interests are represented in TPS	sct (e.g.regional ts are represented in TPS
Transparency and/or law enforcement (standard reporting indicator 2.1.c): Regional and national policies desribed above have the potential to improve transparency by increasing collaboration across government agencies and improving oversight to reduce corruption. Further the integration of many policies with incentive systems rewards compliance and has the potential of reducing access to credit or government programs for those jurisdictions that are not in compliance, aiding law enforcement efforts. Thi approach, adopted by the Green Municipalities program in Brazil, has been successful in increasing compliance with environmental regulations and is used as a model for	reporting indicator 2.1.c): Regional and mini- cies and improving oversight to reduce corr ass to credit or government programs for to ogram in Brazil, has been successful in incu	ational policies desribed above h ruption. Further the integration o hose jurisdictions that are not in reasing compliance with environ	ave the potential to improve transparency by of many policies with incentive systems rewards compliance, aiding law enforcement efforts. This mental regulations and is used as a model for	e transparency by ive systems rewards ırcement efforts. This ed as a model for
Index (compiled by Transparency International) and the World Bank's Governance indicators. Because transparency, corruption, and law enforcement are both difficult to	nonitor transparency and law enforcement nd the World Bank's Governance indicator:	nt we can track changes in countr s. Because transparency, corrupt	y-level indicators, such as the second se	evel indicators, such as the Corruption Perception , and law enforcement are both difficult to
measure with empirical data and may be regionally variable, ndicators should be used in conjunction with data on perceptions with key stakeholders involved in the project, including government officials, commodity roundtable members, and civil society	ly variable, ndicators should be used in cor uding government officials, commodity rou	njunction with data on perception undtable members, and civil soci	ns of corruption collected the ty leaders, among others.	of corruption collected through periodic interviews r leaders, among others.
Sustainable livelihoods (standard reporting indicator 2.1.d): The transformation of "business-as-usual", high deforestation, high-emissions livelihoods to sustainable livelihoods is at the heart of the proposed project and are a primary goal of many of the above policies. REDD+ national programs have the potential to reward producers engaged in	<pre>rtor 2.1.d): The transformation of "busine rimary goal of many of the above policies.</pre>	.ss-as-usual", high deforestation, . REDD+ national programs have	high-emissions livelihoods t the potential to reward proc	o sustainable livelihoods Jucers engaged in
sustainable livelihoods, in some cases reducing the opportunity costs of deferring high deforestation land use activities for sustainable practices	e opportunity costs of deferring high defor	restation land use activities for su	ustainable practices	C
Reduced deforestation (standard reporting indicator 2.1.e): The above policies and programs aim to reduce deforestation by 1) aligning agricultural and enviornmental policies, 2) channeling significant economic incentives to producers who reduce deforestation, and 3) improving conditions of rural producers (e.g. secure land tenure, access to credit) that will facilitate access to climate finance and tranformation to sustainable livelihoods. These policy design elements, in conjunction with market mechanisms proposed in this project, will increase the likelihood of acheiving significant reductions in deforestation across target regions.	<i>tor</i> 2.1.e): The above policies and program roducers who reduce deforestation, and 3 anformation to sustainable livelihoods. The gnifcant reductions in deforestation across	ms aim to reduce deforestation t) improving conditions of rural p lese policy design elements, in co s target regions.	y 1) aligning agricultural an roducers (e.g. secure land to njunction with market mech	d enviornmental policies, anure, access to credit) ranisms proposed in this

1B

Results Framework

۰.

Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues. Participant lists of dialgoues to track stakeholder representation and participation. Documentation of benefit sharing for funds delivered. Documentation of FPIC.	sure participation of ical communities in ng implementation contribution to forest it sharing	Multi-sector dialogues en indigenous peoples and lo regional planning (includi of FPIC) and value their u protection through benefi mechanisms:	Wë will report on. change o'nnually:	REDD+ SES, Rio Branço Declaration; TPS processes	2.2.3. Respect for the knowledge and rights of inalgenous peoples and members of local communities:
Meeting minutes of TPS governance structures. Interviews with key stakeholders and project partners regarding perceptions of transparency.	structures governańce on of orms	Multi-stakeholder TPS governance stru builds broad support for effective gove policy that supports implementation of national policies. Monitoring platforms promote transparency of policy implementation at regional scales;	We will report on change annually.	National laws and regional TPS processes	2.2.2. Transparent and effective national forest governance structures
Workshop minutes establishming regional performance targets.	Create alignment and integration of national and jurisdictional reference levels (RLs) through bottom-up regional performance targets and RLs that are informed by external rules and market forces (CGF, NY Dec. on Forests, Rio Branco Declaration)	Create alignment and and jurisdictional refe bottom-up regional p RLs that are informed market forces (CGF, M Branco Declaration)	We will réport an change annually.	UNFCCC REDD, CGFREDD+, SES, NY Declaration on Forests, Río Branco Déclaration, TPS processes	2.2.1. Consistency between national forests programmes and international conventions and agreements
Dạta Source	Your organisation and/or partner(s)' contribution	Your organisati con	Change (the year reported on)	Country and case/process	Sařeguard category
1 of safeguards during the	hange or implementation	velopment, policy cł	be any change in the de lbuted.	<i>icator 2.2):</i> Please descri n or partners have contri 1	cancun Safeguards (standard reporting indicator 2.2): Please describe any change in the development, policy change or implementation of safeguards during the year seported on, to which your organisation or partners have contributed.
Responsibility	Frequency of Reporting	Data Sources	Targets o Y1 Y2 Y3 Y4 Y5 i	Baseline	Indicator

÷... **Results Framework** -----

2.2.7. Actions to reduce the displacement of emissions	2.2.6. Actions to address the risks of reversals	2.2.5. Conservation of natural forests and biological diversity and enhancement of other social and environmental benefits	2.2.4. The full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, in particular indigenous peoples and local communities
TPS processes	s TPS processes	IT TPS processes	REDD+ SES, Rio Branco Is Declaration, TPS processes
We will report on change onnually.	We will report on change annually.	We will report on change appually.	We will report on change annually.
Establishment of perfomance targets related to Meeting minutes of T production at the scale of an entire jurisdiction reference levels and through TPS lowers risk of leakage: performance targets	TPS process builds political, business, and social case for low-deforstation & emissions rural development and improves enabling conditions for policy implementation, lowering risk reversals through flagging political or corporate will be reduced	Multi-sector dialogues builds broader support for existing or expanded networks of protected areas and indigenous territories.	Multi-sector dialogues ensure participation of indigenous peoples and local communities in regional planning and design of benefit sharing frameworks for TP5 incentive systems, and supports implementation of FPIC.
Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues to establish reference levels and performance targets	Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues, Official government reports,	Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues	Meeting minutes of TPS dialogues. Participant lists of dialgoues to track stakeholder representation and participation of benefit bocumentation of benefit sharing for funds delivered. Documentation of FPIC.

:

23

 θ_{r}

.

		1.1)	itor 3	indca	rting	repo	lard	ator (Stán	rd reporting indi	Status of implementation uses scale from standard reporting indicator (Standard reporting indcator 3.1.1)	Status of Implement
Ell, RTRS, RSPO, Bonsucro, GRSB	Annual	Company & Commodity Roundtable documents	75	30	12 20	∞i ⊥	ن ن		Lai	Number of private sector commitments to source commodities from sustainable jurisdictions (# of businesses).	Number of private sector commitmer Source commodities from sustainable Jurisdictions (# of bus[nesses).
eil, rtrs, rspo	Annual	Government. documents and websites	ರ್	6	يز س	. <u>.</u>			à	Palm	with governmental sustainable sourcing commitments
EIJ, ŖŢŖS, ŔSPO	Annual	Government documents and websites	5. 15	5 15	2		<u> </u>		0.	rs of Soy	Percentage of imports of Soy
EIÌ, ĠŒF	Aniyual	Government comunicátions,	N	<u>і</u> .	44 				D	Number of governments (national, state) publicly committed to sourcing soy, beet and/or paim oil from sustainable jurisdictions	Number of governments (national, sta publicity committed to sourcing soy, be paim oil from sustainable jurisdictions
Ell, Proforest, Forest Trends	Annuál	Websites, media coverage, annual repots	Ú 23	6 10	<u>نې</u>	ىن س	0			Number of private-public partnerships created to support jurisdictional sourcing (stondord reporting indicator 2:3:1)	Number of private-public to surport jurisdictional s reporting indicator 2.3.1
Responsibility	Frequency of Reporting	Data Sources	- ai - a	Y4 Y5		24. T.A.	ŭ	ที	Baseline	Indiċator	
י practices that reduce מר reported on?	irónmental policies and orestation during the y	n of social and env ships to reduce def	tatio	e pạ	nple Ivat		ub!	private o n global r	contribute to hey engaged i	the pressure on forests, and are they engaged in global public private partnerships to reduce deforestation during the year reported on?	wicri unicome
preferentially source	nment (palm oil, soy, beef) s,	Norwegian soy-dependent industries in Norway and Chinese govern agricultural commodities from high-performing states and provinces.	n Norv formii	ries ir 1-peri	dusti 1 higi	nt in from	ities	al commod	ng the Norwegia agricultur	Outcome 3.1: Supply chain actors including the Norwegian soy-dependent industries in Norway and Chinese government (palm oil, soy, beef) preferentially source agricultural commodities from high-performing states and provinces.	Outcome 3.1

.

deforestation jurisdictions ġ å á .

Output 3.1: Analysis of potential climate change mitigation impacts, cost implications, and procurement options for sourcing, by China, of commodities from sustainable, low-	rcing, by China, of commod	ment options for sou	rocure	and p	tions,	plica	ıst im	e mitigation impacts, co	tential climate change	Dutput 3.1: Analysis of po
	-		ہ _۲ ۲	¥4	 Ճ	Y1 Y2	~			
Responsibility	Frequency of Reporting	Data Sources	└ ~ ~	vi Vi	Targets			Baseline	ors	Indicators
			el	it Lev	Output Level					
design and alignment of implementation of private sector initatives.	Private sector, Subnational Governments, Producers	RSPO website	6 1970 - 2015 - 2017 - 2017	ся N	4	ω	ω	Ν	Wilmar, Sinar Mas, Triputra, United Plantations, Minamas, Unilever and other RSPO members; Palm Oil; Indonesia & Malaysia	Strategies/Policies
By involving key groups withing agriculture and government sector in the	Private sector, Subnational Governments, Producers	Company Annual Reports	6	<u></u> б	4		2	1	JBS, Mafrig, McDonalds; Beef; Mato Grosso - Brazil	6
	Private sector, Subnational Governments, Producers	Company Annuai Reports	6	л -	4	ω	ω	2	Denofa, Grupo Amaggi Unilever, Cargill, etc.; Soy; Mato Grosso - Brazil	
deforestation:				Y4 Y5	YЗ	1 42	Υı		Location	measure
Reduced pressure on deforestation and reduced	Stakehiders Involved	Data Sources		of ation	Status of Implementation	lmpi		Baseline	Company; Commodity;	Type of policy/ practice change/ transparency

Results Framework

25

92

* **

			-		┝		Γ		
EII, RSPO, RTRS, GTPS, Bonsucro	Annual	Published report	(JU):	<u>и</u>	4	<u>н</u> а.	O'	0	Jurisdictional Sourcing Report (one per beet, soy, paint oil)
onal/territorial sourcing	n} sourcing versus jurisdicti	rentional (farm-by-farn	of conv	afitsg	bene	and	osts	ment strategies and the c	Output 3.6: Report with case studies on procurement strategies and the costs and benefits of conventional (farm-by-farm) sourcing versus jurisdictional/territorial sourcing published and disseminated
					ated	imin	disse	uidebook published and	Output 3.5: Annual jurisdictional sustainability guidebook published and disseminated
Éll, ^p roforest	Annual	Published report.	μ ω		<u>نــر</u>		eri	Ģ	Fublished report summarizing findings of JWG and 3Fl consultations and discussion
, concepts, and best	ninate unifying definitions, concepts, and best	3FI) develop and disser	atíve (1	Initi	ance	d Fin	ns an	; Group and Forests, Farm	Output 3.4: Jurisdictional Sustainability Working Group and Forests, Farms and Finance Initiative (3FI) develop and disseminate practices
Solidaridad, Ell	Annual	Meeting and. exchange visit minutes/reports, local media.	Z 10	N	نې ب	.N	N	0	Number of exchange visits & meetings between leading oliseed processing provinces & national government stakeholders and target producers jurisdictions
rnia MOU	ole sourcing in China-California MOU	e inclusion of sustainat	ldissoi	i ssn:	o disc			fornia and Chinese gover	Output 3.3: Support for meetings between California and Chinese governments to discuss possible inclusion of sustainable sou
ato Grosso, Para), beef	states that produce soy (Ma	ements between and s	ıt agre	eme	ient.	oʻpi pr ernni	gov.	, and exchange visits to d Kalimantan) and Chinese	Output 3.2: Support for workshops, road shows, and exchange visits to develop procurement agreements between and states that produce soy (Mato Grosso, Para), beef (Wato Grosso, Para, Acre) and paim oil (Central Kalimantan) and Chinese government.
Şolidaridad, Ell.	Annually	Meeting notes	່ນ. ພ	ω	ω	ω	μ	o	Meetings with Chinese government and corporate representatives to discuss jurtsdictional procurement options (If meetings)
Solidaridad, Ell	Arinually	Report documents, Ell/Inobit	ο ω	-0;	2	ц		O	Ananyses and meetings summarized in reports describing options for jurisdictional procurement of soy, beef and palm on by China (1 report per commodity)

.........

Pesults Framework

.

26

Output 3.7: Options assessment for jurisdictional certification is conducted, published and discussed with Boards of RSPO, RTRS	al certification is conducte	ed, pi	ublis	hed	and	discu	ISSEC	with Boards of RSP(), RTRS	
Options Assessment Reports	0	Ō	ц	1			N	2 Published report	Annual	EII, RSPO, RTRS
Output 3.8: Analysis and convening in support of jurisdictional certification pilots for RSPO and RTRS in Central Kalimantan a	f jurisdictional certification	on pil	lots	for R	ISPO	and	RTR	in Central Kalimant	an and Mato Grosso, respectively	ctively
# of meetings involving at least 3 roundtables to discuss jurisdictional certification	O	4	2	2	2	2	ę	9 Published report	Annual	EII, RSPO, RTRS

•

•

ΙB

Results Framework

~

9 P